



GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET
HANDELSHÖGSKOLAN

WORKING PAPERS IN ECONOMICS

No 343

**Benefits of Organic Agriculture as a Climate
Change Adaptation and Mitigation Strategy in
Developing Countries**

Adrian Muller

Version 2, February 2009

**ISSN 1403-2473 (print)
ISSN 1403-2465 (online)**

Benefits of Organic Agriculture as a Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation Strategy in Developing Countries

Adrian Muller

5 Socioeconomic Institute and Center for Ethics, both University of Zurich
Blüemlisalpstrasse 10, 8006 Zürich, Switzerland;
adrian.mueller@soi.uzh.ch

Abstract

10

Organic agriculture as an adaptation strategy to climate change and variability is a concrete and promising option for adaptation in rural communities. Organic agriculture has additional potential as a mitigation strategy. This text is a short review article on this topic. Adaptation and mitigation based on organic agriculture can build on well-established practice, as organic agriculture is a sustainable livelihood strategy with decades of experience in several climate zones and under a wide range of specific local conditions. The financial requirements of organic agriculture as an adaptation or mitigation strategy are low. Further research is needed on yields in organic agriculture and its mitigation and sequestration potential. Other key critical points are information provision and institutional structures such as market access.

15

20

Key Words: adaptation, climate change, climate variability, mitigation, organic agriculture, rural development, sustainable livelihoods, vulnerability

25

1. Introduction

30

The Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC, Working Group II (AR4-WGII), states that “a wide array of adaptation options is available, but more extensive adaptation than is currently occurring is required to reduce vulnerability to future climate change. There are barriers, limits and costs, but these are not fully understood.” (IPCC 2007a, Summary for Policy Makers, p19). Other important statements of the AR4-WGII include that “vulnerability to climate change can be exacerbated by the presence of other stresses”, that “future vulnerability depends not only on climate change but also on development pathway” and that “sustainable development can reduce vulnerability to climate change, and climate change could impede nations’ abilities to achieve sustainable development pathways” (IPCC 2007a, Summary for Policy Makers, p19/20). In general, climate change and variability is a considerable threat to agricultural communities, particularly in lower latitudes. This threat includes the likely increase of extreme weather conditions, increased water stress and drought, desertification as well as adverse health effects (extreme heat and increased spread of diarrhoeal and infectious diseases such as Malaria). Adverse effects are likely to multiply if adaptation fails. This may then overstretch many societies’ adaptive capacities, which may lead to destabilization and security risks (loss of livelihoods, malnutrition, forced migration, conflicts) (IPCC 2007a, WBGU 2008, Lobell et al. 2008). The Bali Action Plan from the UN Climate Change conference in Bali 2007 (UNFCCC 2007) clearly emphasizes the importance for enhanced action on adaptation.

35

40

45

50

The following pages outline how organic agriculture (OA) used as an adaptation strategy has the potential to address these combined threats of climate change and variability and other stresses. These pages should be read as a short compact review of the potential of OA as an adaptation strategy and also as a mitigation strategy, based on published literature, including reports and web-references, thus providing

ample reference for further details. It combines different strands of literature from both the “organic community” and the “climate community”. It also aims at fostering discussion on OA as an adaptation and mitigation strategy beyond the “organic community”.

5

Adaptation entered the agenda more prominently only recently, while mitigation has been a topic for long time. This is also reflected in the fact that there is more research available on OA as a mitigation than as an adaptation strategy (e.g. Niggli et al 2008 and references therein; IFOAM 2006, 2007, 2008; AgroEco 2006 and also Kotschi and Müller-Sämman 2004). OA as a mitigation strategy faces many technical complexities (carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas emissions avoidance measurement and accounting, assessment of differences in crop rotations and practices, etc.), while the big challenges for OA as an adaptation strategy are more of a socio-cultural matter. Potential synergies between adaptation and mitigation strategies in agriculture do, however, exist (Rosenzweig and Tubiello 2007, IPCC 2007a).

10

15

In this paper, the main challenges posed by climate change and variability that can be addressed by OA as an adaptation and mitigation strategy are outlined after a short introduction to organic agriculture. Some institutional and financial requirements are discussed as well as some key critical points of OA as an adaptation and mitigation strategy. The focus is OA as an adaptation strategy, because it still receives less attention in the literature. OA as a mitigation strategy is covered only cursory, but references for further reading are given.

20

25

2. Organic Agriculture

As codified in the Codex Alimentarius from the FAO/WHO,

30

35

40

45

“Organic agriculture is a holistic production management system which promotes and enhances agroecosystem health, including biodiversity, biological cycles, and soil biological activity. It emphasizes the use of management practices in preference to the use of off-farm inputs, taking into account that regional conditions require locally adapted systems. This is accomplished by using, where possible, cultural, biological and mechanical methods, as opposed to using synthetic materials, to fulfil any specific function within the system. An organic production system is designed to: a) enhance biological diversity within the whole system; b) increase soil biological activity; c) maintain long-term soil fertility; d) recycle wastes of plant and animal origin in order to return nutrients to the land, thus minimizing the use of non-renewable resources; e) rely on renewable resources in locally organized agricultural systems; f) promote the healthy use of soil, water and air as well as minimize all forms of pollution thereto that may result from agricultural practices; [...]” (Codex Alimentarius 1999, p2)

50

OA is not only a specific agricultural production system, it is also a systemic and encompassing approach to sustainable livelihoods in general, where due account is given to relevant factors of influence for sustainable development and vulnerability, be this on physical, economic or socio-cultural levels (cf. e.g. Eyhorn 2007). OA has a long tradition as a farming system and it has been adapted for many climate zones and local conditions. Much and detailed situation specific information on OA is thus available. Furthermore, OA has a recognized potential as a development strategy for rural communities (see e.g. El-Hage Scialabba and Hattam 2002, Eyhorn 2007; for

information on OA in various contexts see e.g. DARCOF 2000, Eyhorn et al. 2003, Halberg et al. (2006) and the database “Organic eprints”, <http://www.orgprints.org/>.

5 For completeness, I mention that there are several approaches of “sustainable
agriculture” besides OA (cf. e.g. Eyhorn et al. 2003). These capture important
aspects (such as improved pest or water management, crop rotations, etc.). The
advantage of OA is that it comprises a bundle of mutually adapted and optimized
practices and is thus a whole operational farming system with a proven record of
10 good performance. In addition, the certification available for products of OA allows
realizing higher prices.

3. Challenges addressed

15 Organic agriculture avoids nutrient exploitation and increases soil organic matter
content. In consequence, soils under OA capture and store more water than soils
under conventional cultivation (see e.g. the discussion and references in Niggli et al.
2008). Production in OA systems is thus less prone to extreme weather conditions
like drought, flooding and water logging. OA thus addresses key consequences of
climate change, namely increased occurrence of extreme weather events, increased
20 water stress and drought and problems related to soil quality (IPCC 2007a).

Furthermore, OA reduces the vulnerability of the farmers to climate change and
variability. First, OA comprises highly diverse farming systems and thus increases
the diversity of income sources and the flexibility to cope with adverse effects of
25 climate change and variability, such as changed rainfall patterns. This leads to higher
economic and ecological stability through optimized ecological balance and risk-
spreading. Second, OA is a low-risk farming strategy with reduced input costs and
therefore lower risks with partial or total crop failure due to extreme weather events
or changed conditions in the wake of climate change and variability (see e.g. El-
30 Hage Scialabba and Hattam 2002, Eyhorn 2007). It is thus a viable alternative for
poor farmers. In addition, higher prices can be realized for the products (due to
organic certification). Higher farm incomes are thus possible due to the lower input
costs and the higher prices. The coping capacity of the farms is increased and the
risk of indebtedness is lowered. Risk management and risk reduction strategies and
35 economic diversification to build resilience are also prominent aspects of adaptation
as mentioned in the Bali Action Plan (UNFCCC 2007).

Crops and crop varieties used in OA are usually well adapted to the local
environment. Local effects of climate variability cannot be foreseen in due detail, as
40 on the local level, climate change models are not very accurate or even not available.
Adaptation thus may utilize measures that build on self-adaptive capacity such as
local crop-breeding. The systemic character (on farm breeding, etc.) of OA is
especially adequate to provide such. Notwithstanding this potential, more research
is needed on how OA systems perform under increased disease and pest pressure,
45 which are one important effect of climate change on agriculture (IPCC 2007a), and
on how local crop varieties adapt to climate change and variability. OA seems also
to perform better than conventional agriculture under water constraints (Badgley, et al.
2007; Hepperly et al. 2006).

50 By its nature, organic agriculture is an adaptation strategy that can be targeted at
improving the livelihoods of rural populations and parts of societies especially
vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change and variability (e.g. the rural
population in sub-Saharan Africa; improvements e.g. via reduced financial risks,
reduced indebtedness, increased diversity; Eyhorn 2007). By its systemic character,

OA is an integrative approach to adaptation, with potential to also work towards the Millennium Development Goals, in particular 1 (“eradicate extreme poverty”) and 7 (“environmental sustainability”). The pivotal role agriculture plays for achievement of these goals and the challenges climate change poses to this task are widely acknowledged (see e.g. DFID 2005). OA addresses many of the key challenges identified for adaptation to climate change and variability and it fulfils many of the criteria, which are seen as important general prerequisites for such strategies, as for example described in FAO (2007a), UNDP (2007), GTZ (2007), Slater et al (2007) and Prowse and Braunholtz-Speight (2007).¹

OA as a mitigation strategy addresses both emission avoidance and carbon sequestration. The first is achieved through lower N₂O emissions (due to lower nitrogen input; it is usually assumed that 1-2% of the nitrogen applied to farming systems is emitted as N₂O, irrespective of the form of the N input; the default value currently used by the IPCC is 1.25%, but newer research finds considerably lower values, e.g. for semi-arid areas, see e.g. Barton et al. 2008), less CO₂ emissions through erosion (due to the better soil structure and more plant cover, less erosion usually occurs in organic farming systems than in conventional ones; the effect of erosion on CO₂ emissions is still controversial, though; cf. IPCC 2007b, Lal et al. 2004, Van Oost et al. 2004, Renwick et al. 2004) and lower CO₂ emissions from farming system inputs (pesticides and fertilizers produced using fossil fuel). The effects of animal husbandry on mitigation in OA also need to be assessed. Animal manure is often of particular importance to organic farms but livestock is also an important source of greenhouse gases (IPCC 2007b, chapter 8; Niggli et al. 2008).

Soil carbon sequestration is enhanced through agricultural management practices (such as increased application of organic manures, use of intercrops and green manures, higher share of perennial grasslands and trees or hedges etc.), which promote higher soil organic matter (and thus soil organic carbon) content and improve soil structure (see e.g. Niggli et al 2008; IFOAM 2006, 2007, 2008; AgroEco 2006 and also Kotschi and Müller-Sämman 2004). Increasing soil organic carbon in agricultural systems is also pointed out as an important mitigation option in IPCC (2007b). Very rough estimates for the global mitigation potential of OA amount to 3.5-4.8 Gt CO₂ from carbon sequestration (ca. 55 – 80% of total global greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture) and a reduction of N₂O by two third (Niggli et al. 2008). For sound estimates, more information on the mitigation potential of OA, duly differentiated according to climatic zones, local climatic conditions, soil characteristics, variations in crops and cultivation practices, etc. is however still needed (some differentiated numbers are reported in Niggli et al. 2008 and references therein). More research is also needed on how OA performs regarding emissions per unit product (cf. footnote 2).

4. Institutional and Financial Aspects

The importance of adequate institutional frameworks and financial management for adaptation has frequently been pointed out (e.g. Kandlikar and Risbey 2000, Smit and Skinner 2002, Burton and Lim 2005). Regarding the institutional framework, OA can in principle build on the existing general agricultural institutions present in any country and internationally. However, a main hindrance is the fact that OA is not yet broadly recognized for its potential as a development strategy and even less as an adaptation or mitigation strategy. In particular, its capability to produce yields high enough to replace conventional agriculture to a significant amount is often questioned. In developing countries, yields are however not necessarily lower, as recent research points out.² In OA, prospects for long-term sustained productivity are

given, different to many intensive conventional farming systems, where, after some decades, decreasing yields are observed (see e.g. Matson et al 1997, DFID 2004). Specialized institutions for OA, such as IFOAM (International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements, www.ifoam.org), or topical sections in larger organizations such as the FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, www.fao.org/organicag/) have the crucial task to spread the knowledge on OA. Fastest dissemination of OA as an adaptation and mitigation strategy could be reached if it would become part of national agricultural policies and the international agricultural policy discourse. Detailed information on some national OA policies is contained in Rundgren (2008), for example.

OA as an adaptation and mitigation strategy does not hinge on large additional financing for the OA farming system itself (additional costs come from extension services, the general provision of information and, if certified, certification costs). However, it is crucial to have access to international markets and to develop local markets for the products. In the transition phase to OA, additional financing to the farms may be necessary: training and extension services need to be provided, and lower yields for the 2-3 years of the transition period may necessitate some additional support. Hereby, emphasis should be given to knowledge transfer and infrastructure building (including access to markets, etc.), rather than to direct monetary transfers only, although such may be necessary in certain cases. The economic viability of organic farming is also likely to increase with increasing energy prices (which makes conventional farming more expensive, due to the energy costs for production of fertilizers and pesticides) and with decreasing levels of subsidies for conventional agriculture. Several options to meet the financial requirements exist in principle. Examples are governmental support and research programs for agriculture, microfinance strategies, biodiversity conservation initiatives (see e.g. Carroll et al 2007) and, for OA as a mitigation strategy, the programmatic or sectoral Clean Development Mechanism (on those, see e.g. Hinostroza, et al 2007, Sutter 2007 or Baron and Ellis 2006).

5. Concluding Remarks

Although promising, OA clearly is no panacea and several critical issues remain to be resolved.

To begin with, there is a need for more research. OA is often criticized for lower yields in comparison to conventional agriculture. Recent research invalidates this prejudice, especially in the context of extensive farming systems, which characterize much of agricultural production in developing countries.² Further research on this is however still needed. Furthermore, the self-adaptive capacity of on-farm breeding to climate change and variability needs to be investigated in detail. For OA as a mitigation strategy and for its eligibility under the Clean Development Mechanism, detailed greenhouse gas accounting measurements have to be provided. More research on emissions per unit product in OA is also necessary.

In the current situation, access to and increased development of (local) markets for the products, local processing possibilities and export infrastructure are of particular importance for OA. For this, the role of international institutions and trade policies (WTO, FAO, UNDP, UNEP, etc.) has to be discussed. The institutional environment for OA as an adaptation and mitigation strategy also has to be identified, in particular on a global level. Knowledge transfer has to be institutionalized. There is a wealth of knowledge available on OA, especially in the north (e.g. in various EU countries).

Clearly, this knowledge is tied to specific climatic circumstances and cannot be transferred to other regions without due caution and modification.

5 To be successful, wider recognition of the potential of OA is needed among bodies currently mainly promoting conventional agriculture. An important step could be that (national) agricultural policy begins to prominently support organic agriculture as an adaptation and mitigation strategy.

10 **Acknowledgements:**

Many thanks to Frank Eyhorn, Jörn Sanders and Simon Mason for helpful remarks.

Notes:

15 1 The “OA community” is aware of the potential of OA for climate change adaptation (see e.g. IFOAM 2007, 2008, FAO 2007b, AgroEco 2006, Borron 2006), but this discussion is not linked to the discussion on adaptation in the “climate community” and its content is hardly known beyond the “organic community”. OA is linked to other proposals for adaptation, as it is, for example, an “adaptive social protection” strategy as recently promoted by the IDS (2007), or a “community-based adaptation” strategy, as promoted by the IIED (2007). It is also an answer to the third crucial question addressed in the special issue of the journal “Climate Policy” on how to integrate adaptation and mitigation in a development context (Climate Policy 7 (2007): “What methodological approaches exist that would help to achieve both mitigation and adaptation responses to climate change embedded in the context of local sustainable development?”; it also scores well regarding the several key points identified for this challenge in the editorial to this special issue (Bizikova 2007). In particular, OA has best premises to utilize local and indigenous farmer knowledge and adaptive learning which is seen as an important source for adaptation in farming communities (Tengö and Belfrage 2004, Salinger et al. 2005, Stigter et al. 2005, , Nyong et al. 2007, Niggli et al 2008). OA also has all the aspects of optimal strategies as identified in Rosenzweig and Tubiello (2007) and it is a strategy that could address the challenges and goals for agriculture in the context of development and climate change as identified in the recent framework document of the World Bank WB (2008). For a recent overview on a wealth of concrete adaptation projects (not in OA), see e.g. McGray et al. 2007 and also Eldis (2008) and UNFCCC (2008).

40 2 On yields, see e.g. Drinkwater et al. (1998), Maeder et al. (2002), Parrott and Marsden (2002) (contains a review of some case studies), and, most recently Badgley et al. (2007), who estimated the average yield ration (organic : non-organic) for a global dataset of 293 examples. They found that “for most food categories, the average yield ratio was slightly <1.0 for studies in the developed world and >1.0 for studies in the developing world.” (p 86). Eyhorn et al. (2007), contains details on yields of organic Cotton in Madhya Pradesh, India. It has also to be emphasized that OA is a multi-output farming system, for which yields in single outputs may not be an adequate indicator without consideration of the other outputs. For the advantageous environmental and yield performance of many “sustainable farming systems” (not only certified organic), see Pretty et al. 2006. On the advantageous performance of OA under water stress, see Badgley, et al. (2007) and Hepperly et al. (2006). Of particular importance for its mitigation potential is the performance of OA regarding emissions intensities (i.e. emissions per unit product). Combining the numbers on sequestration and emission reductions and yields (cf. above), results are mixed and crucially depend on the specific situation (soil characteristics, climate zone, crop variety, etc.). Some crops and production practices (e.g. potatoes or greenhouse production) seem indeed problematic regarding emission intensity in OA

if compared to conventional production (cf. Niggli et al. 2007 and references therein).

References

- 5
- AgroEco (2006) Carbon Fixation and Organic Agriculture, presented at the Climate Conference in Montreal, 2005, <http://www.agroeco.nl/project.php?i=63>, or at Biofach 2006 (.../project.php?i=65). Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 10
- Badgley C, Moghtader J, Quintero E, Zakem E, Chappell M, Avilez-Vazquez K, Samulon A, Perfecto I (2007) Organic agriculture and the global food supply, *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems* 22(2):86–108
- 15
- Baron R, Ellis J (2006) Sectoral Crediting Mechanisms for Greenhouse Gas Mitigation: Institutional and Operational Issues., OECD and IEA, COM/ENV/EPOC/IEA/SLT(2006)4, <http://www.iea.org/textbase/papers/2006/greenhouse.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 20
- Barton L, Kiese R, Gatter D, Butterbach-BAhl K, Buck R, Hinz C, Murphy D (2008) Nitrous oxide emissions from a cropped soil in a semi-arid climate. *Global Change Biology* 14: 177-192
- 25
- Bizikova L, Robinson J, Cohen S (2007) Linking climate change and sustainable development at the local level. *Climate Policy* 7:271-277
- Borron S (2006) Building resilience for an unpredictable future: how organic agriculture can help farmers adapt to climate change. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations FAO
- 30
- Burton I, Lim B (2005) Achieving adequate adaptation in agriculture. *Climatic Change* 70(1-2): 191-200
- 35
- Carroll N, Fox J, Bayon R (2007) Conservation and Biodiversity Banking - A Guide to Setting Up and Running Biodiversity Credit Trading Systems. Earthscan.
- 40
- Codex Alimentarius (1999) Guidelines for the Production, Processing, Labelling and Marketing of Organically Produced Foods, CAC/GL 32, http://www.codexalimentarius.net/download/standards/360/CXG_032e.pdf. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 45
- DARCOF (2000) Principles of Organic Farming. International Centre for Research in Organic Food Systems (ICROFS, extends DARCOF). <http://www.darcof.dk/discuss/princip.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 50
- DFID (2004) Agricultural Sustainability. Working Paper Nr. 12, UK Department of International Development (DFID), London. <http://dfid-agriculture-consultation.nri.org/summaries/wp12.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- DFID (2005) Growth and poverty reduction: the role of agriculture. DFID Policy Paper, Department for International Development UK. <http://www.dfid.gov.uk/pubs/files/growth-poverty-agriculture.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- Drinkwater LE, Wagoner P, Sarrantonio M (1998) Legume-based Cropping Systems Have Reduced Carbon And Nitrogen Losses. *Nature* 396:262-265

- Eldis (2008) Eldis Database Dossier on Climate Change Adaptation, Institute of Development Studies Sussex (IDS), <http://www.eldis.org/go/topics/dossiers/climate-change-adaptation>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 5 El-Hage Scialabba N, Hattam C (eds) (2002) Organic Agriculture, Environment and Food Security. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations FAO, <http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/y4137e/y4137e00.htm>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 10 Eyhorn F, Heeb M, Weidmann G (2003) IFOAM Training Manual for Organic Agriculture in the Tropics. International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM)
- 15 Eyhorn F (2007) Organic Farming for Sustainable Livelihoods in Developing Countries: The Case of Cotton in India. <http://www.vdf.ethz.ch/loadAllFrames.asp?showArtDetail=31111>; http://www.zb.unibe.ch/download/eldiss/06eyhorn_f.pdf. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 20 Eyhorn F, Ramakrishnan R, Mäder P (2007) The viability of cotton-based organic farming systems in India. *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability* 5(1): 25-38
- 25 FAO (2007a) Climate Change and Food Security. A Framework Document, FAO, http://www.fao.org/clim/docs/climatechange_foodsecurity.pdf. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 30 FAO (2007b) International Conference on OA and Food Security. http://www.fao.org/organicag/ofs/index_en.htm; see also in general: <http://www.fao.org/organicag>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 35 GTZ (2007) Economic Approaches to climate change adaptation. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit GTZ. <http://www.gtz.de/de/dokumente/en-climate-adaptation-economic-approaches.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 40 Halberg N, Alroe HF, Knudsen MT, Kristensen ES (eds) (2006) Global Development of Organic Agriculture: Challenges and Prospects. CABI Publishing
- 45 Hepperly P, Douds Jr D, Seidel R (2006) The Rodale farming systems trial 1981 to 2005: long-term analysis of organic and conventional maize and soybean cropping systems. In: Long-term field experiments in organic farming. Raupp J, Pekrun C, Oltmanns M, Köpke U (eds) pp 15-32. International Society of Organic Agriculture Research (ISO FAR), Bonn.
- 50 Hinostroza ML, Cheng C-C, Zhu X, Fenhann J, Figueres C, Avendano F (2007) Potentials and barriers for end-use energy efficiency under programmatic CDM. UNEP Risoe Centre, Working Paper Series 3. <http://cd4cdm.org/Publications/pCDM&EE.pdf>. Cited 29 July 2008
- IDS (2007) Climate Change Adaptation. IDS in Focus Issue 2, Nov 2007 <http://www.ids.ac.uk/index.cfm?objectId=61C5E34F-0C47-6CC1-C9C8484E86231263>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- IFOAM (2006) Mitigation and adaptation to climate change: the role of organic agriculture, IFOAM-Side Event to the 24th session of the Subsidiary Bodies, 24th May 2006. http://regserver.unfccc.int/seors/reports/archive.html?session_id= SB24. Cited 12 Feb 2009

- 5 IFOAM (2007) Organic Agriculture's Role in Countering Climate Change, http://www.ifoam.org/growing_organic/1_arguments_for_oa/environmental_benefits/climatechange.html. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 10 IFOAM (2008) FAO Workshop on Organic Agriculture and Climate Change at the IFOAM 2008 conference; http://www.ifoam.org/events/ifoam_conferences/owc/modules/wed_workshop_climate_changes.html. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 15 IIED (2007) COP 13 Briefings and Opinion Papers, Dec 2007, <http://www.iied.org/pubs/pdfs/17028IIED.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 20 IPCC (2007a) IPCC Fourth Assessment Report, WG II (<http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/ar4-wg2.htm>), Summary for Policy Makers (<http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/wg2/ar4-wg2-spm.pdf>); specifically on adaptation: chapter 17, on inter-relationships between adaptation and mitigation: chapter 18, on vulnerability: chapter 19. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 25 IPCC (2007b) IPCC Fourth Assessment Report, WG III (<http://www.ipcc.ch/ipccreports/ar4-wg3.htm>), Summary for Policy Makers (<http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/wg3/ar4-wg3-spm.pdf>); specifically on agriculture: chapter 8. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 30 Kandlikar M, Risbey J (2000) Agricultural impacts of climate change: if adaptation is the answer, what is the question? *Climatic Change* 45(3-4): 529-539
- 35 Kotschi J, Müller-Sämamann K (2004) The Role of Organic Agriculture in Mitigating Climate Change – A Scoping Study, IFOAM. http://www.ifoam.org/press/positions/Climate_study_green_house-gasses.html. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 40 Lal R (2004) Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security, *Science* 304: 1623-1627; see also Lal R, Griffin M, Apt J, Lave L, Morgan G (2004), *Managing Soil Carbon*, *Science* 304: 393 and Response to Comments on “Managing Soil Carbon”, *Science* 305: 1567
- 45 Lobell DB, Burke MB, Tebaldi C, Mastrandrea MD, Falcon WP, Naylor RL (2008) Prioritizing Climate Change Adaptation Needs for Food Security in 2030, *Science* 319:607-610
- 50 Maeder P, Fliessbach A, Dubois D, Gunst L, Fried P, Niggli U (2002) Soil Fertility and Biodiversity in Organic Farming, *Science* 296:1694-1697
- Matson PA, Parton WJ, Power AG, Swift MJ (1997) Agricultural Intensification and Ecosystem Properties, *Science* 277:504-509
- McGray H, Hammill A, Bradley R (2007) *Weathering the Storm: Options for Framing Adaptation and Development*, World Resources Institute WRI, <http://www.wri.org/publication/weathering-the-storm>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- Niggli U, Fliessbach A, Schmid H, Kasterine A (2007) *Organic Farming and Climate Change*, International Trade Center UNCTAD/WTO, Geneva).

- Niggli U, Fliessbach A, Hepperly P, Scialabba N (2008) Low Greenhouse Gas Agriculture: Mitigation and Adaptation Potential of Sustainable Farming Systems, FAO (similar: Niggli et al. 2007).
- 5 Nyong A, Adesina F, Osman Elasha B (2007) The value of indigenous knowledge in climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies in the African Sahel, *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12:787-797
- 10 Parrott N, Marsden T (2002) *The Real Green Revolution. Organic and Agroecological Farming in the South.* Greenpeace Environmental Trust, London. <http://www.greenpeace.org.uk/MultimediaFiles/Live/FullReport/4526.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 15 Pretty JN, Noble AD, Bossio D, Dixon J, Hine RE, Penning de Vries F, Morison J (2006) Resource-Conserving Agriculture Increases Yields in Developing Countries, *Environmental Science and Technology* 40(4):1114-1119
- 20 Prowse M, Brauholtz-Speight T (2007) The first Millennium Development Goal, agriculture and climate change. ODI Opinion Paper October 2007, <http://www.odi.org.uk/resources/odi-publications/opinions/85-martin-prowse-tim-brauholtz-speight-first-mdg-agriculture-climate-change.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 25 Renwick W, Smith S, Sleezer R, Buddemeier R (2004) Comment on “Managing Soil Carbon” (II), *Science* 305: 1567
- Rosenzweig C, Tubiello FN (2007) Adaptation and mitigation strategies in agriculture: an analysis of potential synergies. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 12:855–873
- 30 Rundgren G (2008) *Best Practices for Organic Policy*, Report prepared under the CBTF Project “Promoting Production and Trading Opportunities for Organic Agricultural Products in East Africa”, UNEP-UNCTAD
- 35 Salinger M, Sivakumar M, Motha R (2005) Reducing vulnerability of agriculture and forestry to climate variability and change: workshop summary and recommendations. *Climatic Change* 70(1-2): 341-362
- 40 Slater R, Peskett L, Ludi E, Brown D (2007) Climate change, agricultural policy and poverty reduction – how much do we know? ODI Natural Resources Perspective 109, <http://www.odi.org.uk/resources/specialist/natural-resource-perspectives/109-climate-change-agricultural-policy-poverty-reduction.pdf>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 45 Smit B, Skinner M (2002) Adaptation options in agriculture to climate change: a typology. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change* 7(1): 85-114
- Stigter C, Dawei Z, Onyewotu L, Xurong, M (2005) Using traditional methods and indigenous technologies for coping with climate variability. *Climatic Change* 70(1-2): 255-271
- 50 Sutter C (2007) Will it fly? *Carbon Finance* July 2007: 16. <http://www.carbon-financeonline.com/index.cfm?section=features&action=view&id=10675>. Cited 12 Feb 2009

- Tengö M, Belfrage K (2004) Local management practices for dealing with change and uncertainty: a cross-scale comparison of cases in Sweden and Tanzania. *Ecology and Society* 9(3); www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol9/iss3/art4. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 5 UNDP (2007). Human Development Report 2007/2008 – fighting climate change: human solidarity in a divided world, United Nations Development Programme UNDP, <http://hdr.undp.org/en/> Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 10 UNFCCC (2007) The Bali Action Plan, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). http://unfccc.int/files/meetings/cop_13/application/pdf/cp_bali_action.pdf. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 15 UNFCCC (2008) Web-Sites on Adaptation, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). <http://unfccc.int/adaptation/items/4159.php>. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- Van Oost K, Govers G, Quine T, Heckrath G (2004) Comment on “Managing Soil Carbon” (I), *Science* 305: 1567
- 20 WB (2008) Development and Climate Change – A Strategic Framework for the World Bank Group. Technical Report. The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank. www.worldbank.org/climateconsult. Cited 12 Feb 2009
- 25 WBGU (2008) World in Transition – Climate Change as a Security Risk .Report of the German Advisory Council on Global Change (WBGU), Earthscan, London. http://www.wbgu.de/wbgu_jg2007_engl.html. Cited 12 Feb 2009