NK cell recognition of malignant cells

- a CRISPR approach to define novel mediators

Linnea Kristenson

Department of Medical Biochemistry and Cell Biology Institute of Biomedicine Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg



UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

Gothenburg 2024

Cover illustration: "A missing piece" by Lovisa Jäberg and Linnea Kristenson

NK cell recognition of malignant cells

– a CRISPR approach to define novel mediators
 © Linnea Kristenson 2024
 Linnea.kristenson@gu.se

ISBN 978-91-8069-583-1 (PRINT) ISBN 978-91-8069-584-8 (PDF) http://hdl.handle.net/2077/79699

Printed in Borås, Sweden 2024 Printed by Stema Specialtryck AB



Det är underligt med vägar och floder, funderade Sniff, man ser dem gå förbi och får en hemsk lust att vara nån annanstans. Att följa med och se var de slutar...

ABSTRACT

Natural killer (NK) cells are cytotoxic lymphocytes of the innate immune system, capable of eliminating malignant cells. Their activity is intricately regulated through a balanced interplay between activating and inhibitory receptors that interact with molecules on their prospective target cells. This thesis employed genome editing to delve deeper into genes and molecules that influence these dynamic interactions. A loss-of-function genome-wide CRISPR screen using the leukemic cell line K562 with NK cell cytotoxicity as the selective pressure, unveiled genes impacting target cell susceptibility. TMEM30A depletion (paper I) rendered target cells partially resistant to NK-cell-induced lysis. Subsequent investigations elucidated its role in phospholipid transport within the plasma membrane. Loss-of-function mutations in TMEM30A, observed in certain cancers, upregulated phosphatidylserine on the cell surface enabling interaction with inhibitory NK cell receptor TIM-3, providing protection from NK cells. BAP1, another gene identified in the CRISPR screen (paper II) was found to support MHC class I expression through involvement in interferon-y signalling. Depletion of *BAP1* increased target cell sensitivity to NK cells by eliminating the inhibitory signal. The CRISPR/Cas9 technique was further employed to suppress the expression of crucial ligands for activating NK cell receptors. This manipulation allowed the investigation of alternative receptor-ligand interactions and provided a model to decipher the impact of a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in the receptor NKG2D gene on NK cell function (paper III). Notably, the identified SNP in the linked gene for NKG2A emerged as the key driver of NK cell function and additionally influenced the clinical outcome of immunotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Leveraging this established model cell line, dominantly killed via NKp46, a subsequent genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen was conducted to identify potential ligand candidates for the NKp46 receptor (paper IV). In conclusion, CRISPR/Cas9 technology proved to be instrumental in uncovering molecular mechanisms that regulate the interaction between NK cells and their target cells, which may pave the way for therapeutic interventions in cancer.

Keywords: Natural killer cells, CRISPR/Cas9 screen, *TMEM30A*, TIM-3, Phosphatidylserine, *BAP1*, acute myeloid leukemia, immunotherapy, HDC/IL-2, NKG2A, NKp46 ligand

Kroppens immunförsvar består av en uppsjö av molekyler och celler vars uppgift är att skydda oss både från yttre hot så som virus och bakterier men även inre hot i form av cancer. Den här avhandlingen fokuserar på en specifik celltyp som kallas naturlig mördarcell på svenska och mer känd som NK-cell på engelska, vilken har väckt intresse för nya former av immunterapi för cancerbehandling. NK-celler övervakar och dödar kontinuerligt celler som visat sig vara virusinfekterade eller canceromvandlade. För att särskilja sjuka celler från friska har NK-celler en uppsättning aktiverande och inhiberande receptorer på sin yta, vilka interagerar med proteiner och strukturer på målcellen. Dessa så kallade ligander kan regleras upp och ned på målcellen beroende på olika omständigheter. Friska celler uttrycker proteiner på sin yta som skyddar dem mot attacker från NK-celler. Å andra sidan tenderar cancerceller att uttrycka stressrelaterade proteiner, vilka kan binda till aktiverande receptorer på NK-celler och resultera i en dödande attack. Mycket av det som påverkar interaktionen mellan NK-celler och målceller är fortfarande okänt och det finns ligander som ännu inte upptäckts.

För att identifiera nya ligander och strukturer som är involverade i interaktionen mellan cellerna så utförde vi en omfattande kartläggning med hjälp av gensaxen CRISPR. Genom undersökningen fick vi kunskap om gener som på olika sätt påverkar interaktionen, så som *TMEM30A* som är involverad i förflyttning av olika lipider i cellmembranet. Mutationer i denna gen har upptäckts i vissa cancersorter, och i delarbete I kunde vi visa att dessa mutationer kan utgöra en mekanism för cancercellerna att undkomma avdödning av NK-celler genom att inhiberande strukturer ökar på cellernas yta. *BAP1* identifierades också i undersökningen, och i delarbete II visades att mutationer i denna gen påverkar förmågan att öka uttrycket av vissa inhiberande strukturer på cellens yta, vilket kan påverka deras interaktion med både NK-celler och andra celler i

immunförsvaret. CRISPR användes även för att skapa en genmodifierad cancercell som kunde dödas med hjälp av två specifika aktiverande receptorer. Med hjälp av de cellerna kunde vi i delarbete III belysa vikten av vissa genvarianter för överlevnad efter behandling av akut myeloisk leukemi (AML) med immunterapi. Genom att manipulera interaktionerna mellan NK-cellen och denna cancercell med antikroppar kunde vi skapa en experimentell modell där avdödningen av cancercellerna nästan uteslutande sker med hjälp av den aktiverande receptorn NKp46. Genom en ny CRISPR-undersökning med denna modell kunde vi identifiera nya ligandkandidater till denna viktiga NKcellsreceptor.

LIST OF PAPERS

This thesis is based on the following studies, referred to in the text by their Roman numerals.

- I. Kristenson L, Badami C, Ljungberg A, Islamagic E, Tian Y, Xie G, Hussein BA, Pesce S, Tang KW, Thorén FB. Deletion of the *TMEM30A* gene enables leukemic cell evasion of NK cell cytotoxicity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2024. Accepted for publication.*
- II. Badami C, Kristenson L, Svensson F, Kathirkamanathan T, Thorén FB.
 BAP1 deletion disrupts IFNγ signaling and sensitizes cancer cells to NK cell cytotoxicity. *In manuscript.*
- III. Hussein BA*, Kristenson L*, Pesce S, Wöhr A, Tian Y, Hallner A, Brune M, Hellstrand K, Tang KW, Bernson E, Thorén FB.
 NKG2A gene variant predicts outcome of immunotherapy in AML and modulates the repertoire and function of NK cells. *J Immunother Cancer. 2023 Aug;11(8):e007202.**Equal contribution
- IV. Kristenson L, Islamagic E, Badami C, Rockstein L, Lind S, Hussein BA, Svensson F, Pesce S, Johansson L, Tang KW, Hellstrand K, Thorén FB.
 Identification of natural cytotoxicity receptor ligands using a CRISPR-engineered target cell line. *In manuscript.*



Additional publications not part of this thesis:

i. Chuang TP, Lai WY, Gabre JL, Lind DE, Umapathy G, Bokhari AA, Bergman B, Kristenson L, Thorén FB, Le A, Doebele RC, Van den Eynden J, Palmer RH, Hallberg B. ALK fusion NSCLC oncogenes promote survival and inhibit NK cell responses via SERPINB4 expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2023 Feb 21;120(8):e2216479120.

CONTENT

ABBREVIATIONS	XII
PREFACE	XIV
THE IMMUNE SYSTEM	1
NATURAL KILLER CELLS	3
The "Missing self" hypothesis NK cell genes Inhibitory receptors Activating receptors	4 5 6 13
SYNAPSE FORMATION	18
TARGET CELL DEATH	24
Perforin/Granzyme pathway Death-receptor mediated pathway Killing dynamics	24 26 30
NK CELLS IN CANCER	32
Acute myeloid Leukemia NK cell interaction with cancer cells and tumour escape NK cell-based immunotherapy	33 34 36
GENETIC ENGINEERING	44
CRISPR	45
METHODS	47
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	50
CONCLUDING REMARKS	68
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	70
BIBLIOGRAPHY	72

ABBREVIATIONS

ADCC	Antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity
AML	Acute myeloid leukemia
CAR	Chimeric antigen receptor
CD	Cluster of differentiation
CML	Chronic myeloid leukemia
CR	Complete remission
CRISPR	Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats
DCs	Dendritic cells
DD	Death domain
DISC	Death-inducing signalling complex
GPI	Glycolsylphosphatidylinositol
gRNA	Guide RNA
HDC	Histamine dihydrochloride
HLA	Human leukocyte antigen
IFNγ	Interferon-gamma
IL	Interleukin
IS	Immunological synapse

ITAM/ITIM Immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation/inhibition motif

KIR	Killer-cell immunoglobulin-like receptor
КО	Knockout
LFS	Leukemia-free survival
LRC	Leukocyte receptor complex
MDCS	Myeloid-derived suppressor cells
MHC	Major histocompatibility complex
NCR	Natural cytotoxicity receptor
NK	Natural killer
NKC	Natural killer complex
OPG	Osteoprotegerin
OS	Overall survival
PtdSer	Phosphatidylserine
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
tKO	Triple-knock-out
ΤΝFα	Tumour necrosis factor-alpha
TRAIL	TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand
TRAIL-R	TRAIL-receptor
WΤ	Wild-type

PREFACE

Cancer is a group of diseases that constitutes a leading global cause of mortality. It is characterized by uncontrolled growth of the body's own cells that are capable of spreading to other parts of the body. Over the past several decades, conventional cancer treatments have involved irradiation, chemotherapy and surgical interventions. In more recent years, strategies to harness the endogenous immune system have been developed to combat cancer. This approach has shown success in treatment of several malignancies, with the groundbreaking discovery of checkpoint inhibitors being honoured with the Nobel Prize in 2018. Many of these immunotherapeutic treatments benefit from natural killer (NK) cell involvement. Much remains unknown regarding the interplay between NK cells and cancer cells. To enable development of novel therapies, more knowledge is imperative. This thesis endeavours to contribute to the ongoing quest to decipher the cancer puzzle. By the investigation of genes and molecular mechanisms that influence the dynamic interactions between cancer cells and NK cells, it can hopefully broaden our understanding and potentially pave the way for the development of future therapies.

THE IMMUNE SYSTEM



In a world full of pathogens of various kinds, a protective system is needed. The human immune system comprises a complex network of cells and molecules that have evolved to protect us from external threats like viruses and bacteria. All variants of immune cells originate from hematopoietic stem cells located in the bone marrow, and are generated in a process known as haematopoiesis, as illustrated in figure 1 (1).



Figure 1. Haematopoiesis. Immune cells from the innate and adaptive immune system develop from myeloid or lymphoid progenitor cells, originating from a hematopoietic stem cell. Created with Biorender.com

The classification of immune cells into the innate or adaptive immune system, although traditionally distinct, has become nuanced in recent times, as it has been discovered that certain innate cells possess traits typically associated with adaptive immunity (2). The adaptive immune system is composed of two key lymphocytes: B and T cells. These cells recognize an extensive array of antigens through their highly variable antigen receptors. The generation of this diverse specificity results

from stochastic rearrangements of gene segments, allowing for virtually limitless variations to recognize a wide range of pathogens. Cells that recognize selfantigens are typically inactivated or eliminated during their maturation in the bone marrow and thymus. The T cell receptor recognize antigens bound to a major histocompatibility complex (MHC) (1). The peptides presented on the MHC molecules are derived from the continuous degradation of intracellular proteins. Subsequently, these peptides are transported into the endoplasmic reticulum lumen by transporter proteins TAP1 and TAP2, where they undergo trimming by the ERAAP protein. Proper folding of the MHC molecule is dependent on peptide binding before it is transported to the cell surface (1). The activation of adaptive T cells relies on cells from the innate immune system. Antigenpresenting cells (APCs) such as dendritic cells (DCs), internalize pathogen structures and degrade them to enable presentation of peptides on their MHC class I or II molecules. Following pathogen engulfment, dendritic cells migrate to secondary lymphoid organs where they can activate T cells (1).

In addition to dendritic cells, the innate immune system encompasses various additional myeloid cells such as macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils and basophils. These cells recognize pathogens or pathogen-induced damage through the germline-encoded pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) of the innate immune system. The evolutionary conserved structures associated with microorganisms and recognized by these receptors are termed pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which can include mannose-rich oligosaccharides and peptidoglycans found in the bacterial cell wall. The PRRs include both transmembrane receptors such as Toll-like receptors recognizing extracellular structures, and cytoplasmic receptors such as NOD-like receptors recognizing intracellular structures (1). A fundamental function of the innate immune system is performed by the phagocytic cells, including macrophages, granulocytes and dendritic cells, which have the capability to engulf and degrade pathogens. Another component of the innate immune system are the innate lymphoid cells (ILC), including natural killer (NK) cells (1).

NATURAL KILLER CELLS



Section in brief:

NK cells are important immune cells, capable of eliminating cancer cells which they recognize through their repertoire of inhibitory and activating receptors. Their inhibitory receptors recognize MHC class I molecules which are expressed on all healthy nucleated cells, protecting them from harm. This constant inhibitory signalling helps the NK cells gain full functionality in a process called education. Malignant cells often downregulate MHC class I molecules and upregulate ligands for activating NK cell receptors, exposing them to potential eradication by NK cells.

NK cells were discovered in the 1970s and have since then proven to be a pivotal component of the immune system, playing a crucial role in defending the body against infections and malignancies (3-5). These cells exhibit both a unique ability to eliminate virus-infected or transformed cells without the need for prior sensitization, as well as an important role in immune regulation, positioning them as essential members of the innate immune response. In contrast to T cells, NK cells express a diverse array of inhibitory and activating receptors that enable them to distinguish between healthy and malignant cells. Stress or transformation can lead to upregulation of ligands for activating NK cell receptors. Upon engagement, these interactions prompt NK cells to release their lytic granule content, which induces apoptosis in the designated target cell. In contrast, healthy cells typically express ligands for inhibitory NK cell receptors, allowing them to evade NK cell cytotoxicity (6, 7).

NK cells are defined as CD3⁻CD56⁺ cells and can be further classified based on their expression level of CD56 and CD16. The immature CD56^{bright}CD16^{lo/-} are generally considered superior cytokine producers, while the more mature

CD56^{dim}CD16⁺ cells exhibit enhanced cytotoxic capacity. Interestingly, upon stimulation with target cells, CD56^{dim} cells have been observed to display notable cytokine-producing capabilities, challenging the traditional perception of their functional attributes (8). The phenotypic diversity of NK cells extends to their expression of inhibitory and activating receptors, contributing to their heterogenous nature (9-11).

NK cells play a crucial role in modulating the immune system by producing cytokines and chemokines, including the chemokines CCL3, CCL4 and CCL5 and the cytokines GM-CSF, IL-5, IL-13 and IL-10 as well as the proinflammatory tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF α) and interferon- γ (IFN γ) (11, 12). Upon an activating interaction with target cells or by cytokine stimulation, NK cells start production of IFN γ via the transcription factors STAT4, T-bet, AP-1, Eomes and Jun (13). IFN γ can induce apoptosis in tumour cells and stimulate the upregulation of MHC class-I expression, thus facilitating T cell recognition (13, 14). By IFN γ secretion, NK cells promote both maturation of DCs and priming of CD4⁺ T helper type 1 cells (6, 15). The functional IFN γ receptor comprises two IFNGR1 ligand-binding α -units and two signal-transducing IFNGR2 β -units. Upon binding of IFN γ to the receptor, the associated adaptor molecules JAK1 and JAK2 are activated leading to the phosphorylation of the transcription factor STAT1. Binding of STAT1 to interferon- γ activation sites facilitates transcription of genes related to antigen presentation, transcription and proliferation (13).

THE "MISSING SELF" HYPOTHESIS

Initially, it was thought that recognition by NK cells was independent on the MHC class expression of the target cell. However, Kärre *et al.* demonstrated that MHC class I molecules ("self") actively inhibit NK cells (16). The "missing self" hypothesis was thus proposed, suggesting that NK cells eliminate cells with downregulated MHC class I – a phenomenon frequently observed in virus-

infected or malignantly transformed cells (17). However, this hypothesis did not explain why certain cells lacking MHC class I, for example human erythrocytes, remained unharmed by circulating NK cells (7). The understanding of the details of NK cell recognition expanded with the identification of activating and inhibitory receptors expressed by NK cells, proving an explanation for how NK cells recognize target cells. Consequently, the engagement of these receptors with specific ligands expressed by the target cells creates a complex integration of signals, determining the cytolytic activity of NK cells (18).

NK CELL GENES

The inhibitory and activating receptors expressed by NK cell belong to two major receptor families: the immunoglobulin superfamily and the C-type lectin superfamily. These receptor genes are typically clustered together with the other family members on two main genomic locations. The genes of the immunoglobulin superfamily are situated in the leukocyte receptor complex (LRC) on chromosome 19 and encompass genes for NKp46 and Killer cell immunoglobulin-like (KIR) receptors. Conversely, a ~2Mb region on chromosome 12, known as the natural killer complex (NKC), harbours the c-type lectin genes such as *CD94* and the NKG2 family (19). Numerous allelic variations, marked by single base substitutions, have been identified in genes within the NKC region, with a frequency exceeding 10%. Certain of these single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the NKG2D and NKG2A genes have been associated with natural cytotoxicity activity and with cancer incidence. These SNPs are in linkage disequilibrium, suggesting a high likelihood of being inherited together (20).

INHIBITORY RECEPTORS

To ensure tolerance to autologous healthy cells, NK cells are equipped with inhibitory receptors (depicted in figure 2). Two major families recognize classical and non-classical MHC class I molecules. KIRs recognize HLA-A, HLA-B HLA-C, while the heterodimer NKG2A/CD94 (referred to as NKG2A) engage with non-classical HLA-E (7, 21).

Peripheral blood NK cells constitute a heterogenous population with varying expression of several markers, including NKG2A and KIRs. The receptor expression varies over the course of NK cell development. NKG2A defines more immature cells and as CD56^{dim} NK cells mature, NKG2A is downregulated. However, cytokine stimulation can still induce NKG2A expression. Conversely, KIRs and CD57 are lowly expressed by immature NK cells and are acquired during maturation of CD56^{dim} cells (22, 23).

Killer-cell immunoglobulin-like receptors

The human KIR gene family comprises 15 genes, yet the number of KIR genes present in any individual varies on group level, with only a limited set commonly shared. These highly polymorphic genes encode type I transmembrane glycoproteins characterized by two or three extracellular Ig-like domains, denoted as KIR2D or KIR3D respectively. The cytoplasmic tails of these receptors occur in varying lengths, designated long (L) or short (S). Long tails harbour one or two immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motifs (ITIMs), facilitating inhibitory signalling. Conversely, receptors with short tails associate with the adaptor protein DAP12 that enables activating signalling (7).

These receptors engage with both the α_1 and α_2 -helices of the HLA molecule as well as the bound peptide, providing a possibility of peptide-dependent modulations of the interaction (24). The KIRs recognize three main epitopes, distinguished by polymorphisms in the α_1 helix. Epitopes C1 and C2 of HLA-C are defined by two different amino acids at position 80, while residues 77-83

define the Bw4 motif in HLA-A and -B molecules. Each person can possess one, two or three of these epitopes and variations in the KIR genes and the associated peptide enables further diversifications of the interactions (25).

NKG2A/CD94

The type II transmembrane heterodimeric NKG2A engages the non-classical HLA-E molecule (26, 27). It is encoded by the *KLRC1* gene on the NK gene complex (NKC) region of chromosome 12 (21). The cytoplasmic tail of NKG2A harbours two ITIMs, facilitating inhibitory signalling (7). HLA-E binds peptides derived from the leader sequences of HLA-ABC, establishing an additional layer of protection of normal cells as it monitors the levels of other HLA molecules (26, 27). A dimorphism in the HLA-B leader peptide has been identified which impacts the HLA-E expression. This is caused by the presence of either a methionine (M) or a threonine (T) at residue -21 where methionine alone provides a strong anchorage to the HLA-E molecule that enables proper folding and subsequent surface expression (25, 28). Despite all HLA-ABC leader peptides being presented on HLA-E, individuals carrying at least one -21M allele exhibit increased surface expression. In contrast, T/T individuals demonstrate stronger KIR-dependent inhibitory signalling (25).

Non-HLA-specific inhibitory receptors

There are additional receptors expressed by NK cells that limit their function. These are not restricted to binding HLA molecules.

A family of nectin and nectin-like-binding receptors has emerged as novel NK cell immune checkpoints. This group includes the inhibitory receptors **TIGIT**, **CD112R**/PVRIG, **CD96**/TACTILE and the activating receptor DNAM-1 (described under the *Activating receptors* section). These receptors interact with PVR/CD155 and Nectin-2/CD112 with varying affinity. The ligands are typically found in adhesion junctions, particularly among epithelial and neuronal cells and have been observed to be upregulated on cancer cells. TIGIT is expressed on 40-60% of human peripheral blood NK cells, with higher levels observed on CD56dim

cells (29). The level of expression is suggested to correlate with maturation and serves as a marker for activation but has not been correlated with exhaustion (29-31). TIGIT exhibits the strongest affinity for PVR among the NK cell inhibitory receptors with a weaker affinity for nectin-2 (32). It initiates inhibitory signalling through its ITIM-containing cytoplasmic domain, like the other inhibitory receptors of this family. In contrast, CD112R demonstrates the strongest affinity Nectin-2, while CD96 interacts with PVR more strongly than DNAM-1 but less so than TIGIT (32). Ongoing research aims to elucidate their function and intricate relationship.

The T cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 3 (TIM-3) is an additional coinhibitory receptor. TIM-3 is encoded by the gene HAVCR2 and is a type I transmembrane protein, belonging to the TIM family of which TIM-1 and TIM-4 also are expressed in humans (33, 34). TIM-3 was first described to be expressed by IFNy-secreting T helper 1 cells and has later also been identified to be present on CD8+ T cells, dendritic cells, monocytes as well as NK cells, with the latter demonstrating the highest transcription levels in PBMC (35-41). It is constitutively expressed by virtually all CD56dim NK cells and heterogeneously by CD56^{bright} NK cells. Cytokine stimulation can upregulate the expression on both subsets (42). Several ligands are reported for TIM-3, including galactin-9, phosphatidylserine, high motility group protein B1 (HMGB1) and carcinoembryonic antigen cell adhesion molecule 1 (CEACAM-1) (43-47). TIM-3 is often considered a marker for T cell exhaustion (39, 48). For NK cells however, the role of TIM-3 is less defined and needs further exploration. Ndhlovu et al. suggested that TIM-3 is an activation and/or maturation marker for NK cells as its expression was induced on CD56^{bright} cells upon cytokine stimulation (42). However, Da Silva et al. studied TIM-3 in metastatic melanoma where TIM-3 defined a population of NK cells with a dysfunctional phenotype (49). Additionally, the functional consequence of TIM-3 stimulation also remains incompletely understood as TIM-3 has not only demonstrated induction of inhibitory signalling but also enhancement of IFNy production (50). This ambiguity is further augmented by its lack of canonical inhibitory signalling motifs in the cytoplasmic domain (51). Thus, the function of TIM-3 appears contextdependent, both regarding the disease setting and the nature of the presented ligand.

IRp60/CD300A is an inhibitory receptor that, like TIM-3, recognizes phosphatidylserine as well as phosphatidylethanolamine and has been demonstrated to strongly inhibit NK cell cytotoxicity (52-54). Its expression extends across various cell types, including NK cells. Though higher level of expression can be seen in CD56^{bright} NK cells, it is prevalent in most blood NK cells (53). In humans, it belongs to an eight-member family with both activating and inhibitory characteristics (55).

Inhibitory signalling

Inhibitory signalling in NK cells rapidly occurs upon engagement of the inhibitory receptors and is necessary to block potential activating signals at an early stage. As reviewed in detail later, the receptors aggregate at an inhibitory synapse after which the tyrosine residue within their intracellular ITIM motif undergoes phosphorylation by Src family kinases. The tyrosine phosphatases SHP-1 and SHP-2 are subsequently recruited to the ITIM through their SH2 domains, resulting in the blockade of activating pathways by dephosphorylation of critical proteins such as Vav1 (18, 56, 57).

Education

Results chieved in β_2 -microglobulin-deficient mice initially challenged the "missing self" theory as the autologous MHC class I-deficient cells remained unharmed by circulating NK cells (58, 59). Since also healthy nucleated cells may express ligands for activating receptors, a secondary control system is required to prevent autoreactivity. In the normal setting, all healthy cells express MHC class I, preventing elimination through interaction with its cognitive inhibitory receptor on the NK cell. However, not all NK cells express inhibitory receptors that recognize self-MHC class I. Since KIR genes and MHC class I genes are located

on different chromosomes and thus inherited independently, there is no safeguarding mechanism to ensure that all NK cells express a KIR that engages with a self-MHC class I. Indeed, approximately one tenth of all NK cells do not express inhibitory receptors for self-MHC class I (60, 61). To protect the host from NK cell-mediated harm, NK cells continuously undergo a process known as education or licensing. It is a process that fine-tunes NK cell activity with regards to its current environmental inhibitory input. Throughout their developmental journey, inhibitory receptors play a pivotal role in educating NK cells and those NK cells that do not express at least one receptor that recognize self-MHC class I molecules become hyporesponsive (62). NK cells adapt to their current MHC environments, as illustrated by the restoration of functionality in hyporesponsive NK cells transferred from MHC class I-deficient mice to a wildtype (WT) setting (63). The education process could be visualized as a bow that needs to be tightened with negative strain to generate full force upon release. The interaction between KIRs and NKG2A and their respective ligands complements each other, as individuals with a weak HLA-allele for KIR often harbour an allele supporting strong NKG2A-HLA-E interactions and vice versa (25). Moreover, TIGIT+ mouse NK cells exhibit heightened responsiveness towards PVRneg target cells compared with TIGIT cells, suggesting the potential involvement of TIGIT in the education of mouse NK cell (30). Inhibitory receptors serve as indispensable components for maintaining the functionality of NK cells, enabling them to respond effectively to target cells with reduced MHC class I levels.

Several models have been proposed to describe NK cell education. According to the *arming model*, functional maturation of NK cells requires inhibitory input obtained from the interaction with self-MHC class I. Cells that lack this signal hence remain immature and hyporesponsive (60, 64). Conversely, the *disarming model* portrays NK cells in a constantly reactive state, leading to loss of responsiveness due to continuous stimulation in the absence of inhibitory signals from self-MHC class I (60, 65). The *rheostat model* provides an analogous perspective on the education process, contrasting with the more binary "on-off"

views. It suggests that all the inhibitory signals of varying strength are summed up and collectively modulate the responsiveness of the cell (66). These models do not represent completely separated views and could, when combined, provide a comprehensive understanding of NK cell education.

The education process results in highly functional NK cells, but there are a few phenotypic characteristics that discriminate educated and uneducated NK cells. One study has demonstrated that self-KIR-expressing educated NK cells hold larger Granzyme B granule levels. This phenomenon may be attributed to the constant inhibitory input that allows granule stores to accumulate, while uneducated cells constantly loose granules due to the continuous stimulation (67). It has also been reported that unlicensed cells, despite forming fewer conjugates with target cells, exhibit normal lytic granule polarization upon conjugation. The hyporesponsiveness was instead partially attributed to diminished signalling from activating receptors to adhesion receptor LFA-1 (68, 69), which aligns with the study that demonstrated that educated cells exhibited more active LFA-1 after target cell stimulation. A stronger control of LFA-1 conformation was also demonstrated in educated cells upon cytokine stimulation. Additionally, the expression of the coactivating receptor DNAM-1 has been associated with NK cell education, believed to confer heightened effector functions to educated NK cells. This illustrates that the activity of NK cells is regulated at multiple levels to ensure minimal harm to self, given that the potentially reactive, educated cells, possess a stronger control system (70-72).



Figure 2. NK cell receptors and their corresponding ligands. Activating and inhibitory receptors expressed by NK cells and the ligands they interact with on a target cell.

ACTIVATING RECEPTORS

In addition to inhibitory receptors, NK cells also express a large repertoire of activating receptors that initiate activating signals upon engagement, leading to degranulation of cytotoxic granules, culminating in NK cell-mediated target cell killing. These receptors engage with ligands expressed by potential target cells, which are typically upregulated on virus-infected and transformed cells but may also be expressed in healthy tissues. Receptors and corresponding ligands are illustrated in figure 2.

The natural cytotoxicity receptors (NCRs) are regarded as pivotal activating receptors on NK cells and include NKp46 (NCR1/CD335), NKp44 (NCR2/CD336) and NKp30 (NCR3/CD337) (73-75). Using blocking antibodies, the NCRs have been demonstrated to be important mediators of NK cell cytotoxicity against many cancer cell lines. Their surface density has been correlated to the NK cell ability to kill target cells and the critical function of NCRs in cancer immunosurveillance has been demonstrated in several studies (paper II; (73-83)). The NCRs have also been implicated in defence against microbial pathogens including viruses (84-86).

NKp46 is highly conserved across species and is the only known NCR expressed in mice. NKp46 and NKp30 are found on both resting and activated NK cells, are upregulated on stimulated cells but downregulated on adaptive NKG2C⁺ NK cells. NKp44 expression is absent on resting NK cells but become expressed in response to cytokine stimulation (87, 88). NKp46 is expressed on all mature NK cells, ILC1, a subset of ILC3 and γ/δ T cells (76, 89).

Several ligands have been identified for the NCRs. Viral molecules, such as influenza-derived haemagglutinin, have been shown to interact with NKp46 and NKp44 (90, 91). All three NCRs bind different epitopes of the glycosaminoglycan heparan sulphate and it has been speculated that, although found on normal cells surfaces, these interactions function as a sensor for environmental changes due

to malignant transformation (92, 93). Intracellular proteins have also been found to interact with NCRs through surface expression caused by stress or tumour transformation. Nuclear BAT3/BAG6 has been reported to stimulate NK cell cytokine secretion through NKp30 by translocating to the membrane upon heat shock (94, 95). Similarly, both MLL5 and PCNA are nuclear proteins that were shown to translocate to the cell surface to function as a ligand for NKp44 (96, 97). The type I transmembrane protein B7-H6 is another ligand for NKp30 (98). It is not expressed on healthy cells but has been found upregulated on a large variety of cancer cells, a process aided by the proto-oncogene Myc (paper I; (98, 99)). Soluble forms of the ligand have been found in several cancer types and has been shown to force downregulation of NKp30 (100-103). Nidogen-1 is another soluble ligand reported to bind NKp44 (104). The identity of cellular ligands for NKp46 have remained largely elusive, despite extensive efforts. The participation of the intracellular cytoskeletal protein vimentin in NKp46-dependent killing of Mycobacterium tuberculosis-infected monocytes has been proposed, although it could not be established if it acted as a primary ligand to NKp46 (105). Additionally, the complement factor P/properdin has been shown to bind NKp46 although it was shown to induce a different NK cell response than the canonical pathway of activation (106). Likewise, CD4 and Siglec-6-8 were proposed to be binding partners to NKp46 in a large screening effort but their capacity to induce NK cell activation remains uncertain (107). Recently, ER-stress-induced externalized calreticulin was suggested as an NKp46 ligand (108). It remains to be determined whether these identified ligands account for the numerous unidentified NKp46Ls (109-114).

The structure of the NCRs comprises an extracellular portion of two C2-type Iglike extracellular domains (NKp46) or a single IgV-like domain (NKp44 and NKp30), linked with a stalk region, followed by a transmembrane region and a short intracellular domain (75, 76, 87, 115, 116). NKp46 has been shown to bind ligands through its membrane proximal domain (D2), which features several glycosylation sites of which one sugar-carrying residue, Thr225, plays a crucial role in the interaction of NKp46 with both viral and tumour ligands (86, 117, 118). Like NKp46, attachment of sialic acids to glycosylation residues on NKp44 has been shown to be important for recognition of viral ligands (91). For NKp30, the stalk region has been identified as crucial for ligand binding (119). Both NKp30 and NKp46 were shown to form homo-dimers or -oligomers which can provide a stronger ligand-binding affinity and contribute to target cell lysis (120, 121).

NKG2D is a major activating NK cell receptor of relevance for elimination of malignant cells (122). It is a type II transmembrane protein encoded by the *KLRK1* gene within the NKC on chromosome 6 and can be found on all human circulating NK cells as well on CD8⁺ and γ/δ T cells. NKG2D differs from other NKG2 family members in that it is expressed as a disulfide-linked homodimer and does not associate with CD94 molecules (123, 124).

The ligands for NKG2D are stress-induced molecules of cellular origin that are upregulated on infected, stressed or transformed cells. These ligands are structurally related to MHC class I-molecules although they do not associate with β_2 -microglobulin or bind peptides. MHC class-related sequence (MIC) A/B and UL16-binding protein (ULBP) 4/5 are type I transmembrane proteins, while ULBP1-3/6 are membrane-bound through a glycolsylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor, though ULBP2 and ULBP5 exist in both transmembrane and GPI-anchored versions (123, 124). Their genes are highly polymorphic which expands the variety of ligands even further (125). There is likely functional redundancy among the NKG2D ligands as there are individuals harbouring a null allele for MICA and/or MICB without any apparent phenotypic consequences (126). Yet, presence of diverse NKG2D ligands and the development of multiple evasion mechanisms by viruses and cancers, aimed at circumventing NKG2D-dependent recognition, underscores the importance of the NKG2D axis. Virtually all cells

can express one or more ligands and solid tumours commonly express two or more NKG2D ligands, which bind NKG2D with varying affinities (124, 127-129). However, it remains incompletely understood which NKG2D ligands are upregulated on specific cancers or by certain pathogens.

Additional receptors contributing to NK cell activation include 2B4/CD244, DNAM-1/CD226 and CD2. Although regarded as co-receptors, incapable of independently inducing cytolytic functions in NK cells, they have demonstrated roles in NK cell cytotoxicity (53, 130-132). Findings from both our genome-wide CRISPR screen and functional assays involving blocking antibodies, as outlined in papers I and IV, underscore the involvement of CD2 for recognition of leukemic cells (papers I, IV). These receptors are believed to play a crucial role in target cell adhesion (130, 133, 134). 2B4, DNAM-1 and CD2 are transmembrane proteins with two extracellular domains, expressed on various immune cells, including NK cells. 2B4 binds the GPI-anchored CD48, which also serves as a ligand for the adhesion molecule CD2, albeit with lower affinity than its primary ligand CD58/LFA-3 (135, 136). The ligands to DNAM-1 include Nectin-2/CD112 and PVR/CD155, expressed by cancer cells but also to some extent by healthy epithelial and endothelial cells (137). As mentioned, these ligands interact with stronger affinities with other NK cell receptors, including the inhibitory receptors TIGIT and CD112R, creating a dynamic relationship between activating and inhibitory signalling with varying affinities (138).

Redirected killing assays suggest a hierarchy among these receptors in coactivating NK cells, with 2B4 inducing the strongest activation, followed by DNAM-1 and CD2 as the weakest inducer (132). This hierarchy could be linked to the expression patterns of their corresponding ligands, given that LFA-3/CD58 exhibit broad expression, while CD48 expression is limited to hematopoietic cells and a specific subset of endothelial cells (132). Co-activation may thus be essential to limit NK cell alloreactivity. **CD16** is a low-affinity Fc receptor that enables NK cells to recognize IgG1 and IgG3 molecules for antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). This transmembrane protein comprises two Ig-like C2-type extracellular domains, a transmembrane domain and a short cytoplasmic tail. The density of CD16 is correlated with ADCC activity of NK cells (139). The engagement of CD16 contribute to NK cell cytotoxicity, cytokine and chemokine production as well as to IL-2-stimulated NK cell's function and proliferation (140-142).

Activating signalling

Activating receptors transmit signals intracellularly through tyrosine-based phosphorylation. The activating NK cell receptors with a short cytoplasmic tail, thus lacking signalling capacity, associate with adapter proteins containing immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motifs (ITAMs). There are three ITAM-containing adapter proteins expressed in NK cells: FceRIy, CD3ζ and DAP12 (143-145). FcRy and CD3ζ forms homodimers and heterodimers to associate with CD16 and NKp46 and NKp30, where a charged arginine residue in the transmembrane domain of the latter two enables the interaction (144, 146, 147). Instead, a lysine residue in the transmembrane domain of NKp44 allows association with the homodimeric DAP12 (18, 148). Upon signalling, the two tyrosines within the ITAM motif undergo phosphorylation by members of the Src-kinase family, facilitating binding of the SH2 domain of the tyrosine kinases Syk and ZAP70. This results in activation of PI3K, PLC-y and Vav 2/3. This process leads to Ca2+ influx, degranulation and transcription of chemokine and cytokine genes. NKG2D associates with the adapter molecule DAP10, which contains a tyrosine-based-motif that differs from the ITAM. Upon phosphorylation, it binds either to the p85 subunit of PI3K or the adaptor Grb2 and Vav1, both resulting in activation of Vav1 (7, 18, 149).

SYNAPSE FORMATION



Section in brief:

One of the main functions of NK cells includes elimination of malignant cells. As NK cells encounter potential target cells, the integration of signals received from inhibitory as well as activating determines whether to proceed with lysis of the encountered cell (18). Upon the interaction, the NK cell initiates the formation of an immunological synapse (IS), which is an intricately coordinated process that involves distinct steps, described in detail below.

Following the encounter of a potential target cell by the NK cell, the formation of the immune synapse (IS) unfolds in a highly organized, sequential process with specific stages and checkpoints, depicted in figure 3 (131, 150, 151). The rigidity of the target cell membrane has been shown to influence the ability of IS formation and the lipid composition impacts the cell interactions (**paper I**; (152)). The major purpose of the IS is to establish close proximity to the target cell to facilitate efficient cytotoxicity for the NK cell. Additionally, the formation of a tight synaptic cleft, typically 10-30 nm deep, serves to protect neighbouring cells from potential damage (153).

As lytic granules are preformed in resting NK cells, unlike resting cytotoxic T cells (CTLs), the events leading up to degranulation needs precise regulation. The IS formation, culminating in target cell killing, is conceptually divided into three stages: recognition, effector and termination stage (69). The first step of the recognition stage involves the first contact between the cells by "tethering" receptors (154). Firmer adhesion is enabled through interactions between integrins, such as MAC-1 and LFA-1 and its ligand ICAM-1, providing an initial activation signal via phosphorylation of Vav1, which is essential for downstream

cytoskeletal reorganization and lipid raft clustering (150, 155-158). As opposed to CTLs, resting NK cells have been found to express LFA-1 in its active conformation which could bring light to the more dynamic nature of NK cells' interaction with target cells (130, 151, 159). The activation of LFA-1 is further increased by a second activation signal through a receptor such as NKG2D, 2B4, DNAM-1 or CD2 (69, 130).

Inhibitory immunological synapse

The inhibitory IS is distinct from the lytic IS and represents a contact point between the two cell types where signalling functions to terminate the cytotoxic commitment (155, 160). Its formation occurs upon interaction between inhibitory NK cell receptors and their cognitive ligands on the target cell (130, 161, 162). The inhibitory receptors have been demonstrated to cluster at the inhibitory IS, without the need for actin reorganization (163-165). Vav1, being the substrate of SHP-1, undergoes dephosphorylation, thereby blocking further activating signalling due to the critical role of Vav1 in actin reorganization (155, 166). The recruitment of phosphatases rapidly leads to inhibition of multiple additional points of activation, including conjugate formation, LFA-activation, IS clustering of activating receptors and lipid rafts and Ca²⁺ mobilization (130, 155, 157, 163, 165-169).

Actin reorganization

Without overruling inhibitory signals, the effector stage is initially characterized by actin reorganization, followed by clustering of activation receptors, lipid raft aggregation and lytic granule polarization (169, 170). Filamentous actin (F-actin) is formed from cellular globular actin, dependent on Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASp), thus creating a radially symmetric stable cell-cell contact site with its typical cleft (151, 154, 161, 171).


Actin rearrangement has been shown to be crucial for both lipid raft polarization, cell-cell adhesion as well as activating signalling (155, 165). Indeed, polarization and clustering of CD2, LFA-1 and MAC-1 at the IS was shown to be restrained by inhibition of actin polymerization (150). Instead, NKp46 knockdown experiments revealed the importance of the receptor in actin rearrangement (172).

Receptor clustering

Receptor aggregation in the IS is important for generation of robust signalling in NK cells (173). Adhesion receptors such as LFA-1, MAC-1, 2B4 and CD2 have been found to cluster in the peripheral supramolecular activation cluster (SMAC), contributing to the ring shape of the IS together with F-actin (150, 174). Also the major activating receptors NKG2D, NKp46 and NKp30 have been found to cluster in the IS (163, 172, 174, 175). The nature of ligands that are presented on the target cell also influence aggregation as ULBP1 was shown to induce larger NKG2D receptor nanoclusters compared to MICA (176). The spatial arrangement of receptors may also be influenced by the lipid microenvironment. Lipid rafts have been observed in the periphery of the T cell synapse, potentially forming signalling platforms for activating receptors (169, 177). Following activating receptor signalling, PI3K-ERK2 and PLCy-JNK pathways facilitate mobilization of ions, in particular Ca2+, which is needed for granule exocytosis (178-180). PLCy-generated IP3 is involved in release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores in the endoplasmic reticulum and from extracellular environment by activating calcium channels (181, 182).

Granule polarization and exocytosis

Cytotoxic granules appear highly heterogenous and can contain granzymes, perforin, granulysin, death ligands and small anti-microbial peptides (182-184). Granzymes are serine proteases and the five variants expressed in humans (Granzyme A, B, H, K and M) exhibit different cleaving affinities (182, 184). Granzyme A and Granzyme K are thus defined as trypsins; Granzyme B aspase; Granzyme H chymase and Granzyme M metase. They are all synthesized as pro-

enzymes and are cleaved to their active form by cathepsin C or H in the granules (182). Perforin is a pore-forming protein, essential for the lytic function of cytotoxic lymphocytes, to deliver granzymes to the target cell (185). It is expressed at lower levels in CD56^{bright} NK cells compared to CD56^{dim}. An acidic environment in combination with the proteoglycan serglycin that form complexes with granzymes keep the lytic molecules inactive and prevent damage to the NK cell (186-189).

The preformed lytic granules move along microtubules to the microtubule organizing centre (MTOC) (190, 191). The MTOC is simultaneously polarized towards the synapse. Actin rearrangement as well as ERK phosphorylation, Vav1 activation and Pyk2 activity are required for MTOC polarization (192-194). Following vesicle priming, the granules fuse with the plasma membrane to be released into the cleft between the two interacting cells. Two modes of fusion are known to occur: complete and incomplete fusion. The complete fusion involves complete granule content discharge into the cleft whereas during incomplete fusion, only a portion of the content is released through a transient membrane pore (195). This practice, allowing for rapid recycling of granule content is suggested to be implicated in serial-killing, which is further explained in *Killing dynamics* (174, 196).

Lytic granule delivery and detachment

The termination stage describes the period when the NK cell remains relatively inactive and the IS is preserved in order to protect surrounding cells while maintaining a high concentration of cytotoxic effector molecules to ensure target cell death (154). NK cells have been reported to safeguard themselves from lytic molecules through the externalization of LAMP-1/CD107a and the cytosolic expression of serine protease inhibitor B9 (197-199). Studies also suggest that the tightly packed lipids, found in the membrane of the granules itself and exposed on the plasma membrane after exocytosis, reduce the perforin-binding capacity (200, 201). After interaction, activating receptors are internalized or shredded to

enable detachment from the target cell (202, 203). The interaction of inhibitory receptors with apoptosis-induced PtdSer on the target cell may enable termination signalling (**paper I**). Establishment, maintenance as well as dissociation of the IS are highly regulated processes (156). Detachment of the NK cell from the target cell is regulated by several factors and is a determining factor of the serial-killing capacity of NK cells (202, 204). Resistant target cells or incomplete killing prolonged the detachment process that was induced by target cell loss of surface proteins and reduction in activating signalling in NK cells (69, 204-206).

TARGET CELL DEATH



Section in brief:

NK cells employ two primary pathways to induce apoptosis in target cells. The first involves the use of granzymes that are stored in lytic granules, while the second relies on ligation of death receptors. These pathways exhibit distinct dynamics, determining the circumstances under which NK cells favour one method over the other (206).

PERFORIN/GRANZYME PATHWAY

After lytic granule exocytosis from the NK cell into the synaptic cleft, perforin has the ability to insert itself into the target cell membrane. Pores in the membrane can be generated by perforin oligomerization, in a calcium- and pH-dependent process. Depending on local concentration of perforin and lipid composition, both smaller, transient pores and larger, stable, ring-shaped pores form (182, 187). Two mechanisms for the entry of granzymes into the target cell cytoplasm have been proposed. The first suggests that granzymes enter through plasma membrane pores formed by perforin. Alternatively, a perforin-serglycingranzyme complex is endocytosed by the target cell, potentially using the mannose 6-phosphate receptor, and perforin instead disrupts the endosomal membrane, allowing granzyme to diffuse into the cytoplasm, where it induces apoptosis via caspase-dependent or independent mechanisms. Several studies favour of both mechanisms (185, 207-209).

Granzymes initiates several pathways (depicted in figure 4), all ultimately leading to cellular apoptosis, which can be described as ATP-dependent programmed caspase-mediated cell death. It occurs normally during development, cell population maintenance and in response to cell damage, induced by cytotoxic immune cells. It induces minimal inflammation and damage to surrounding tissue (210). The caspase-dependent initiation of apoptosis involves direct granzyme cleavage and activation of caspases, such as granzyme B activation of caspase-3 and -8 (182, 211). Granzymes can further induce apoptosis through other mechanisms such as granzyme B- or granzyme K-mediated cleavage of the proapoptotic protein Bid, a member of the Bcl-2 family that control and regulate the apoptotic mitochondrial processes (182, 212). Cleavage of Bid lead to membrane permeabilization, causing release of mitochondrial proteins, like cytochrome c and smac into the cytosol (213, 214). Upon binding to cytochrome c, the procaspase-activating adaptor protein Apaf1 polymerizes, forming a wheelshaped heptamer called apoptosome together with the initiator procaspase-9 (215, 216). This clustering activates caspase-9, which, in turn activates downstream executioner procaspases, including caspases-3/6 and -7 (217). Additionally, granzymes exert other functions through which they enhance apoptosis. Cleavage of DNA-associated proteins by granzyme A/B/K/M causes DNA damage and reduces DNA repair capacity, further amplifying the pro-apoptotic impact (218-220). Activated execution caspases degrade nuclear and cytoskeletal proteins and material by activating cytoplasmic endonucleases and proteases (221). Cytoskeletal reorganisation, dependent on caspase-3, leads to membrane blebbing, eventually resulting in formation of apoptotic bodies. The membrane also undergoes additional transformations during apoptosis (215). High Ca²⁺ concentration inhibits the activity of the P4-ATPase flippase and caspase-3 cleavage of the α -unit further inhibits its function (222, 223). Along with irreversible activation of the Xkr8 scramblase, this leads to exposure of PtdSer on the outside of the apoptotic cells (224). Phagocytes recognize PtdSer as an "eatme" signal to ensure clearing of dead cells before their membranes are compromised (225). This process is termed efferocytosis and although nonprofessional phagocytes, like epithelial cells, can clear dead cells, most apoptotic cells are cleared by macrophages (226-228).



Figure 4. Perforin/granzyme killing pathway. NK cells can kill their target cells by secretion of their cytolytic granule content containing perforin and granzymes.

DEATH-RECEPTOR MEDIATED PATHWAY

In addition to utilizing their activating receptors to trigger degranulation, NK cells possess an alternative mechanism for targeting and eliminating cells – employing their repertoire of death ligands. These ligands engage death receptors expressed on the surface of target cells. This arsenal includes the TNF family of ligands Fas ligand (FasL) and TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL). The binding of these ligands to their respective receptor on a target cell initiates the extrinsic apoptotic pathway, culminating in cellular apoptosis (184, 206). Expressed as homotrimeric type II transmembrane proteins, FasL and TRAIL contain a conserved C-terminal domain known as TNF homology domain that facilitates

self-association and interaction with their cognative receptors (229). FasL has been shown to associate with lipid rafts, which is reportedly crucial for its activity (230, 231). While one study suggested TRAIL association with lipid rafts in K562 cells, additional confirmation is required (232). FasL and TRAIL can also be cleaved from the cell surface by endopeptidases to form soluble versions (206, 233-235). Unlike FasL, soluble TRAIL has been shown to retain its activity albeit less potent than its membrane-bound form (206, 233, 236).

Both FasL and TRAIL are expressed on NK cells, with very low levels of TRAIL on resting NK cells, and both are induced upon cytokine stimulation (**paper IV**; (237, 238)). Additionally, TRAIL and FasL are present in intracellular lytic granules that are secreted upon activation with distinct kinetics (182, 183, 239, 240). These ligands are proposed to play a crucial role in NK cell-mediated control of cancer and virus infections as well as immunological self-tolerance (236-238, 241-243).

The Fas/CD95 receptor binds FasL while five receptors capable of binding TRAIL have been identified in humans: TRAIL-R1/DR4, TRAIL-R2/DR5, TRAIL-R3/Decoy receptor 1, TRAIL-R4/Decoy receptor 2 and the soluble receptor osteoprotegerin (OPG) (184). TRAIL-R2 and two decoy receptors have been identified in mice (184). The human membrane-bound receptors are expressed by the genes *TNFRSF10A-D* (238). The structural details of the TRAIL-receptors are depicted in figure 5. TRAIL-R1, R2 and R4 are all transmembrane proteins whereas TRAIL-R3 is anchored to the membrane by glycosylphosphatidylinositol. Notably, TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 are the only receptors equipped with intracellular signalling moieties, known as death domains (DD), which are capable of inducing apoptosis. Conversely, the lack of signalling capabilities in TRAIL-R3, TRAIL-R4 classifies them as anti-apoptotic decoy receptors. The trimeric TRAIL and FasL binds three corresponding receptors. TRAIL-R1 and -R2 form homotrimeric or heterotrimeric clusters, creating a receptor-ligand complex with the Zn²⁺ ion-stabilized TRAIL trimer at the centre

(240, 244-246). Inclusion of TRAIL-R4 in the heterotrimer complex disrupts signalling due to its intracellular truncated death domain (247, 248). Studies suggest further clustering into larger organized networks through dimerization of receptor trimers, facilitating full activation of intracellular signalling (246, 249). Supramolecular cluster formation is proposed to rely on the stability provided by the stalk domain of membrane-bound TRAIL, potentially explaining the lower potency of soluble TRAIL (250). Despite TRAIL-R2 having a stronger affinity for TRAIL at physiological temperatures compared with the other membranebound receptors, TRAIL-R1 is preferentially used for apoptosis-induction (245, 251-253). The distinct requirements for membrane-bound TRAIL between TRAIL-R1 and -R2, where the former does not seem to require it, may be attributed to the need for TRAIL-R1 localization to lipid rafts for functionality, unlike TRAIL-R2 (240). In addition to inducing apoptosis by binding Fasassociated death domain protein (FADD), TRAIL-R1, -R2 and -R4 has been demonstrated to induce gene transcription by activating NF-kB signalling through binding to TRADD (244, 254, 255).



Figure 5. Receptor structure. The TRAILreceptors TRAIL-R1-4 and Osteoprotegerin (OPG) differ in their structure. TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 both have a functional intracellular death domain, while TRAIL-R4 has a truncated version. TRAIL-R3 in associated to the membrane via a GPIanchorage and OPG is a soluble receptor. Once the active TRAIL or Fas receptor trimer complex is assembled, the deathinducing signalling complex (DISC) is recruited, as illustrated in figure 6. The DISC comprises Fas-associated death domain (FADD), which binds to the receptors through interactions between their DDs, and procaspases-8 binding to FADD through death effector domains (DEDs). The role of caspase-10 is not fully established but only caspase-8 appears to be essential for apoptosis (184, 206, 211, 247, 256-258). The stoichiometric ratio of the DISC components remains incompletely understood but a study suggests multifold more caspase-8, compared to FADD, which allows DED-chain formation, a crucial step for caspase-8 activation through autoproteolytic cleavage (259). Once activated, caspase-8 can in turn cleave and activate the executioner procaspases, culminating in target cell apoptosis (260).



Figure 6. Death-receptor induced killing. NK cells can kill their target cells by engaging death receptors using their death ligands TRAIL and FasL.

c-FLIP, encoded by the gene *CFLAR*, serves as a negative regulator of death receptor-induced apoptosis. Three isoforms are predominately expressed, among which the two short versions exhibit anti-apoptotic function and the long version act both pro- and anti-apoptotic, depending on expression levels. All isoforms contain DEDs, enabling them to bind to the DISC and outcompete procaspase-8, thereby inhibiting apoptosis (261). NK cells express two isoforms of c-FLIP to protect themselves from death receptor-induced apoptosis. Additionally, NK cells express TRAIL-R4 and upregulate TRAIL-R3 upon activation as a protective measure (262).

KILLING DYNAMICS

The two killing pathways, death receptor-induced killing and granzyme/perforinmediated killing, exhibit substantial differences in kinetics. Death receptorinduced killing is demonstrated to occur after approximately 90 minutes, while cytotoxic granule-mediated killing takes place within a few minutes (263). Potential explanations for this disparity include differences in granule localization and secretion dynamics. Moreover, variation in threshold levels required for the cytotoxic inducers adds another layer of complexity. The granzyme/perforin pathway necessitates only two to four granules to be released for target cell elimination, contrasting with the death ligand pathway which may require a higher number of death ligands for effective apoptosis induction (206, 264). Differences in kinetics of intracellular signalling pathways are also noteworthy. Granzyme B, upon cytoplasmic entry, efficiently cleaves caspase-3, triggering the apoptosis cascade. Conversely, death ligands form larger clusters upon receptor engagement before the DISC assembly, leading to apoptosis. This potentially slower process has been demonstrated to be even less efficient in specific cells, resulting in delayed induction of apoptosis (206).

It has been demonstrated that NK cells use the two different methods with distinct dynamic timing. This is evident in studies of so-called serial-killing NK cells, which constitutes a small subset of NK cells that appears to account for the majority of target cell killing events. These cells exhibit the capability to eliminate as many as seven target cells within a 12-hour period (204, 265). For this phenomenon to occur, specific dynamics and regulated processes come into play. The detachment from one target cell has been identified as crucial to enable the formation of a new synapse (202, 204). During this process, activating receptors are downregulated or shed which can pose a limiting factor for further serialkilling (202, 203). Another limiting factor is granule depletion, as it has been demonstrated that NK cells use 10% of their total lytic granule content in a single killing event, despite that only two to four degranulation events are needed (264). Stimulation with IL-2 or IL-15 has been shown to assist in restoring granule content (265). A study by Prager et al. revealed that serial-killing NK cells initially employ the faster and more efficient granzyme/perforin-mediated killing, which is gradually replaced by the slower death ligand-mediated killing as the granule content is depleted (266).

NK CELLS IN CANCER



Section in brief:

NK cells are important players in the battle against cancer, with the most established role in acute myeloid leukemia. Thus, cancer cells develop various mechanisms to evade NK cell recognition. To combat the evasion strategies, immunotherapeutic interventions are under development, with the aim of boosting the immune system. These include stimulation by cytokines, the transfusion of immune cells, potentially enhanced by genetic engineering and antibodies for various purposes.

NK cells are considered to play a crucial role in surveillance and defence against cancer (4). While their pivotal role in haematological malignancies is established, their presence in solid tumours also associates with improved survival in various cancer types including colorectal, lung and gastrointestinal stromal cancer (78, 79, 81, 267-269). However, the prevalence of NK cells within tumours is notably lower than that of T cells and their functionality can be dampened by the immunosuppressive tumour microenvironment (TME) (270, 271). This often results in NK cells adopting an exhausted phenotype, characterized by reduced levels of perforin and granzyme B. NK cells have been suggested to be more efficient in preventing metastasis than controlling the solid tumour growth (270, 272). Consequently, interventions aiming to modulate the immune response by alleviating suppression and enhancing NK cell infiltration, persistence and cancer cell recognition hold promise in improving cancer outcomes. NK cells exhibit diverse function across cancer types, emphasizing the need for studies that explore the impact of different NK cell phenotypes and genotypes in specific settings (273, 274). Such investigations are necessary for a comprehensive understanding of NK cell dynamics in cancer and may pave the way for more targeted and effective therapeutic approaches tailored to specific cancers.

ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is the most prevalent form of acute leukemia, affecting three to eight people per 100 000 with a median age of around 70 years (275). AML is characterized by uncontrolled proliferation of immature myeloid cells which have lost the ability of normal differentiation and controlled growth. Consequently, AML entails an accumulation of undifferentiated myeloid cells in bone marrow. These cells are incapable of generating mature blood cells, which additionally prevents the proper differentiation of normal cells (275, 276). The five-year overall survival exhibits large age-dependent variations, exceeding 50% for individuals below 50 years but dropping to around 10% for those above 70 years of age (277). AML is a heterogenous disease, marked by diverse karyotypic and mutational aberrations that impact prognosis (275). Leukemic cells devoid of chromosomal alterations, exhibiting a so-called normal karyotype, display recurrent mutations in *NPM1*, *FLT3*, *DNMT3A*, *IDH1/2* and *CEBPA* (278).

Standard treatment involves immediate induction therapy post-diagnosis with the aim to eliminate leukemic cells from the bone marrow and to restore normal haematopoiesis, through high doses of chemotherapeutic drugs. The specific regimen varies globally but typically include induction cycles with three days of anthracycline and seven days of cytarabine, whereas in Sweden cytarabine is administered for only five days (275, 276, 279). Older patients may instead receive venetoclax and azacytidine in the initial phase of therapy. The primary goal of this first phase is to induce complete remission (CR), defined as less than 5% blasts among nucleated bone marrow cells along with the return of normal haematopoiesis (280). Patients in CR subsequently undergo consolidation therapy, typically involving additional cycles of chemotherapy but can also include allogeneic stem cell transplantation for selected patients (276, 277). Targeted therapies for certain subtypes of AML have recently been incorporated into treatment recommendations (281). Despite achieving CR and undergoing consolidation therapy, many patients relapse with poor prospects of long-term survival. Maintenance therapy, administrated after the completion of conventional induction and consolidation therapy, serves as a promising strategy to sustain prolonged remission, in particular for patients ineligible for allogeneic transplantation (276). Approved therapies include 5-azacitidine for older patients and histamine dihydrochloride/IL-2 for younger patients (282, 283). The rationale behind the latter is discussed in detail later.

NK CELL INTERACTION WITH CANCER CELLS AND TUMOUR ESCAPE

NK cells play a complementary role to T cells, both possessing the ability to recognize MHC class I but in diverging manners. Cancer cells expressing MHC class I-bound peptides face the risk of detection and elimination by T cells. To evade this recognition, cancer cells often downregulate the expression of the MHC complex at various levels involving transcriptional, epigenetic and posttranscriptional mechanisms (284). Given that MHC class I is recognized by NK cell inhibitory receptors, its absence instead triggers NK cell cytotoxicity, resulting in elimination of the cancer cell. During their transformation, cancer cells tend to upregulate activating ligands for NK cell receptors. This interaction has been proven critical as the expression of activating NCRs associates with improved outcome in several diseases (81, 285, 286).

Due to the higher expression of TRAIL-Rs on malignant cells, TRAIL has been proposed as a promising therapeutic target due to its potential selectivity (287). Evidence of the protective role for TRAIL in cancer development has been generated using TRAIL/TRAIL-R-deficient mice or by antibody blockade of the interaction. Depletion of the ligand leads to higher susceptibility to tumour formation as well as metastasis formation (238, 288). The role for TRAIL-R is less straightforward however, as studies have reported diverging results from TRAIL-R-deficient models (238). The anti-tumour effect seen by TRAIL could also be attributed to targeting of immunosuppressive cells within the tumour microenvironment (238, 289). The role for NK cells in TRAIL-mediated cancer control has been demonstrated in several studies and gained further support from studies where the effect of the neutralizing TRAIL antibody was abolished by NK cell depletion (238, 243, 288).

Escape mechanisms

Cancer cells employ various strategies to avoid recognition and elimination by NK cells. Dysregulated expression of activating and inhibitory NK cell receptors is often demonstrated in cancer patients, leading to impaired NK cell function (78, 290, 291). Inhibitory receptors like PD-1 and TIGIT are frequently upregulated in cancer settings, potentially contributing to dysfunctional NK cells (292, 293). Conversely, lower levels of activating receptor are often observed in various cancer types, as a result of different manipulation mechanisms. The release of soluble forms of ligands such as B7-H6, galactin-3, BAT3 and NKG2DLs has been associated with the downregulation of NKp30 and NKG2D (100-103, 285, 294-296). Cancer cells employ various mechanisms to achieve this, including ligand secretion, matrix metalloprotease-assisted shedding or by ligand-containing exosome release (100, 297-300).

Tumour-infiltrating NK cells are commonly scarce and the NK cells found within the tumour are highly influenced by the immunosuppressive TME (271, 301). Factors produced within the tumour promote the accumulation of myeloidderived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which exhibit an immunosuppressive phenotype, impairing T and NK cell function through mechanisms such as consumption of essential metabolites, expression of inhibitory surface molecules and the production of radical oxygen species (ROS) and nitrogen species, inducing NK cell dysfunction and apoptosis (302, 303). Cancer cells and MDSCs secrete TGF- β to promote tumour growth while inhibiting immunosurveillance promoting by downregulating activating NK cell receptors and immunosuppressive regulatory T cell differentiation (303-305). Additionally, the production of lactic acid creates an acidic TME which inhibits NK cytotoxicity (306, 307). PtdSer is also commonly dysregulated in the TME, contributing to immunosuppressive environment (308, 309).

Cancer cells have developed various mechanisms to evade direct cytotoxicity from NK cells, including the secretion of cathepsin B to cleave and inactivate perforin, the production of SerpinB9 to inhibit the function of granzyme B and activation of autophagy to degrade granzyme B (206, 310-314). Additionally, to avoid death ligand-induced elimination, cancer cells have developed various resistance mechanisms. Mutated forms of TRAIL receptors and altered levels of decoy receptors have been identified (315-317). Furthermore, the expression of the apoptosis inhibitory protein c-FLIP is also a common mechanism for evasion of death ligand-mediated apoptosis (318).

NK CELL-BASED IMMUNOTHERAPY

Given their importance in cancer immunosurveillance, numerous NK cell-based immunotherapies are under development in order treat these diseases and to combat the different mechanisms cancer cells can employ to evade the immune system.

Blockade of inhibition

As KIRs and NKG2A have been described as "NK cell immune checkpoints", antibodies developed to block these receptors were anticipated to exert antitumour efficacy, similar to the PD-1 and CTLA-4 blockade for T cells. However, the results for KIR-targeting antibodies have been somewhat disappointing (319). Nevertheless, the anti-NKG2A antibody monalizumab has shown potential benefits in combination with other treatment options and is currently undergoing evaluation in clinical trials for a spectrum of haematological and solid malignancies (29, 320). Given that NKG2A can be expressed not only by NK cells but also by CD8⁺ T cells, the latter represents a potential contributor of the treatment efficacy (320). The divergence in efficacy between immune checkpoints associated with T cells and KIR/NKG2A on NK cells could be attributed to distinct dynamics. Contrary to immune checkpoints associated with T cells, such as PD-1 and CTLA-4, which are upregulated on exhausted T cells to limit the immune response, KIRs and NKG2A are expressed on resting NK cells. They play a crucial role in NK cell education, contributing to the mediation of selftolerance. Disruption of these interactions may have more complex implications, as it involves interfering with the finely tuned mechanisms that govern the discrimination between self and missing-self by NK cells (29).

The role of PD-1 and CTLA-4 in NK cells remains largely unexplored but NK cells are suggested to contribute to the therapeutic success of anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4. Although, PD-1 does not appear to be a marker for exhausted NK cells, evidence indicates that it inhibits NK cell function and in vivo studies have highlighted the role of NK cells for the therapeutic efficacy of PD-1/PD-L1 blockade (321).

In PVR-expressing tumours blockade of TIGIT has shown promising results by improving NK cell cytotoxicity and cytokine secretion, where the expression of DNAM-1 appears vital (29, 322). The combined blockade against TIGIT and CD112R further enhanced NK cell responses against breast cancer cells, supporting the suggested complementary inhibitory pathways mediated by these two receptors (29, 323).

Despite contradictory results regarding TIM-3's role as co-inhibitory or activating receptor, anti-TIM-3 blockade improved NK cell effector function, as demonstrated by studies by da Silva *et al.* and *Xu et al.*, in experiments performed with cells from melanoma and lung cancer patients (49, 324). Studies utilizing TIM-3 blockade, alone or in combination with PD-1 have shown promising effects (48). Clinical studies are currently conducted but some have shown disappointing results (325).

Dysregulated PtdSer creates an immunosuppressive environment, presenting an opportunity for therapeutic interventions through targeted approaches. The use of both PtdSer-binding ligands and antibodies has exhibited anti-tumour potential by enhancing the immune response. The PtdSer-binding protein AnnexinV has been shown to augment the immunogenicity, both by pre-incubation of mice-injected apoptotic cells and by *in vivo* administration (326, 327). PtdSer-specific antibodies have demonstrated the ability to generate anti-tumour responses and to promote the polarization of immune-suppressive cells towards a more immunostimulatory phenotype (328, 329). Clinical trials investigating the antibody bavituximab has proven the drug to be safe but a phase III-trial with non-small cell lung cancer patients did not verify an effect (308, 330, 331). Recently however, a phase III-trial on glioblastoma patients, could demonstrate that bavituximab reduced the number of MDSCs, indicating potential benefits of the drug (332).

Cytokine stimulation

NK cells express receptors for various cytokines, thereby enabling responses to signals from the surroundings, such as induction of proliferation induced by IL-2, IL-12, IL-15, IL-18 and IL-21 (333). These receptors consist of subunits, with the common γ -chain shared among several receptors. The α - chain (CD25) binds IL-2 with low affinity, while the combined β (CD122)- and γ (CD132)- chains forms an intermediate-affinity complex. The heterotrimeric complex, composed by all three chains, binds IL-2 with high affinity (334). The IL-15 receptor shares the β -subunit with IL-2, and in combination with the common γ -chain and the IL-15 α -unit, forms the high affinity IL-15 receptor (333).

IL-2 has been shown to support survival and enhance NK cell functions by upregulation of perforin, granzyme B and activating receptors (333). Regulatory T (T_{reg}) cells express higher levels of the trimeric high-affinity IL-2 receptor, allowing them to be responsive to low concentrations of IL-2. In therapeutic settings, this enhanced response by T_{regs} to IL-2 risk reducing the stimulating

effect on other lymphocytes due to its immunosuppressive functions (335, 336). To counteract this, a modified IL-2 version displaying higher affinity for the β and γ -chains is being evaluated (337).

IL-2 has been approved for use in metastatic renal cell carcinoma and metastatic melanoma (333). It has also been evaluated as monotherapy in AML, but it has not shown any benefit for treatment of AML, despite the importance of lymphocytes in combatting the disease (338-341)). The inability of IL-2 to induce an effective anti-leukemic response has been hypothesised to be caused by the immunosuppressive mechanisms found in the disease. ROS produced by monocytes can induce NK cell apoptosis. Combining low-dose IL-2 with histamine dihydrochloride (HDC) was demonstrated by Brune *et al.* to not only promote NK cell killing of leukemic cells but also protect the NK cells from monocyte-derived ROS (342). By binding histamine type 2 receptors on myeloid cells, histamine reduces generation of ROS by the myeloid NADPH oxidase NOX2 (343). Following phase I/II and III clinical trials, demonstrating safety and improved leukemia-free survival, HDC/IL-2 was approved in the EU for relapse prevention in AML (344, 345).

A phase IV trial (the Re:Mission trial; overview presented in figure 7) was conducted to evaluate the immunomodulatory effects of the HDC/IL-2 treatment regimen as a maintenance therapy in AML, administered post-induction and consolidation chemotherapy cycles. The treatment induced expansion of NK cells and enhanced expression of NKp30 and NKp46 on CD16⁺ NK cells, which was associated with improved survival. It was also concluded that the number of CD56^{bright} NK cells at treatment onset was associated with improved outcome (80). This treatment uses low-doses of IL-2, avoiding the severe toxicity seen in high-dose regimens, and although T_{reg} cell expansion was observed during treatment cycles, it was not associated with impaired clinical outcome (346).



Figure 7. Overview of the Re:Mission trial. Following complete remission and consolidation therapy, AML patients were enrolled in the Re:Mission trial where they received ten three-week cycles of HDC/IL-2 over 18 months. Samples were collected before and after cycles one and three.

IL-15 has demonstrated activating effects on NK cells similar to those of IL-2 but does not expand T_{reg} cells, making it a suitable alternative to IL-2 treatment. (333, 336). Recombinant IL-15 has demonstrated enhanced expansion of NK cells and generally well tolerability in a phase I clinical trial with advanced solid tumours (347). Moreover, a modified variant of IL-15 has been engineered to extend its half-life, demonstrating promising results in a phase I clinical trial (348, 349).

NK cell engagers

Engagers are synthetic molecules engineered to facilitate binding between immune cells and their target cells. These include bi- and tri-specific antibodies and they typically target a tumour antigen on one end and one or two activating receptors on the other. Despite still being in the early stages of development for NK cells, several NK cell engagers (NKCEs) have been developed with promising results for various malignancies. Unlike T cell engagers, adverse events associated with NKCE are not commonly observed, potentially offering a safer therapeutic option. Bispecific NKCEs which target CD16 on NK cells and various antigens, like CD30, EGFR, CD123, CD19, CD20, CD33 and CD133 on tumours have demonstrated efficacy in pre-clinical studies. Some of these are currently under investigation in clinical trials (350). The addition of an IL-15 component to create a tri-specific NKCE has shown potential for further improvement by enhancing NK cell survival (351). Both bi- and tri-specific NKCEs targeting NKG2D to various tumour-antigens are investigated in phase I/II clinical trials. As NKG2D and CD16 expression may be downregulated in cancer settings, making them potentially unsuitable as targets, NKCEs developed to target NKp46 could offer a more stable alternative. NKp46 expression has been shown to remain stable and is predominantly expressed by NK cells, providing specificity. Numerous versions of bi-, tri- and even tetra-specific NKCEs have been engineered to target NKp46 and a range of antigens (350, 352). The bi-specific CYT-338, targeting NKp46 and CD38 has proven efficacious in multiple myeloma mice models (353). Furthermore, a tri-specific NKp46-ANKET NKCE, targeting NKp46, CD16 and a tumour antigen, demonstrated activation of NK cell and improved recruitment and tumour control in haematological and solid cancer models. This approach could potentially be enhanced by the addition of a variant of an IL-2 element to create a tetra-specific NKCE (350, 354).

Death receptor engagers

TRAIL has been regarded as a promising therapeutic alternative as it can selectively trigger apoptosis in cancer cells (235, 355). Two main strategies are under clinical investigation. These include TRAIL-R agonistic antibodies that bind TRAIL-R1 and TRAIL-R2 with high affinity as well as recombinant TRAIL. Several TRAIL-R1 antibodies have been developed but only HGS-ETR1/mapatumumab has entered clinical trials where phase I and II trails have shown good safety. The efficacy is dubious despite one promising trial with follicular non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (355, 356). Several trials have been conducted with TRAIL-R2 agonists but also these studies remain inconclusive due to lack of efficacy or liver toxicity. However, a multivalent antibody that in

theory would have superior capacity to generate trimerization of the TRAILreceptor has entered clinical phase I trials (355, 357). Despite the limitation of rapid clearance from the blood, recombinant TRAIL is still a promising therapeutic. Several versions are under investigation, of which dulanermin is the most studied. Despite several disappointing results, it has shown promise in a phase III trial for non-small-cell lung cancer (235, 358). Its questionable efficacy may be appointed failure to induce TRAIL-R trimerization and interaction with decoy receptors.

Cell therapies

The use of allogeneic and autologous adoptive NK cell transfer is an advancing field of immunotherapy which offers a high efficacy against various cancers. *Ex vivo* stimulation with various cytokines and feeder cells is a normal procedure to enhance the activity of the NK cells. The combination of IL-12, IL-15 and IL-18 generates cytokine-induced memory-like NK cells, which display heightened responses to restimulation. Cytokine-stimulated NK cells have been demonstrated to be safe and efficacious in clinical trials (350, 359).

Genetic engineering holds the potential to augment the tumour-targeting capabilities of NK cells. Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells, derived from autologous peripheral blood, have demonstrated remarkable success in haematological malignancies, with six therapies now FDA-approved for treatment of various blood cancers (360-362). As CAR T cell therapies face several challenges, such as severe toxicities and potential risk of lymphoma development, NK cells have emerged as a promising alternative (363). An anti-CD19 CAR NK product, generated from cord blood and engineered to express both IL-15 and an inducible suicide-switch, have shown promising results in phase I/II clinical trial in CD19⁺ B cell maligancies (364, 365). Multiple additional CAR NK cell products are currently under development or evaluation in clinical trials. NK cells can be sourced from various origins, including cord blood, peripheral blood, NK cell lines and induced pluripotent stem cells, each having

distinct advantages and disadvantages. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene disruption is becoming increasingly used to deplete the CAR NK cells of immune checkpoints, further fine-tuning their efficacy (366).

GENETIC ENGINEERING



Section in brief:

Modification of DNA through genetic engineering is useful in both research and clinical applications. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) is an innovative tool that utilizes a combination of an endonuclease and a guide RNA. This guide RNA provides instructions to the Cas9 enzyme, directing it to specific locations for DNA cleavage. The continuous evolution of this technique has enabled a spectrum of procedures, including gene knockout, knock-in, silencing and base-editing.

The ability to modify the genome has long been considered a valuable tool for both research and therapy. Historically, this process posed challenges, relying on spontaneous mutations or imprecise editing induced by mutagenic substances like irradiation or chemicals. The era of targeted editing began with the development of zink-finger nucleases in the 1990s and later with transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALEN) in the 2010s. These technologies involve DNAbinding proteins linked to endonuclease catalytic domains, inducing doublestranded breaks (DSBs) at a precise DNA target site (367, 368). The discovery of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) by Emmanuelle Charpentier and Jennifer Doudna in 2012 marked a paradigm shift and was honoured with the Nobel prize in 2020 (369). CRISPR offers numerous advantages, including ease of use, cost efficiency, high specificity and efficiency, as well as being useful for high-throughput and multiplexed gene editing and screening purposes (370).

CRISPR

Originally developed as a sophisticated bacterial defence mechanism against viruses, CRISPR has been harnessed for use in research and therapeutic applications (369, 371). Bacteria utilize sequence-specific RNA molecules, along with CRISPR-associated endonuclease proteins (Cas) to destroy invading foreign nucleic acids. Through engineering, the two-molecule system of tracrRNA:crRNA in bacteria can be combined into a single guide-RNA (gRNA) molecule, facilitating straightforward genetic editing of almost any genome of interest (369, 372). The gRNA consists of a 20 bases long spacer sequence specific to the target genomic sequence, and a scaffold sequence, allowing binding to the Cas protein. The simplicity of gRNA design is a compelling feature of the CRISPR/Cas system, making it widely applicable. Considerations of on- and offtarget activity are essential during gRNA design (373, 374). Crucial is also proximity of a protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) sequence, which is essential for the Cas enzyme to bind to the target DNA. For the commonly used Cas9 from Staphylococcus pyogenes (SpCas9), the PAM sequence is 5'-NGG-3', and although common in the human genome, Cas9 proteins from other species recognize alternative PAM sequences, allowing flexibility in target selection (369, 372, 375). New Cas proteins with novel features, such as Cas12, are continuously being discovered and hold promise for research and clinical applications (376). In papers I, II, III and IV, Cas9 endonuclease was used for knockout purposes.

Upon combined expression, Cas9 enzyme makes several interactions with the scaffold sequence of the gRNA, forming a ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP). This binding inflicts conformation changes to the Cas9 protein, activating its DNA-binding capabilities. The seed sequence, comprising the first 10-12 bases at the PAM proximal end of the spacer sequence, initiates binding to the DNA. If the sequence matches, the spacer continues annealing (372). Mismatches hinders binding, with the tolerance decreasing closer to the PAM sequence (373). The nuclease domains of the Cas9 endonuclease, RuvC and HNH, are exposed to the DNA upon the conformational change caused by PAM and DNA binding. The

HNH domain cleaves the complementary DNA strand, and the RuvC domain cleaves the non-complementary DNA strand, resulting in a double-stranded break (DSB) 3-4 bp upstream of the PAM sequence (369, 372). The DSB can be repaired by the cell through two main repair pathways: homolog-directed repair (HDR) or non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ). Depending on the desired outcome, both pathways can be useful. HDR is a high-fidelity repair mechanism but has low efficiency and is only active during S and G2 phases. Knock-in experiments use HDR by supplying a repair template, although additional modifications may be needed to increase efficiency. On the other hand, NHEJ is much more active but error-prone often resulting in insertions and deletions (indels) at the repair site. For knockout experiments, this tendency is utilized to induce a frame-shift mutation leading to a premature stop-codon, resulting in a non-functional protein (372, 377).

Beyond the creation of gene knockouts, the exceptional versatility of CRISPR/Cas systems has facilitated various additional applications, including CRISPR-activation of gene expression, base-editing and epigenetic regulation (378, 379).

CRISPR functions as a powerful gene-editing tool in the exploration and identification of diverse carcinogenic pathways and genetic mechanisms, spanning from the initiation of cancer to metastasis. The papers within this thesis are examples of how the CRISPR technology can be utilized to expand the knowledge in various aspects of cancer escape mechanisms, sensitivities and prognostic factors.

METHODS



This chapter provides a general overview of the major methods used. More detailed descriptions can be found in each paper.

CRISPR/Cas9 KO

To generate knockout cell lines with depleted expression of the proteins of interest to study, we employed the CRISPR/Cas9 technology. In the original K562 cell line, constitutive Cas9 expression was ensured by lentiviral transduction and clones were generated via single-cell sorting. For each gene knockout, plasmid-based gRNAs were delivered to the K562 cells using electroporation and single-cell-sorting was performed for clone generation. In other cell lines, Cas9 and gRNA were delivered by electroporation or lipofection in the form of comprising ribonucleoprotein, а Cas9 protein, complexed with crRNA/tracrRNA mRNA. Protein staining was initially used for knockout verification, followed by sanger sequencing for clone validation.

Genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen

The experimental design for the genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screen is depicted in figure 8. K562 cells underwent double lentiviral transduction to ensure adequate Cas9 expression. For the screen using our generated triple-KO (tKO) cell line, the three ligands B7-H6, PVR and Nectin2 were first depleted using CRISPR/Cas9 and a verified negative clone was generated. We used the pooled genome-wide human Brunello CRISPR knockout gRNA library. This library contains an average of four gRNAs per approximately 19 000 genes as well as 1 000 non-targeting control gRNAs (380). Both WT-K562 cells and tKO-K562 cells were subsequently transduced with lentivirus containing the Brunello gRNA library at multiplicity of infection of 0.4, to increase the chance of maximum of one gRNA per cell. Antibiotic selection eliminated untransduced cells and the culture was split into two replicates. To prevent potential growth advantages or disadvantages associated with specific knockouts, cells were cultured for a maximum of ten days before selection initiation. Prior to NK cell selection, titration experiments were conducted to define optimal conditions. To initiate the selection procedure, the culture was mixed and split in two batches per replicate. The cells used for selection was co-cultured together with polyclonally IL-2-activated NK cell. One condition tKO-screen condition included a blocking antibody against NKG2D. The control batch was cultured in medium alone under the same conditions.



Figure 8. Overview of the genome-wide CRISPR screen. K562 cells were transduced with Cas9 and a gRNA library for stochastic KO of genes. Cells were later exposed to NK cells to select based on enhanced or reduced susceptibility to NK cell cytotoxicity. Comparison with unexposed control cells using NGS revealed genes important for the interaction.

Cultures were maintained until approximately 60% of the target cells were killed. Each condition and replicate was pooled separately and cultured for an additional two days with the addition of antibiotics to remove NK cells. Subsequently, cells were pelleted and frozen. The accumulation or depletion of specific guides within the NK cell-selected population, compared to the unexposed cells in the control arm, was analysed using next generation sequencing and the MAGeCK software.

Functional assays

The studies presented in this thesis predominantly focus on NK cell function and activity in response to specific stimuli. Flow cytometry-based assays were primarily used for these investigations, where the endpoint parameter could include the quantification of dead target cells or assessment of degranulating or cytokine-producing NK cells. The basic procedure involved co-culture of NK cells and target cells, with one cell type, usually the target cells, pre-labelled before exposure to facilitate the separation of the two cell types during analysis. In cytotoxicity assays, different cell ratios were used to ensure an optimal level of killing. Finding a balance is crucial, as excessive killing may mask true differences between samples, while insufficient killing may make differences difficult to discern. A live/dead marker, staining DNA or intracellular amines was employed to study killing, enabling the identification of cells with compromised membranes. For degranulation, an antibody binding to the granule marker CD107a/LAMP-1 was used to stain all NK cells that exposed the intragranular protein on their surface due to granule release. For cytokine production, cells were fixed and permeabilized after co-culture to allow for intracellular staining, with a blocking agent used to trap cytokines in the Golgi complex.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



The overall objective of this thesis work was to enhance our understanding of the factors influencing the interaction between NK cells and their target cells, with a focus on exploring novel proteins and structures involved in this process.

To identify genes associated with the NK cell and target cell interaction, we used CRISPR screens, allowing a larger-scale study of phenotypic alterations in cells as a result of genetic modulations. By using NK cell cytotoxicity as the selection method after the genetic modifications, we could gain insight into what genes that influence the interplay by providing resistance or susceptibility to NK cells upon depletion. Conducting a *genome-wide* screen broadened our investigations beyond membrane-bound proteins to include intracellular proteins with indirect effect on cell interactions. To validate and delve deeper into the mechanisms underlying the impact of specific genes on the interaction, we conducted extensive follow-up studies on selected hits from the screens, culminating in the studies included in this thesis (**papers I, II, IV**). In order to study the interactions further, we also employed the CRISPR technology to disrupt genes of interest. This enabled us to create useful models with skewed interactions that proved valuable in studies of how polymorphisms in certain genes impacted the interactions in AML (**paper III**).

In our initial CRISPR screen, we used WT-K562 cells and co-cultured them until approximately 60% of the cells had succumbed to NK cell cytotoxicity. Following the MAGeCK analysis, genes implicated in either providing protection or rendering susceptibility to NK cell cytotoxicity were identified as depleted or enriched respectively (**paper I**; figure 9).

Among the enriched gRNAs, targeting genes typically involved in NK cell elimination, the most crucial gene identified was *NCR3LG1*, encoding B7-H6,

the ligand for the activating NK receptor NKp30. Notably, previous screens utilizing K562 cells with NK cell selection consistently identified B7-H6 as the dominantly enriched gRNA target (381-383). Considering the high dependence of K562 cell killing on NKp30 (**paper I**; (384)), we deliberately applied lower selection pressure compared with previous studies. This approach enabled us to uncover additional hits such as *CD58*, encoding the ligand for activating NK cell receptor CD2 (previously identified also in (382, 383) and *TMEM30A*. CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of the genes for B7-H6 and CD58 served to validate the significance of the B7-H6-NKp30 interaction in K562 cell elimination, while also revealing a minor role for CD58.



Figure 9. Genome-wide CRISPR screen results. Depleted and enriched gRNAs from one replicate obtained from analysis by MAGeCK software. Genes related for IFNy signalling are indicated in blue and those involved in the antigen presentation pathway in red.

TMEM30A emerged as one of the highly enriched gRNA-targeted genes, indicating a protective role in cells lacking a functional gene. This gene encodes the CDC50A β -subunit, part of an P4-ATPase flippase complex, responsible for transporting phospholipids such as PtdSer and PtdEtn from the outer to the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. Consequently, establishment of *TMEM30A* KO K562 cells allowed us to confirm that cells exhibit elevated levels of PtdSer on their surface in the absence of a functional *TMEM30A* gene (figure 10A; (385)). Although, CDC50A is one of three β -subunits, studies show that CDC50A associate with ten different α -units, whereas CDC50B and CDC50C only interact with a smaller number (386-388). Based on the screen results, the function of CDC50A appears critical, while there seems to be a redundancy among the numerous α -units as none of them emerged as top hits. In agreement with the screen findings, these *TMEM30A* KO cells demonstrated reduced sensitivity to NK cell cytotoxicity as well as lower induction of NK cell degranulation and cytokine production (figure 10B).



Figure 10. CDC50A depletion result in enhanced PtdSer exposure and lower sensitivity to NK cell cytotoxicity. (A) Staining for PtdSer of WT (blue) and *TMEM30A* KO (turquoise) K562 cells. (B) Cytotoxicity assay with WT and *TMEM30A* KO cells (n=11). One-way ANOVA analysis with Šidák's multiple comparisons test was used as statistical analysis. Error bars represent SEM.

Interestingly, an examination of the expression of the crucial ligands for NK cell receptors revealed that *TMEM30A* KO had no impact, except for an increase in HLA-E expression in the KO cells. Given that HLA-E engages the inhibitory NK cell receptor NKG2A, this could potentially explain the reduced sensitivity, especially considering the striking importance of HLA-E in the WT CRISPR-screen. However, an exclusive analysis of NKG2A-NK cells in the degranulation assay revealed that even in the absence of this inhibitory interaction, the *TMEM30A* KO cells induced lower degranulation, extending beyond the increased expression of HLA-E.

Given that these experiments were done in the same cell line used for the CRISPR screen, it was crucial to validate whether this finding could be extended to other cancer types. Consequently, we generated *TMEM30A* KO cells of four additional cell lines representing various haematological cancers: acute T cell leukemia (jurkat), acute promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60), diffuse large B cell lymphoma (SU-DHL-5) and Burkitt's lymphoma (Raji). We could confirm that *TMEM30A* KO versions of these cell lines were indeed less sensitive to NK cell cytotoxicity and induced lower NK cell degranulation. Examining the additional *TMEM30A* KO cell lines, we observed an increased expression of HLA-E in the myeloid cell line, HL-60, but this did again not alone account for the overall reduced susceptibility.

This observed increased expression is intriguing. Membrane lipids are known to play a crucial role in membrane protein localization and activity, either through association with proteins in lipid rafts or through interaction with transmembrane proteins (389-392). Indeed, by supplying a docking site with its negative charge, PtdSer plays a crucial role in the correct localization and activation of several intracellular proteins (308, 393-395). A study by Enneshi *et al.* indicated increased BCR motility in cells with *TMEM30A* mutations, suggesting that altered membrane composition could be a contributing factor (396). Further investigation is warranted to confirm whether changes in membrane composition

explains the altered levels of HLA-E and why this phenomenon extends to the myeloid cells.

Notably, these *TMEM30A* KO cells across various cancer types consistently displayed higher levels of exposed PtdSer compared to WT cells. To verify that the exposed PtdSer was the cause of the reduced susceptibility, we used PtdSerbinding AnnexinV to block the interaction. This intervention resulted in an increased sensitivity to NK cell cytotoxicity for the *TMEM30A* KO cells but not for WT cells (figure 11A). This confirmation highlighted the role for PtdSer, yet the underlying mechanism remained unclear. By employing blocking antibodies towards the two inhibitory NK cell receptors TIM-3 and IRp60/CD300a, known to bind PtdSer, we aimed to shed light on their involvement.

Blocking TIM-3 revealed that *TMEM30A* KO target cells became more sensitive to NK cell cytotoxicity (figure 11B). However, for IRp60, the role was less evident as the antibody exhibited an agonistic effect on the WT cells, reducing their sensitivity. In contrast, *TMEM30A* KO cells were unaffected, suggesting a complementary role for the IRp60 antibody and the overexpressed PtdSer on the KO cells. To further validate the role of the receptors, we knocked them out in primary NK cells and used them in degranulation assays with *TMEM30A* KO och WT cells. Comparing the *TMEM30A* KO-induced reduction in degranulation between the negative control NK cells and *HAVCR2* or *CD300A* KO NK cells illustrated the importance of TIM-3. The lower reduction observed with NK cells lacking TIM-3 emphasized the receptor's role, indicating that without the receptor, *TMEM30A* mutation was less critical. Regarding IRp60, a non-significant trend in the same direction was observed.



Figure 11. Enhanced exposure of phosphatidylserine protects *TMEM30A* **KO cells from NK cells through interactions with inhibitory receptors.** (A) and (B) Cytotoxicity assay with WT and *TMEM30A* KO K562 cells, supplemented with Annexin-V (Anx-V) for blockade of PtdSer (n=7) (A) or combined with TIM-3 for blockade (n=7). One-way ANOVA analysis with Šidák's multiple comparisons test was used as statistical analyses. ns not significant, error bars represent SEM.

Considering that PtdSer is a known "eat-me" signal to phagocytes to engulf apoptotic cells, it can seem counterintuitive that TMEM30A mutations could be beneficial for cancer cells in an *in vivo* setting (37, 397-401). However, studies have reported recurrent loss-of-function TMEM30A mutations in diffuse-large-B-cell lymphoma and follicular large B cell lymphoma (396, 402, 403). In light of our results, it is tempting to speculate that these mutations reflect an NK cell evasion strategy used by lymphoma cells. A simplified illustration depicting the potential mechanism can be found in figure 12. There are conflicting findings on whether increased exposure of PtdSer by living cells alone is sufficient for phagocytosis. Segawa et al. demonstrated that overexpression of the scramblase TMEM16F, leading to increased extracellular PtdSer did not result in enhanced phagocytosis, suggesting that exposed PtdSer alone might be insufficient for engulfment (404). However, subsequent research by the same group later indicated that PtdSerexpressing CDC50A-null cells were engulfed by macrophages while cells expressing a caspase-resistant flippase complex, showing no exposure of PtdSer during apoptosis, were not engulfed (223). There are indications suggesting that alterations in membrane fluidity, and consequently the mobility of PtdSer and the corresponding potential for receptor clustering, may serve as a mechanism for phagocytes to differentiate between live and dead PtdSer-exposing cells (308, 405). Specific PtdSer receptors such as TIM-1, involved in efferocytosis, exhibit enhanced binding affinity for oxidized PtdSer. One proposed mechanism for this oxidization involves cytochrome C. Given that cytochrome C is released upon mitochondrial membrane permeabilization during apoptosis, it is conceivable that the apoptosis-induced oxidized PtdSer constitutes a more potent "eat-me" signal (308, 406). Further investigations are warranted to determine whether PtdSer exposure alone is enough to function as an "eat-me" signal.



Figure 12. Potential mechanism for reduced NK cell sensitivity of *TMEM30A* **KO cells.** The exposed PtdSer of *TMEM30A* KO cells can interact with inhibitory NK cell receptor TIM-3, allowing the cell to escape NK cell cytotoxicity.

The specific characteristics of membrane lipids and the modulation of their asymmetry can have additional implications on cell-cell interactions that would be interesting to study further. With an increased accumulation of PtdSer in the outer leaflet of the membrane, the physical properties of the normally tightly packed layer may change, potentially influencing the interactions with NK cells (407). A study by Friedman *et al.* highlighted that changes in the stiffness of target cells impact the synapse-forming ability of the NK cells (152). Although not studied
specifically in this project, it is conservable that also phosphatidylethanolamine (PtdEtn) would be exposed to a higher degree on the outside of cells as it is also transported by the P4-ATPase complex (408). With an accumulation in the outer leaflet, the phospholipids, especially the smaller PtdEtn, could impact the curvature of the membrane, affecting processes like exocytosis and endocytosis. This, in turn, might influence synapse formation and perforin/granzyme uptake (390, 409, 410). The disruption of the tightly packed, highly ordered and rigid outer leaflet may, on the other hand, make the cells more sensitive to NK cell-generated lytic molecules.

The β -subunit is critical for the proper membrane translocation of the P4-ATPase complex (408). In the absence of the CDC50 protein, the α -unit accumulates in the cytoplasm, leading to ER-stress (411). This phenomenon could potentially impact the interaction between cells and NK cells. Interestingly, the ER-stress marker ecto-calreticulin was recently proposed to be a ligand for activating receptor NKp46 (108). While this suggests that *TMEM30.4* KO cells could be more susceptible to NK cells, our observations did not support this hypothesis. Nevertheless, it would have been interesting to investigate whether our KO cells displayed increased ER-stress and if this made them more vulnerable to NKp46-dependent killing.

The evolutionary rationale behind the development of this inhibitory mechanism is intriguing to consider. It may be reasonable for NK cells to possess a sensory mechanism that enables them to discern when their target cell is undergoing apoptosis, followed by an inhibitory mechanism that facilitates detachment from the cell. A study by Anft *et al.* have indicated that detachment is swifter when the NK cell has executed a lytic hit potentially because inhibitory receptors can then recognize upregulated ligands on the target cell in response to apoptosis (205). Another possible aspect is that NK cells receive these inhibitory signals to regulate their cytotoxicity, thereby mitigating the risk of overwhelming the clearance capacity of the immune system and minimizing damage to surrounding tissue. There are broader implications of PtdSer exposure on tumour immunity beyond NK cell function. Dysregulation of extracellular PtdSer is commonly observed in the cancer microenvironment and its blockade has shown the ability to shift tumour-associated macrophage phenotypes from myeloid-derived suppressor cells to pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages (308, 309, 385). Both PtdSer and TIM-3 antibodies have demonstrated promising potential in pre-clinical studies and are currently under evaluation in clinical trials (49, 324, 332).



Figure 13. BAP1 depletion sensitizes cells to NK cells upon IFNy exposure. Cytotoxicity assay with WT and *BAP1* KO K562 cells 20h with polyclonally-activated NK cells Statistical analysis using paired t-tests was performed. Error bars represent SEM.

In our analysis of the genome-wide CRISPR screen with WT-K562, we identified depleted gRNAs targeting specific genes that typically confer protection against NK cell cytotoxicity (Figure 9). One of these genes was *BAP1* which encodes a deubiquitinating enzyme, regarded as a tumour suppressor (**paper II**, (412)). Surprisingly, our generated *BAP1* KO cells did not exhibit altered sensitivity in standard cytotoxicity assays with NK cells. However, when the 20-hour long screen assay was replicated, an enhanced susceptibility of the KO cells became evident (figure 13). Discrepancies between the two assays were identified in relation to IFN_γ, with ELISA experiments revealing IFN_γ release only after the 20-hour long assay, not the shorter one. Considering the context of the other protective genes identified in the screen, we speculated that BAP1 might be linked to IFN_γ signalling. Accordingly, the KO phenotype would only manifest in the

presence of IFN γ , affecting both sensitivity and degranulation-stimulating capacity.

To further elucidate the role of *BAP1* and its impact on the overall cellular proteome upon deletion, we conducted tandem mass tag-mass spectrometry on both WT and *BAP1* KO K562 cells, with and without exposure to IFN γ . Even without IFN γ exposure, the protein content exhibited variation between the samples, which underscores the crucial role of BAP1 in numerous cellular processes. ULBP1 and ULBP2 were found upregulated in *BAP1* KO cells. While a cellular stress-response to transfection and KO could explain this, staining could not confirm this observation, which is in line with other KO cell lines we have created.

Only upon IFN γ treatment, did it become apparent that numerous proteins induced by IFN γ signalling were depleted in the *BAP1* KO cells (figure 14). These include guanylate-binding proteins and HLA class I-related proteins such as TAPBP/1/2, β_2 -microglobulin and HLA-E. Consistent with this, lower levels of extracellular HLA class I was exhibited by the *BAP1* KO cells, when exposed to IFN γ .



Figure 14. IFNy and antigen presentation pathways are disrupted by BAP1 depletion. Proteome analysis displaying differentially regulated proteins of *BAP1* KO vs WT-K562 cells in IFNy-treated condition. This is in line with the results from the WT-K562 screen where genes associated with HLA class I expression were highly depleted (figure 9). Given that HLA class I molecules engage inhibitory NK cell receptors (7, 21), the depletion of these genes logically renders the cells more susceptible to NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity. These findings align with previous screens (381, 383, 413-415), providing additional validation for the results of our study. Moreover, in our results showed that gRNAs targeting genes involved in the IFNy signalling pathway were also depleted. This includes genes encoding components such as IFNGR, JAK and STAT. The IFNy pathway is known to regulate HLA class I expression (13, 14), providing a rationale for the observed depletion, a phenomenon previously described (381, 383, 414, 415). Interestingly, a screen conducted by Pech et al. presented alternative perspectives on these genes (382). Their use of the NK cell line NK92, which have a low expression of the main MHC class I-binding inhibitory receptors, resulted in distinct outcomes compared to our study using primary peripheral blood NK (416). In their screen, genes related to HLA class I and IFNy signalling did not confer resistance. Conversely, IFNy signalling genes were found to provide sensitivity. This discrepancy may be attributed to their identification of ICAM-1 as a top sensitivity gene, as it is regulated by IFNy signalling (131, 417). These varying results underscore the impact of the NK cell receptor repertoire on the interactions with target cells, influencing how different genes modulate the interplay between NK cells and their targets. Consistent with this, the expression of the adhesion molecules ICAM-1 and NCAM-1/CD56 further supported the reduction in IFNy signalling response observed in the BAP1 KO cells.

To explore what specific stage of the IFN γ signalling pathway that is influenced by BAP1, we examined the transcription factor STAT1. During resting condition, staining revealed no impact of *BAP1* KO, neither on total STAT1 or phosphorylated STAT1. However, upon IFN γ exposure, it became evident that *BAP1* KO cells generated lower levels of phosphorylated STAT1, crucial for subsequent signalling (figure 15), which may be due to the lower expression of the receptor IFNGR1 in *BAP1* KO cells.



Figure 15. BAP1 depletion impairs the expression of components of the IFNy signalling pathway. Staining of pSTAT1 without and with IFNy treatment. Statistical analysis included Mann-Whitney test. ns not significant, error bars represent SEM.

The role of BAP1 is intricate and this study contributes a piece to the larger understanding by revealing its involvement in IFN γ signalling. Within the IFN γ signalling pathway, BAP1 emerges as a regulator across multiple steps, as evidenced by alterations in both the STAT1 and IFNGR1 levels in the BAP1deficient model. Consistent with this, BAP1 depletion has been shown to diminish the expression of STAT2 and IRF9 in clear cell renal cell carcinoma (418). Another facet of HLA regulation by BAP1 has been identified in recent research, demonstrating its role in the epigenetic promotion of HLA expression (419).

Beyond its involvement in IFN_γ signalling, our proteomic analysis unveils additional functions of BAP1 with diverse implications in various contexts. The role of BAP1 in malignancies appears complex and highly dependent on the specific disease context. Traditionally considered a tumour suppressor gene due to its role in BRACA1-dependent inhibition of breast cancer, BAP1 has also been implicated in cancer progression (412). For instance, a study has shown its involvement in HOXA gene upregulation and myeloid leukemogenesis (420). In uveal melanoma, *BAP1* mutations correlate with a higher risk of metastatic disease and lower survival rates (421-425). Similarly, *BAP1* mutations are associated with poorer outcome and predisposition to other cancer types (426, 427). However, in a cutaneous melanoma mouse model, BAP1 depletion significantly reduced tumour growth (428).

This apparent discrepancy underscores the importance of considering the disease setting to elucidate the mechanism behind the observed phenotypic outcomes. Our study, demonstrating the inhibition of HLA class I upregulation following BAP1 depletion, enabling evasion from T cell recognition, provides a potential explanation for the unfavourable outcomes observed in certain cancer types. The reduced HLA expression renders the cells vulnerable to NK cell cytotoxicity, giving a rationale for NK cell-based immunotherapy in malignancies with *BAP1* mutations.



Figure 16. tKO-K562 cell generation. WT-K562 cells are killed by NK cells mainly through interactions between NKp30 and B7-H6. By depletion of the ligands for NKp30 and DNAM-1, the tKO-K562 cells could be killed via the interactions between NKG2D, NKp46 and their ligands.

Not only genes expressed by the potential target cells impact disease outcome but the effector cells' genes also play a crucial role (20, 429). A specific SNP, rs1049174, in the gene encoding NKG2D, *KLRK1*, has been reported to impact on natural cytotoxicity and cancer development risk (20). This study used the K562 cell line to study the effect of the NKG2D alleles. However, as we saw in **paper I**, NK cell killing of WT-K562 is not dependent on NKG2D, making it challenging to discern allele-related differences. We observed that elimination of specific ligands allowed us to manipulate the interactions between NK and target cells and our B7-H6^{neg}CD58^{neg} dKO was instead killed by NKG2D and DNAM-1 (**paper I**). Similarly, albeit fairly resistant, a B7-H6^{neg}PVR^{neg}Nectin2^{neg} tKO cell line was shown to be killed via NKG2D as illustrated in figure 16. Even with this highly NKG2D-dependent target cell model, there was a surprisingly small difference in degranulation between NK cells from donors with one high cytotoxicity NKG2D allele, rs1049174 G/x, and NK cells with two low cytotoxicity alleles, C/C. Further investigation revealed that the G/x individuals harboured more NKG2A⁺ NK cells which were responsible for a higher degranulation, regardless of NKG2D allele (figure 17).



Figure 17. A NKG2D-dependent model demonstrate effect of NKG2D genotype on NK cell functionality. Frequency of NK cell subsets in healthy donors, divided on NKG2D SNP G/x (n=13) and CC (n=12). Ns non significant. Error bars represent SEM.

This observation led to analysis of the NKG2A gene, *KLRC1*, located near the *KLRK1* gene in the NKC locus, with a known polymorphism, rs1983526, in linkage disequilibrium with the NKG2D polymorphism. Healthy donors with the favourable NKG2A G/G alleles had a higher fraction of NKG2A⁺ CD56^{dim} NK cells than did C/x individuals. Further investigation on the functional implications

of the NKG2A genotype, revealed a correlation with a stepwise increase in IFNγ production and higher numbers of the G allele, which was not only restricted to NKG2A⁺ cells but also KIR⁺NKG2A⁻ cells.

Similar results were observed in a clinical cohort of AML patients receiving maintenance therapy with HDC/IL-2. Thus, G/G patients expressed higher frequency of NKG2A⁺ NK cells, and the G allele was associated with high levels granzyme B, suggesting that the NKG2A G variant is associated with a higher cytolytic capacity. Surprisingly, as in healthy donors, the granzyme B expression appeared not to be confined to a specific NKG2A/KIR subgroup. This finding that not only NKG2A+ NK cells from NKG2A G/G individuals displayed higher functionality, but also other subsets was intriguing. This contrasts with the rheostat educational model, which dictates that NK cell functionality relies on the inhibitory input it receives during steady state. It would be expected that NKG2A⁺ cells in G/G donors had enhanced effector function as the increased NKG2A expression provides more inhibitory signal. However, it fails to explain the elevated IFNy response and granzyme B expression in NKG2A subsets. Notably, granzyme B expression levels have been a proposed marker of NK cell educational status. Thus, additional mechanisms beyond the rheostat model of education are necessary to account for the increased IFNy response and granzyme B expression in G/G donors (430).

Leukemic blasts exhibit lower HLA-E expression (**paper III**, (431)) potentially leading to a more robust response against these cells by the larger fraction of NKG2A⁺ cells observed in the individuals with the NKG2A G/G genotype. In contrast, HLA-ABC expression remains normal in leukemic blasts, providing consistent inhibitory input for KIR⁺ cells.

When comparing the significance of NKG2D and NKG2A gene polymorphisms on the outcome of HDC/IL-2 immunotherapy, it was observed that patients harbouring a NKG2A rs1983526 G allele exhibited both better leukemia-free survival (LFS) and overall survival (OS) (figure 18). Patients carrying the NKG2D rs1049174 G allele showed trends for improved LFS and OS.



Figure 18. Impact of NKG2A and NKG2D genotypes on outcome of HDC/IL-2 immunotherapy in AML. (A) Leukemia-free survival (LFS) of AML patients divided by NKG2A rs1983526 genotypes (GG n=27, CG n=44 or CC n=9), following HDC/IL-2 treatment. (B) Leukemia-free survival of AML patients divided by NKG2D rs1049174 genotype (GG n=11, CG n=37 or CC n=32), following HDC/IL-2 treatment.

These results imply that the observed beneficial effects are likely attributed to the NKG2A genotype rather thanNKG2D. While this does not entirely invalidate the potential impact of the NKG2D genotype, the linkage disequilibrium between the SNPs raises the possibility of misdirected focus towards the wrong SNP. Numerous studies have highlighted associations between the NKG2D dimorphism and disease outcome (432-435). However, our findings suggest that these associations may be driven by the NKG2A SNP. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the higher expression of NKG2D, as reported for the favourable NKG2D allele, could certainly influence NK cell function (432, 436). Additionally, it is essential to consider that both NKG2A and NKG2D expression is not exclusive to NK cells alone and other cell types may contribute to the observed effects of the different genotypes.

A dimorphism in the HLA-B leader peptide is another genetic factor reported to impact the function of NKG2A⁺ cells. The HLA-B-21 M allele produces a high

affinity peptide for HLA-E binding while the HLA-B-21 T allele reduces HLA-E expression by producing a peptide unable to bind HLA-E (25, 431). To investigate whether this dimorphism was somehow impacted by the NKG2A genotype, the patients were stratified based on the HLA-B-21 genotypes. The levels of granzyme B were markedly reduced for each NKG2A C allele in HLA-B-21 TT individuals but remained unaffected in the M/x patients. Similarly, studies of the outcome revealed that the M/x patients remained unaffected by the NKG2A genotype, while HLA-B-21 T/T patients exhibited significantly improved LFS if they also carried the NKG2A G/G genotype.

One hypothesis to explain these findings is that HLA-B-21 M/x individuals receive sufficient inhibitory stimulation due to the enhanced interaction between NKG2A and HLA-E thanks to its elevated levels. Hence, the NKG2A G/G genotype is redundant. For the HLA-B-21 T/T patients however, lower HLA-E levels leaves room for higher inhibitory signalling obtained from the increased NKG2A expression of the NKG2A G/G genotype. A recent study proposed that the HLA-B-21 M peptide induces high HLA-E expression but provides low receptor recognition (437). This suggests that the M/x patients may have a larger fraction of antagonistic HLA-E peptides, removing the benefit of the higher NKG2A expression of the NKG2A G/G genotype, providing a potential alternative explanation. It was intriguing to observe that patients with the NKG2Ahigh NKG2A G/G and the HLA-Elow HLA-B-21 T/T exhibited the most favourable LFS among all groups. The collective impact of the HLA-B-21 and NKG2A rs1983526 genotypes appear to endow these patients with well-educated KIR⁺ cells and more functional, potentially cytolytic NKG2A⁺ cells, making this an advantageous genetic combination.



Figure 19. Illustration of a tKO-K562 cell screen setting. Given that NK cell primarily eliminate tKO-K562 cells through interactions involving NKp46 and NKG2D, the addition of a blocking antibody targeting NKG2D would shift the killing to nearly exclusively depend on NKp46.

The ability to manipulate the receptor-ligand interaction facilitated a detailed study of the NKG2D alleles. During the validation of the model, it became evident that the newly established cell line was susceptible not only to NKG2Dbut also NKp46-mediated killing. Given the existing gaps in understanding the ligands recognized by NKp46, we opted to conduct another genome-wide CRISPR screen using the tKO cell line. To enhance the screen selection's dependency on the NKp46 interaction with its unknown ligand, we included a condition where tKO-K562 cells were exposed to NK cells in the presence of a blocking antibody against NKG2D (illustrated in figure 19). As described above, the most important genes for NK cell killing of WT-K562 cells were NCR3LG1, CD58 and TMEM30A. Naturally, in the screens with tKO K562 cells, where B7-H6 was depleted, NCR3LG1 was not identified as a top hit, while CD58 and TMEM30A remained important. Instead, genes that were redundant in the WT screen suddenly appeared to be of key importance in the tKO screen. Among these genes there may be genes that encode and/or regulate the expression of NKp46 ligands. In **paper IV**, we present studies evaluating the role of such genes for NKp46 recognition of malignant cells.

CONCLUDING REMARKS



This thesis represents a piece of the intricate puzzle illustrating the larger aspects of NK cell interactions with its target cells.

CRISPR screens have proven invaluable tools for uncovering the intricacies of gene involvement in cell interactions and the continuous development of the technique introduces endless research possibilities. In this thesis, the technology has played a central role. Comparisons between screens have revealed how minor adjustments can influence cellular interplay, particularly emphasizing the critical role of killing levels. The choice of cells in a screening setting is equally vital with variations in ligand and receptor expression of both target and NK cell influencing the output. Interactions between ligand and receptor that do not occur due to lack of expression or low dependence will for obvious reasons not appear in the screen results. Similarly, since multiple ligands and receptors often have more than one interaction partner, the absence of expression of one partner may amplify the significance of another interaction The use of WT-K562 cells in a screen, unveiled indirect regulatory mechanisms influencing interactions. By mutating TMEM30A, cancer cells can evade immunity by upregulating surface PtdSer. This has been reported to generate an immunosuppressive environment and paper I illustrates how this upregulated PtdSer on TMEM30A KO cells interacts with inhibitory NK cell receptor TIM-3, hindering NK cell cytotoxicity. The potential vulnerability of these cells to phagocytic uptake in a cancer setting needs further exploration, as studies suggest phagocytes possess distinct mechanisms for distinguishing between live and dead PtdSer+ cells. Paper II demonstrates the impact of intracellular regulation on NK cell susceptibility. The deubiquitin protein BAP1 emerges as a positive regulator of MHC class I expression through modulation of the IFNy pathway. Depletion of the protein, resulted in lower expression of phosphorylated STAT1 after IFN γ stimulation, as a result of reduced levels of IFNGR.

The CRISPR technology proved useful in revealing the impact of allelic variants of the NKG2D gene in **paper III**. An engineered NKG2D-dependent model demonstrated modest differences in NK cell function between the two allelic versions. In-depth analyses instead uncovered that an associated SNP in the NKG2A gene was the actual driver of the observed effects. Interestingly, when considering the NKG2A genotype in conjunction with previously identified HLA-B genotypes, distinct variations emerged in the outcomes of immunotherapeutic interventions in AML. Notably, the impact of the NKG2A genotype was evident only in cases with the less favourable HLA-B-21 dimorphism, resulting in the most favourable patient outcome. Beyond advancing our understanding of NK cell genotypes and phenotypes, the findings from this study can serve to guide in treatment decisions by providing insights into weather specific patients are likely to benefit from interventions.

Through the targeted KO of ligands for NK cell receptors, we successfully manipulated interactions with NK cells. The depletion of three specific ligands, enabled the generation of a highly NKp46-dependent model. By addition of an antibody against NKG2D, we achieved almost exclusive killing via NKp46. Leveraging this model in an additional CRISPR screen in **paper IV**, we could identify genes for potential NKp46 ligands.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would first of all like to express my biggest thanks to my main supervisor, **Fredrik Berg Thorén** for his invaluable guidance throughout my entire PhD journey. When I initially joined the lab as a master's student, pursuing a PhD was not really in my plans. But your encouragement and, of course, my very interesting project convinced me that it was the trail I wanted to follow, for which I am eternally grateful. I really appreciate how encouraging you are for students to learn by trying. Your enthusiasm for the project is contagious and coming out from a project meeting is always like a new energetic start. Your ability to provide highquality input on everything from experimental procedures to written texts is impressive.

I am also indebted to my co-supervisor **Ka-Wei Tang** whose passion for science is truly inspirational. The phrases "that's no problem" and "fail everyday" are valuable lessons I have received from you, which I will carry with me. Even though I rarely had "something to discuss" on standing foot, I really appreciate that you always asked.

Many thanks to **Kristoffer Hellstrand** for your invaluable feedback on projects and on this thesis, especially for catching the typo in the Tove Jansson quote. Thanks also to **Anna Martner** for your input on lab meetings which once planted a seed for our latest project.

I am immensely grateful for present and past members of TIMM lab, who contributed to creating a great working environment. We have come a long way from when we first got to know each other and I taught you the word nyckelpiga, **Hana** and I am very grateful to have walked this path alongside you. **Brwa**, you have always brought such kindness to the lab. I really enjoyed working on the same project at the end and discussing results with you. Thank you, **Chiara**, for the energy you bring to the project and to **Anne** for the heartwarming spirit you

spread. I am glad both of you joined Thorén lab. **Erna**, it feels like we have known each other much longer than we have. I really enjoy walking this trail with you.

I must say I also really enjoyed our chemical inventories together Malin and find it amusing how similar we think in so many regards. Thank you, Roberta for being the backbone of the lab and embodying the term "helpful". Hanna and Elin, you are great sources of inspiration for me. Sanchari, I admire your kindness and your silent humour Andreas is spot on. Veronika, Nuttida, Mustafa, Yarong and Alan, thank you for making third floor such a great place to work (and live your life as it sometimes feels). If it was for nothing else, I would have been eternally grateful for my PhD journey just for getting to know my soulmate Silvia. Far apart but close at heart.

Jag är så lyckligt lottad som har en så underbar familj och fina vänner till stöd, både under min doktorandtid och i livet i övrigt. Tack till min fantastiska vän **Lovisa** som skapat mitt omslag och den saknade puzzelbiten. **Mamma** och **pappa** – ni har gett mig så mycket, inte minst förutsättningar och ett driv att komma framåt i livet. Det var er inspiration som gjorde det självklart för mig att plugga vidare, även om ni kanske inte tänkte er en forskarutbildning till på köpet. Tack för allt stöd och verklighetsförankring ni givit mig; det går inte att sätta ord på hur mycket det betytt. Tack till min kära bror **David** för att du visat sådant intresse för min forskning. Jag tror att du är den i familjen som förstått mest av mina projekt. Jag hoppas jag kan bidra med någon form av inspiration för **Lo**. Fantastiska **mormor**, jag har sån tur som vet var mitt happy place ligger – hos dig på torpet.

Slutligen vill jag tacka den främsta stöttepelaren i mitt liv, **Fredrik**. Tack för allt du gör och är. Tack för att du aldrig blivit arg över att jag är sen på grund av något i labbet IGEN. Tack för att du tvingar ut mig på en och annan skridskotur när jag inte låter mig själv komma utanför dörren för allt skrivande. Snart får vi skörda frukterna av allt vårt hårda jobb inför den här händelserika våren. Jag ser så mycket fram emot vår dag.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Murphy K, Weaver C. Janeway's Immunobiology. 9th ed: Garland science Taylor & Francis group; 2017. 3-18; 78-81; 214-21 p.

2. Cerwenka A, Lanier LL. Natural killer cell memory in infection, inflammation and cancer. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016;16(2):112-23.

3. Kiessling R, Klein E, Wigzell H. "Natural" killer cells in the mouse. I. Cytotoxic cells with specificity for mouse Moloney leukemia cells. Specificity and distribution according to genotype. Eur J Immunol. 1975;5(2):112-7.

4. Imai K, Matsuyama S, Miyake S, Suga K, Nakachi K. Natural cytotoxic activity of peripheral-blood lymphocytes and cancer incidence: an 11year follow-up study of a general population. Lancet. 2000;356(9244):1795-9.

5. Lee SH, Miyagi T, Biron CA. Keeping NK cells in highly regulated antiviral warfare. Trends Immunol. 2007;28(6):252-9.

6. Vivier E, Tomasello E, Baratin M, Walzer T, Ugolini S. Functions of natural killer cells. Nat Immunol. 2008;9(5):503-10.

7. Lanier LL. NK cell recognition. Annu Rev Immunol. 2005;23:225-74.

8. Fauriat C, Long EO, Ljunggren HG, Bryceson YT. Regulation of human NK-cell cytokine and chemokine production by target cell recognition. Blood. 2010;115(11):2167-76.

9. Nagler A, Lanier LL, Cwirla S, Phillips JH. Comparative studies of human FcRIII-positive and negative natural killer cells. J Immunol. 1989;143(10):3183-91.

Freud AG, Mundy-Bosse BL, Yu J, Caligiuri MA. The Broad
 Spectrum of Human Natural Killer Cell Diversity. Immunity. 2017;47(5):820-33.
 Fehniger TA, Shah MH, Turner MJ, VanDeusen JB, Whitman SP,

Cooper MA, et al. Differential cytokine and chemokine gene expression by human NK cells following activation with IL-18 or IL-15 in combination with IL-12: implications for the innate immune response. J Immunol. 1999;162(8):4511-20.

12. Schuster IS, Coudert JD, Andoniou CE, Degli-Esposti MA. "Natural Regulators": NK Cells as Modulators of T Cell Immunity. Front Immunol. 2016;7:235.

13. Gocher AM, Workman CJ, Vignali DAA. Interferon-γ: teammate or opponent in the tumour microenvironment? Nat Rev Immunol. 2022;22(3):158-72.

14. Früh K, Yang Y. Antigen presentation by MHC class I and its regulation by interferon gamma. Curr Opin Immunol. 1999;11(1):76-81.

15. Martín-Fontecha A, Thomsen LL, Brett S, Gerard C, Lipp M, Lanzavecchia A, et al. Induced recruitment of NK cells to lymph nodes provides IFN-gamma for T(H)1 priming. Nat Immunol. 2004;5(12):1260-5.

16. Kärre K, Ljunggren HG, Piontek G, Kiessling R. Selective rejection of H-2-deficient lymphoma variants suggests alternative immune defence strategy. Nature. 1986;319(6055):675-8.

17. Ljunggren HG, Kärre K. In search of the 'missing self': MHC molecules and NK cell recognition. Immunol Today. 1990;11(7):237-44.

18. Long EO, Kim HS, Liu D, Peterson ME, Rajagopalan S. Controlling natural killer cell responses: integration of signals for activation and inhibition. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:227-58.

19. Trowsdale J, Barten R, Haude A, Stewart CA, Beck S, Wilson MJ. The genomic context of natural killer receptor extended gene families. Immunol Rev. 2001;181:20-38.

20. Hayashi T, Imai K, Morishita Y, Hayashi I, Kusunoki Y, Nakachi K. Identification of the NKG2D haplotypes associated with natural cytotoxic activity of peripheral blood lymphocytes and cancer immunosurveillance. Cancer Res. 2006;66(1):563-70.

21. Brooks AG, Posch PE, Scorzelli CJ, Borrego F, Coligan JE. NKG2A complexed with CD94 defines a novel inhibitory natural killer cell receptor. J Exp Med. 1997;185(4):795-800.

22. Björkström NK, Riese P, Heuts F, Andersson S, Fauriat C, Ivarsson MA, et al. Expression patterns of NKG2A, KIR, and CD57 define a process of CD56dim NK-cell differentiation uncoupled from NK-cell education. Blood. 2010;116(19):3853-64.

23. Cichocki F, Grzywacz B, Miller JS. Human NK Cell Development: One Road or Many? Front Immunol. 2019;10:2078.

24. Saunders PM, Vivian JP, O'Connor GM, Sullivan LC, Pymm P, Rossjohn J, et al. A bird's eye view of NK cell receptor interactions with their MHC class I ligands. Immunol Rev. 2015;267(1):148-66.

25. Horowitz A, Djaoud Z, Nemat-Gorgani N, Blokhuis J, Hilton HG, Béziat V, et al. Class I HLA haplotypes form two schools that educate NK cells in different ways. Sci Immunol. 2016;1(3).

26. Braud VM, Allan DS, O'Callaghan CA, Söderström K, D'Andrea A, Ogg GS, et al. HLA-E binds to natural killer cell receptors CD94/NKG2A, B and C. Nature. 1998;391(6669):795-9.

27. Lee N, Llano M, Carretero M, Ishitani A, Navarro F, López-Botet M, et al. HLA-E is a major ligand for the natural killer inhibitory receptor CD94/NKG2A. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(9):5199-204.

28. Lee N, Goodlett DR, Ishitani A, Marquardt H, Geraghty DE. HLA-E surface expression depends on binding of TAP-dependent peptides derived from certain HLA class I signal sequences. J Immunol. 1998;160(10):4951-60. 29. Buckle I, Guillerey C. Inhibitory Receptors and Immune Checkpoints Regulating Natural Killer Cell Responses to Cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(17).

30. He Y, Peng H, Sun R, Wei H, Ljunggren HG, Yokoyama WM, et al. Contribution of inhibitory receptor TIGIT to NK cell education. J Autoimmun. 2017;81:1-12.

31. Chan CJ, Martinet L, Gilfillan S, Souza-Fonseca-Guimaraes F, Chow MT, Town L, et al. The receptors CD96 and CD226 oppose each other in the regulation of natural killer cell functions. Nat Immunol. 2014;15(5):431-8.

32. Zeng T, Cao Y, Jin T, Tian Y, Dai C, Xu F. The CD112R/CD112 axis: a breakthrough in cancer immunotherapy. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2021;40(1):285.

33. Freeman GJ, Casasnovas JM, Umetsu DT, DeKruyff RH. TIM genes: a family of cell surface phosphatidylserine receptors that regulate innate and adaptive immunity. Immunol Rev. 2010;235(1):172-89.

34. Lee MJ, Yun SJ, Lee B, Jeong E, Yoon G, Kim K, et al. Association of TIM-3 expression with glucose metabolism in Jurkat T cells. BMC Immunol. 2020;21(1):48.

Ju Y, Hou N, Meng J, Wang X, Zhang X, Zhao D, et al. T cell immunoglobulin- and mucin-domain-containing molecule-3 (Tim-3) mediates natural killer cell suppression in chronic hepatitis B. J Hepatol. 2010;52(3):322-9.
Sui L, Zhang W, Chen Y, Zheng Y, Wan T, Zhang W, et al. Human membrane protein Tim-3 facilitates hepatitis A virus entry into target cells. Int J Mol Med. 2006;17(6):1093-9.

37. Nakayama M, Akiba H, Takeda K, Kojima Y, Hashiguchi M, Azuma M, et al. Tim-3 mediates phagocytosis of apoptotic cells and cross-presentation. Blood. 2009;113(16):3821-30.

38. Ndhlovu LC, Leal FE, Hasenkrug AM, Jha AR, Carvalho KI, Eccles-James IG, et al. HTLV-1 tax specific CD8+ T cells express low levels of Tim-3 in HTLV-1 infection: implications for progression to neurological complications. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5(4):e1030.

39. Jones RB, Ndhlovu LC, Barbour JD, Sheth PM, Jha AR, Long BR, et al. Tim-3 expression defines a novel population of dysfunctional T cells with highly elevated frequencies in progressive HIV-1 infection. J Exp Med. 2008;205(12):2763-79.

40. Monney L, Sabatos CA, Gaglia JL, Ryu A, Waldner H, Chernova T, et al. Th1-specific cell surface protein Tim-3 regulates macrophage activation and severity of an autoimmune disease. Nature. 2002;415(6871):536-41.

41. Khademi M, Illés Z, Gielen AW, Marta M, Takazawa N, Baecher-Allan C, et al. T Cell Ig- and mucin-domain-containing molecule-3 (TIM-3) and TIM-1 molecules are differentially expressed on human Th1 and Th2 cells and in cerebrospinal fluid-derived mononuclear cells in multiple sclerosis. J Immunol. 2004;172(11):7169-76. 42. Ndhlovu LC, Lopez-Vergès S, Barbour JD, Jones RB, Jha AR, Long BR, et al. Tim-3 marks human natural killer cell maturation and suppresses cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Blood. 2012;119(16):3734-43.

43. Chiba S, Baghdadi M, Akiba H, Yoshiyama H, Kinoshita I, Dosaka-Akita H, et al. Tumor-infiltrating DCs suppress nucleic acid-mediated innate immune responses through interactions between the receptor TIM-3 and the alarmin HMGB1. Nat Immunol. 2012;13(9):832-42.

44. DeKruyff RH, Bu X, Ballesteros A, Santiago C, Chim YL, Lee HH, et al. T cell/transmembrane, Ig, and mucin-3 allelic variants differentially recognize phosphatidylserine and mediate phagocytosis of apoptotic cells. J Immunol. 2010;184(4):1918-30.

45. Zhu C, Anderson AC, Schubart A, Xiong H, Imitola J, Khoury SJ, et al. The Tim-3 ligand galectin-9 negatively regulates T helper type 1 immunity. Nat Immunol. 2005;6(12):1245-52.

46. Sabatos-Peyton CA, Nevin J, Brock A, Venable JD, Tan DJ, Kassam N, et al. Blockade of Tim-3 binding to phosphatidylserine and CEACAM1 is a shared feature of anti-Tim-3 antibodies that have functional efficacy. Oncoimmunology. 2018;7(2):e1385690.

47. Huang YH, Zhu C, Kondo Y, Anderson AC, Gandhi A, Russell A, et al. CEACAM1 regulates TIM-3-mediated tolerance and exhaustion. Nature. 2015;517(7534):386-90.

48. Sakuishi K, Apetoh L, Sullivan JM, Blazar BR, Kuchroo VK, Anderson AC. Targeting Tim-3 and PD-1 pathways to reverse T cell exhaustion and restore anti-tumor immunity. J Exp Med. 2010;207(10):2187-94.

49. da Silva IP, Gallois A, Jimenez-Baranda S, Khan S, Anderson AC, Kuchroo VK, et al. Reversal of NK-cell exhaustion in advanced melanoma by Tim-3 blockade. Cancer Immunol Res. 2014;2(5):410-22.

50. Gleason MK, Lenvik TR, McCullar V, Felices M, O'Brien MS, Cooley SA, et al. Tim-3 is an inducible human natural killer cell receptor that enhances interferon gamma production in response to galectin-9. Blood. 2012;119(13):3064-72.

51. Wolf Y, Anderson AC, Kuchroo VK. TIM3 comes of age as an inhibitory receptor. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020;20(3):173-85.

52. Cantoni C, Bottino C, Augugliaro R, Morelli L, Marcenaro E, Castriconi R, et al. Molecular and functional characterization of IRp60, a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily that functions as an inhibitory receptor in human NK cells. Eur J Immunol. 1999;29(10):3148-59.

53. Sivori S, Parolini S, Falco M, Marcenaro E, Biassoni R, Bottino C, et al. 2B4 functions as a co-receptor in human NK cell activation. Eur J Immunol. 2000;30(3):787-93.

54. Lankry D, Simic H, Klieger Y, Levi-Schaffer F, Jonjic S, Mandelboim O. Expression and function of CD300 in NK cells. J Immunol. 2010;185(5):2877-86.

55. Vitallé J, Terrén I, Orrantia A, Zenarruzabeitia O, Borrego F. CD300 receptor family in viral infections. Eur J Immunol. 2019;49(3):364-74.

56. Long EO. Negative signaling by inhibitory receptors: the NK cell paradigm. Immunol Rev. 2008;224:70-84.

57. Lanier LL. Up on the tightrope: natural killer cell activation and inhibition. Nat Immunol. 2008;9(5):495-502.

58. Liao NS, Bix M, Zijlstra M, Jaenisch R, Raulet D. MHC class I deficiency: susceptibility to natural killer (NK) cells and impaired NK activity. Science. 1991;253(5016):199-202.

59. Höglund P, Ohlén C, Carbone E, Franksson L, Ljunggren HG, Latour A, et al. Recognition of beta 2-microglobulin-negative (beta 2m-) T-cell blasts by natural killer cells from normal but not from beta 2m- mice: nonresponsiveness controlled by beta 2m- bone marrow in chimeric mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88(22):10332-6.

60. Raulet DH, Vance RE. Self-tolerance of natural killer cells. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006;6(7):520-31.

61. Malmberg KJ, Sohlberg E, Goodridge JP, Ljunggren HG. Immune selection during tumor checkpoint inhibition therapy paves way for NK-cell "missing self" recognition. Immunogenetics. 2017;69(8-9):547-56.

62. Anfossi N, André P, Guia S, Falk CS, Roetynck S, Stewart CA, et al. Human NK cell education by inhibitory receptors for MHC class I. Immunity. 2006;25(2):331-42.

63. Elliott JM, Wahle JA, Yokoyama WM. MHC class I-deficient natural killer cells acquire a licensed phenotype after transfer into an MHC class I-sufficient environment. J Exp Med. 2010;207(10):2073-9.

64. Kim S, Poursine-Laurent J, Truscott SM, Lybarger L, Song YJ, Yang L, et al. Licensing of natural killer cells by host major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. Nature. 2005;436(7051):709-13.

65. Fernandez NC, Treiner E, Vance RE, Jamieson AM, Lemieux S, Raulet DH. A subset of natural killer cells achieves self-tolerance without expressing inhibitory receptors specific for self-MHC molecules. Blood. 2005;105(11):4416-23.

66. Brodin P, Lakshmikanth T, Johansson S, Kärre K, Höglund P. The strength of inhibitory input during education quantitatively tunes the functional responsiveness of individual natural killer cells. Blood. 2009;113(11):2434-41.

67. Goodridge JP, Jacobs B, Saetersmoen ML, Clement D, Hammer Q, Clancy T, et al. Remodeling of secretory lysosomes during education tunes functional potential in NK cells. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):514.

68. Thomas LM, Peterson ME, Long EO. Cutting edge: NK cell licensing modulates adhesion to target cells. J Immunol. 2013;191(8):3981-5.

69. Mace EM, Dongre P, Hsu HT, Sinha P, James AM, Mann SS, et al. Cell biological steps and checkpoints in accessing NK cell cytotoxicity. Immunol Cell Biol. 2014;92(3):245-55.

70. Enqvist M, Ask EH, Forslund E, Carlsten M, Abrahamsen G, Béziat V, et al. Coordinated expression of DNAM-1 and LFA-1 in educated NK cells. J Immunol. 2015;194(9):4518-27.

71. Wagner AK, Kadri N, Snäll J, Brodin P, Gilfillan S, Colonna M, et al. Expression of CD226 is associated to but not required for NK cell education. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15627.

72. Goodridge JP, Önfelt B, Malmberg KJ. Newtonian cell interactions shape natural killer cell education. Immunol Rev. 2015;267(1):197-213.

73. Sivori S, Vitale M, Morelli L, Sanseverino L, Augugliaro R, Bottino C, et al. p46, a novel natural killer cell-specific surface molecule that mediates cell activation. J Exp Med. 1997;186(7):1129-36.

74. Vitale M, Bottino C, Sivori S, Sanseverino L, Castriconi R, Marcenaro E, et al. NKp44, a novel triggering surface molecule specifically expressed by activated natural killer cells, is involved in non-major histocompatibility complex-restricted tumor cell lysis. J Exp Med. 1998;187(12):2065-72.

75. Pende D, Parolini S, Pessino A, Sivori S, Augugliaro R, Morelli L, et al. Identification and molecular characterization of NKp30, a novel triggering receptor involved in natural cytotoxicity mediated by human natural killer cells. J Exp Med. 1999;190(10):1505-16.

76. Pessino A, Sivori S, Bottino C, Malaspina A, Morelli L, Moretta L, et al. Molecular cloning of NKp46: a novel member of the immunoglobulin superfamily involved in triggering of natural cytotoxicity. J Exp Med. 1998;188(5):953-60.

77. Sivori S, Pende D, Bottino C, Marcenaro E, Pessino A, Biassoni R, et al. NKp46 is the major triggering receptor involved in the natural cytotoxicity of fresh or cultured human NK cells. Correlation between surface density of NKp46 and natural cytotoxicity against autologous, allogeneic or xenogeneic target cells. Eur J Immunol. 1999;29(5):1656-66.

78. Costello RT, Sivori S, Marcenaro E, Lafage-Pochitaloff M, Mozziconacci MJ, Reviron D, et al. Defective expression and function of natural killer cell-triggering receptors in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2002;99(10):3661-7.

79. Fauriat C, Just-Landi S, Mallet F, Arnoulet C, Sainty D, Olive D, et al. Deficient expression of NCR in NK cells from acute myeloid leukemia: Evolution during leukemia treatment and impact of leukemia cells in NCRdull phenotype induction. Blood. 2007;109(1):323-30.

80. Martner A, Rydström A, Riise RE, Aurelius J, Brune M, Foà R, et al. NK cell expression of natural cytotoxicity receptors may determine relapse risk in older AML patients undergoing immunotherapy for remission maintenance. Oncotarget. 2015;6(40):42569-74.

81. Martner A, Rydström A, Riise RE, Aurelius J, Anderson H, Brune M, et al. Role of natural killer cell subsets and natural cytotoxicity receptors for

the outcome of immunotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5(1):e1041701.

82. Glasner A, Ghadially H, Gur C, Stanietsky N, Tsukerman P, Enk J, et al. Recognition and prevention of tumor metastasis by the NK receptor NKp46/NCR1. J Immunol. 2012;188(6):2509-15.

83. Lakshmikanth T, Burke S, Ali TH, Kimpfler S, Ursini F, Ruggeri L, et al. NCRs and DNAM-1 mediate NK cell recognition and lysis of human and mouse melanoma cell lines in vitro and in vivo. J Clin Invest. 2009;119(5):1251-63.

84. Cerwenka A, Lanier LL. Natural killer cells, viruses and cancer. Nat Rev Immunol. 2001;1(1):41-9.

85. Vankayalapati R, Wizel B, Weis SE, Safi H, Lakey DL, Mandelboim O, et al. The NKp46 receptor contributes to NK cell lysis of mononuclear phagocytes infected with an intracellular bacterium. J Immunol. 2002;168(7):3451-7.

86. Vitenshtein A, Charpak-Amikam Y, Yamin R, Bauman Y, Isaacson B, Stein N, et al. NK Cell Recognition of Candida glabrata through Binding of NKp46 and NCR1 to Fungal Ligands Epa1, Epa6, and Epa7. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;20(4):527-34.

87. Barrow AD, Martin CJ, Colonna M. The Natural Cytotoxicity Receptors in Health and Disease. Front Immunol. 2019;10:909.

88. Moretta A, Biassoni R, Bottino C, Mingari MC, Moretta L. Natural cytotoxicity receptors that trigger human NK-cell-mediated cytolysis. Immunol Today. 2000;21(5):228-34.

89. Medjouel Khlifi H, Guia S, Vivier E, Narni-Mancinelli E. Role of the ITAM-Bearing Receptors Expressed by Natural Killer Cells in Cancer. Front Immunol. 2022;13:898745.

90. Mandelboim O, Lieberman N, Lev M, Paul L, Arnon TI, Bushkin Y, et al. Recognition of haemagglutinins on virus-infected cells by NKp46 activates lysis by human NK cells. Nature. 2001;409(6823):1055-60.

91. Arnon TI, Lev M, Katz G, Chernobrov Y, Porgador A, Mandelboim O. Recognition of viral hemagglutinins by NKp44 but not by NKp30. Eur J Immunol. 2001;31(9):2680-9.

92. Hecht ML, Rosental B, Horlacher T, Hershkovitz O, De Paz JL, Noti C, et al. Natural cytotoxicity receptors NKp30, NKp44 and NKp46 bind to different heparan sulfate/heparin sequences. J Proteome Res. 2009;8(2):712-20.

93. Hershkovitz O, Jarahian M, Zilka A, Bar-Ilan A, Landau G, Jivov S, et al. Altered glycosylation of recombinant NKp30 hampers binding to heparan sulfate: a lesson for the use of recombinant immunoreceptors as an immunological tool. Glycobiology. 2008;18(1):28-41.

94. Pogge von Strandmann E, Simhadri VR, von Tresckow B, Sasse S, Reiners KS, Hansen HP, et al. Human leukocyte antigen-B-associated transcript 3 is released from tumor cells and engages the NKp30 receptor on natural killer cells. Immunity. 2007;27(6):965-74.

95. Reiners KS, Topolar D, Henke A, Simhadri VR, Kessler J, Sauer M, et al. Soluble ligands for NK cell receptors promote evasion of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells from NK cell anti-tumor activity. Blood. 2013;121(18):3658-65.

96. Baychelier F, Sennepin A, Ermonval M, Dorgham K, Debré P, Vieillard V. Identification of a cellular ligand for the natural cytotoxicity receptor NKp44. Blood. 2013;122(17):2935-42.

97. Rosental B, Brusilovsky M, Hadad U, Oz D, Appel MY, Afergan F, et al. Proliferating cell nuclear antigen is a novel inhibitory ligand for the natural cytotoxicity receptor NKp44. J Immunol. 2011;187(11):5693-702.

98. Brandt CS, Baratin M, Yi EC, Kennedy J, Gao Z, Fox B, et al. The B7 family member B7-H6 is a tumor cell ligand for the activating natural killer cell receptor NKp30 in humans. J Exp Med. 2009;206(7):1495-503.

99. Textor S, Bossler F, Henrich KO, Gartlgruber M, Pollmann J, Fiegler N, et al. The proto-oncogene Myc drives expression of the NK cellactivating NKp30 ligand B7-H6 in tumor cells. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5(7):e1116674.

100. Schlecker E, Fiegler N, Arnold A, Altevogt P, Rose-John S, Moldenhauer G, et al. Metalloprotease-mediated tumor cell shedding of B7-H6, the ligand of the natural killer cell-activating receptor NKp30. Cancer Res. 2014;74(13):3429-40.

101. Pesce S, Tabellini G, Cantoni C, Patrizi O, Coltrini D, Rampinelli F, et al. B7-H6-mediated downregulation of NKp30 in NK cells contributes to ovarian carcinoma immune escape. Oncoimmunology. 2015;4(4):e1001224.

102. Semeraro M, Rusakiewicz S, Minard-Colin V, Delahaye NF, Enot D, Vély F, et al. Clinical impact of the NKp30/B7-H6 axis in high-risk neuroblastoma patients. Sci Transl Med. 2015;7(283):283ra55.

103. Gutierrez-Silerio GY, Bueno-Topete MR, Vega-Magaña AN, Bastidas-Ramirez BE, Gutierrez-Franco J, Escarra-Senmarti M, et al. Non-fitness status of peripheral NK cells defined by decreased NKp30 and perforin, and increased soluble B7H6, in cervical cancer patients. Immunology. 2023;168(3):538-53.

104. Gaggero S, Bruschi M, Petretto A, Parodi M, Del Zotto G, Lavarello C, et al. Nidogen-1 is a novel extracellular ligand for the NKp44 activating receptor. Oncoimmunology. 2018;7(9):e1470730.

105. Garg A, Barnes PF, Porgador A, Roy S, Wu S, Nanda JS, et al. Vimentin expressed on Mycobacterium tuberculosis-infected human monocytes is involved in binding to the NKp46 receptor. J Immunol. 2006;177(9):6192-8.

106. Narni-Mancinelli E, Gauthier L, Baratin M, Guia S, Fenis A, Deghmane AE, et al. Complement factor P is a ligand for the natural killer cellactivating receptor NKp46. Sci Immunol. 2017;2(10).

107. Verschueren E, Husain B, Yuen K, Sun Y, Paduchuri S, Senbabaoglu Y, et al. The Immunoglobulin Superfamily Receptome Defines Cancer-Relevant Networks Associated with Clinical Outcome. Cell. 2020;182(2):329-44 e19.

108. Sen Santara S, Lee DJ, Crespo Â, Hu JJ, Walker C, Ma X, et al. The NK cell receptor NKp46 recognizes ecto-calreticulin on ER-stressed cells. Nature. 2023;616(7956):348-56.

109. Gur C, Porgador A, Elboim M, Gazit R, Mizrahi S, Stern-Ginossar N, et al. The activating receptor NKp46 is essential for the development of type 1 diabetes. Nat Immunol. 2010;11(2):121-8.

110. Gur C, Doron S, Kfir-Erenfeld S, Horwitz E, Abu-Tair L, Safadi R, et al. NKp46-mediated killing of human and mouse hepatic stellate cells attenuates liver fibrosis. Gut. 2012;61(6):885-93.

111. Pesce S, Thoren FB, Cantoni C, Prato C, Moretta L, Moretta A, et al. The Innate Immune Cross Talk between NK Cells and Eosinophils Is Regulated by the Interaction of Natural Cytotoxicity Receptors with Eosinophil Surface Ligands. Front Immunol. 2017;8:510.

112. Enk J, Mandelboim O. The role of natural cytotoxicity receptors in various pathologies: emphasis on type I diabetes. Front Immunol. 2014;5:4.

113. Thorén FB, Riise RE, Ousbäck J, Della Chiesa M, Alsterholm M, Marcenaro E, et al. Human NK Cells induce neutrophil apoptosis via an NKp46and Fas-dependent mechanism. J Immunol. 2012;188(4):1668-74.

114. Gur C, Enk J, Kassem SA, Suissa Y, Magenheim J, Stolovich-Rain M, et al. Recognition and killing of human and murine pancreatic beta cells by the NK receptor NKp46. J Immunol. 2011;187(6):3096-103.

115. Cantoni C, Ponassi M, Biassoni R, Conte R, Spallarossa A, Moretta A, et al. The three-dimensional structure of the human NK cell receptor NKp44, a triggering partner in natural cytotoxicity. Structure. 2003;11(6):725-34.

116. Joyce MG, Sun PD. The structural basis of ligand recognition by natural killer cell receptors. J Biomed Biotechnol. 2011;2011:203628.

117. Arnon TI, Achdout H, Lieberman N, Gazit R, Gonen-Gross T, Katz G, et al. The mechanisms controlling the recognition of tumor- and virus-infected cells by NKp46. Blood. 2004;103(2):664-72.

118. Glasner A, Roth Z, Varvak A, Miletic A, Isaacson B, Bar-On Y, et al. Identification of putative novel O-glycosylations in the NK killer receptor Ncr1 essential for its activity. Cell Discov. 2015;1:15036.

119. Hartmann J, Tran TV, Kaudeer J, Oberle K, Herrmann J, Quagliano I, et al. The stalk domain and the glycosylation status of the activating natural killer cell receptor NKp30 are important for ligand binding. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(37):31527-39.

120. Herrmann J, Berberich H, Hartmann J, Beyer S, Davies K, Koch J. Homo-oligomerization of the activating natural killer cell receptor NKp30 ectodomain increases its binding affinity for cellular ligands. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(2):765-77.

121. Jaron-Mendelson M, Yossef R, Appel MY, Zilka A, Hadad U, Afergan F, et al. Dimerization of NKp46 receptor is essential for NKp46mediated lysis: characterization of the dimerization site by epitope mapping. J Immunol. 2012;188(12):6165-74. 122. Guerra N, Tan YX, Joncker NT, Choy A, Gallardo F, Xiong N, et al. NKG2D-deficient mice are defective in tumor surveillance in models of spontaneous malignancy. Immunity. 2008;28(4):571-80.

123. Raulet DH. Roles of the NKG2D immunoreceptor and its ligands. Nat Rev Immunol. 2003;3(10):781-90.

124. Lanier LL. NKG2D Receptor and Its Ligands in Host Defense. Cancer Immunol Res. 2015;3(6):575-82.

125. Eagle RA, Trowsdale J. Promiscuity and the single receptor: NKG2D. Nat Rev Immunol. 2007;7(9):737-44.

126. Komatsu-Wakui M, Tokunaga K, Ishikawa Y, Kashiwase K, Moriyama S, Tsuchiya N, et al. MIC-A polymorphism in Japanese and a MIC-A-MIC-B null haplotype. Immunogenetics. 1999;49(7-8):620-8.

127. Fan J, Shi J, Zhang Y, Liu J, An C, Zhu H, et al. NKG2D discriminates diverse ligands through selectively mechano-regulated ligand conformational changes. EMBO J. 2022;41(2):e107739.

128. Raulet DH, Gasser S, Gowen BG, Deng W, Jung H. Regulation of ligands for the NKG2D activating receptor. Annu Rev Immunol. 2013;31:413-41.

129. Spear P, Wu MR, Sentman ML, Sentman CL. NKG2D ligands as therapeutic targets. Cancer Immun. 2013;13:8.

130. Bryceson YT, Ljunggren HG, Long EO. Minimal requirement for induction of natural cytotoxicity and intersection of activation signals by inhibitory receptors. Blood. 2009;114(13):2657-66.

131. Bryceson YT, March ME, Barber DF, Ljunggren HG, Long EO. Cytolytic granule polarization and degranulation controlled by different receptors in resting NK cells. J Exp Med. 2005;202(7):1001-12.

132. Bryceson YT, March ME, Ljunggren HG, Long EO. Synergy among receptors on resting NK cells for the activation of natural cytotoxicity and cytokine secretion. Blood. 2006;107(1):159-66.

133. Barber DF, Long EO. Coexpression of CD58 or CD48 with intercellular adhesion molecule 1 on target cells enhances adhesion of resting NK cells. J Immunol. 2003;170(1):294-9.

134. Hoffmann SC, Cohnen A, Ludwig T, Watzl C. 2B4 engagement mediates rapid LFA-1 and actin-dependent NK cell adhesion to tumor cells as measured by single cell force spectroscopy. J Immunol. 2011;186(5):2757-64.

135. Brown MH, Boles K, van der Merwe PA, Kumar V, Mathew PA, Barclay AN. 2B4, the natural killer and T cell immunoglobulin superfamily surface protein, is a ligand for CD48. J Exp Med. 1998;188(11):2083-90.

136. Latchman Y, McKay PF, Reiser H. Identification of the 2B4 molecule as a counter-receptor for CD48. J Immunol. 1998;161(11):5809-12.

137. Pende D, Bottino C, Castriconi R, Cantoni C, Marcenaro S, Rivera P, et al. PVR (CD155) and Nectin-2 (CD112) as ligands of the human DNAM-1 (CD226) activating receptor: involvement in tumor cell lysis. Mol Immunol. 2005;42(4):463-9.

138. Sanchez-Correa B, Valhondo I, Hassouneh F, Lopez-Sejas N, Pera A, Bergua JM, et al. DNAM-1 and the TIGIT/PVRIG/TACTILE Axis: Novel Immune Checkpoints for Natural Killer Cell-Based Cancer Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(6).

Hatjiharissi E, Xu L, Santos DD, Hunter ZR, Ciccarelli BT, Verselis S, et al. Increased natural killer cell expression of CD16, augmented binding and ADCC activity to rituximab among individuals expressing the Fc{gamma}RIIIa-158 V/V and V/F polymorphism. Blood. 2007;110(7):2561-4.
Battella S, Cox MC, Santoni A, Palmieri G. Natural killer (NK) cells and anti-tumor therapeutic mAb: unexplored interactions. J Leukoc Biol. 2016;99(1):87-96.

141. Trinchieri G, Valiante N. Receptors for the Fc fragment of IgG on natural killer cells. Nat Immun. 1993;12(4-5):218-34.

142. Warren HS, Kinnear BF. Quantitative analysis of the effect of CD16 ligation on human NK cell proliferation. J Immunol. 1999;162(2):735-42.

143. Anderson P, Caligiuri M, Ritz J, Schlossman SF. CD3-negative natural killer cells express zeta TCR as part of a novel molecular complex. Nature. 1989;341(6238):159-62.

144. Hibbs ML, Selvaraj P, Carpén O, Springer TA, Kuster H, Jouvin MH, et al. Mechanisms for regulating expression of membrane isoforms of Fc gamma RIII (CD16). Science. 1989;246(4937):1608-11.

145. Lanier LL, Corliss BC, Wu J, Leong C, Phillips JH. Immunoreceptor DAP12 bearing a tyrosine-based activation motif is involved in activating NK cells. Nature. 1998;391(6668):703-7.

146. Aguilar OA, Fong LK, Ishiyama K, DeGrado WF, Lanier LL. The CD3ζ adaptor structure determines functional differences between human and mouse CD16 Fc receptor signaling. J Exp Med. 2022;219(5).

147. Lanier LL, Yu G, Phillips JH. Co-association of CD3 zeta with a receptor (CD16) for IgG Fc on human natural killer cells. Nature. 1989;342(6251):803-5.

148. Feng J, Call ME, Wucherpfennig KW. The assembly of diverse immune receptors is focused on a polar membrane-embedded interaction site. PLoS Biol. 2006;4(5):e142.

149. Wu J, Song Y, Bakker AB, Bauer S, Spies T, Lanier LL, et al. An activating immunoreceptor complex formed by NKG2D and DAP10. Science. 1999;285(5428):730-2.

150. Orange JS, Harris KE, Andzelm MM, Valter MM, Geha RS, Strominger JL. The mature activating natural killer cell immunologic synapse is formed in distinct stages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100(24):14151-6.

151. Wulfing C, Purtic B, Klem J, Schatzle JD. Stepwise cytoskeletal polarization as a series of checkpoints in innate but not adaptive cytolytic killing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100(13):7767-72.

152. Friedman D, Simmonds P, Hale A, Bere L, Hodson NW, White MRH, et al. Natural killer cell immune synapse formation and cytotoxicity are controlled by tension of the target interface. J Cell Sci. 2021;134(7).

153. McCann FE, Vanherberghen B, Eleme K, Carlin LM, Newsam RJ, Goulding D, et al. The size of the synaptic cleft and distinct distributions of filamentous actin, ezrin, CD43, and CD45 at activating and inhibitory human NK cell immune synapses. J Immunol. 2003;170(6):2862-70.

154. Orange JS. Formation and function of the lytic NK-cell immunological synapse. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008;8(9):713-25.

155. Masilamani M, Nguyen C, Kabat J, Borrego F, Coligan JE. CD94/NKG2A inhibits NK cell activation by disrupting the actin network at the immunological synapse. J Immunol. 2006;177(6):3590-6.

156. Netter P, Anft M, Watzl C. Termination of the Activating NK Cell Immunological Synapse Is an Active and Regulated Process. J Immunol. 2017;199(7):2528-35.

157. Vyas YM, Maniar H, Dupont B. Cutting edge: differential segregation of the SRC homology 2-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase-1 within the early NK cell immune synapse distinguishes noncytolytic from cytolytic interactions. J Immunol. 2002;168(7):3150-4.

158. Riteau B, Barber DF, Long EO. Vav1 phosphorylation is induced by beta2 integrin engagement on natural killer cells upstream of actin cytoskeleton and lipid raft reorganization. J Exp Med. 2003;198(3):469-74.

159. Deguine J, Breart B, Lemaître F, Di Santo JP, Bousso P. Intravital imaging reveals distinct dynamics for natural killer and CD8(+) T cells during tumor regression. Immunity. 2010;33(4):632-44.

160. Endt J, McCann FE, Almeida CR, Urlaub D, Leung R, Pende D, et al. Inhibitory receptor signals suppress ligation-induced recruitment of NKG2D to GM1-rich membrane domains at the human NK cell immune synapse. J Immunol. 2007;178(9):5606-11.

161. Culley FJ, Johnson M, Evans JH, Kumar S, Crilly R, Casasbuenas J, et al. Natural killer cell signal integration balances synapse symmetry and migration. PLoS Biol. 2009;7(7):e1000159.

162. Das A, Long EO. Lytic granule polarization, rather than degranulation, is the preferred target of inhibitory receptors in NK cells. J Immunol. 2010;185(8):4698-704.

163. Abeyweera TP, Merino E, Huse M. Inhibitory signaling blocks activating receptor clustering and induces cytoskeletal retraction in natural killer cells. J Cell Biol. 2011;192(4):675-90.

164. Davis DM, Chiu I, Fassett M, Cohen GB, Mandelboim O, Strominger JL. The human natural killer cell immune synapse. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96(26):15062-7.

165. Fassett MS, Davis DM, Valter MM, Cohen GB, Strominger JL. Signaling at the inhibitory natural killer cell immune synapse regulates lipid raft polarization but not class I MHC clustering. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(25):14547-52. 166. Stebbins CC, Watzl C, Billadeau DD, Leibson PJ, Burshtyn DN, Long EO. Vav1 dephosphorylation by the tyrosine phosphatase SHP-1 as a mechanism for inhibition of cellular cytotoxicity. Mol Cell Biol. 2003;23(17):6291-9.

167. Burshtyn DN, Shin J, Stebbins C, Long EO. Adhesion to target cells is disrupted by the killer cell inhibitory receptor. Curr Biol. 2000;10(13):777-80.

168. Kaufman DS, Schoon RA, Robertson MJ, Leibson PJ. Inhibition of selective signaling events in natural killer cells recognizing major histocompatibility complex class I. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1995;92(14):6484-8.

169. Lou Z, Jevremovic D, Billadeau DD, Leibson PJ. A balance between positive and negative signals in cytotoxic lymphocytes regulates the polarization of lipid rafts during the development of cell-mediated killing. J Exp Med. 2000;191(2):347-54.

170. Taner SB, Onfelt B, Pirinen NJ, McCann FE, Magee AI, Davis DM. Control of immune responses by trafficking cell surface proteins, vesicles and lipid rafts to and from the immunological synapse. Traffic. 2004;5(9):651-61. 171. Orange JS, Ramesh N, Remold-O'Donnell E, Sasahara Y, Koopman L, Byrne M, et al. Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein is required for NK cell cytotoxicity and colocalizes with actin to NK cell-activating immunologic synapses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99(17):11351-6.

172. Hadad U, Thauland TJ, Martinez OM, Butte MJ, Porgador A, Krams SM. NKp46 Clusters at the Immune Synapse and Regulates NK Cell Polarization. Front Immunol. 2015;6:495.

173. Giurisato E, Cella M, Takai T, Kurosaki T, Feng Y, Longmore GD, et al. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activation is required to form the NKG2D immunological synapse. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27(24):8583-99.

174. Liu D, Bryceson YT, Meckel T, Vasiliver-Shamis G, Dustin ML, Long EO. Integrin-dependent organization and bidirectional vesicular traffic at cytotoxic immune synapses. Immunity. 2009;31(1):99-109.

175. Wang H, Zheng X, Wei H, Tian Z, Sun R. Important role for NKp30 in synapse formation and activation of NK cells. Immunol Invest. 2012;41(4):367-81.

176. Bálint Š, Lopes FB, Davis DM. A nanoscale reorganization of the IL-15 receptor is triggered by NKG2D in a ligand-dependent manner. Sci Signal. 2018;11(525).

177. Owen DM, Oddos S, Kumar S, Davis DM, Neil MA, French PM, et al. High plasma membrane lipid order imaged at the immunological synapse periphery in live T cells. Mol Membr Biol. 2010;27(4-6):178-89.

178. Jiang K, Zhong B, Gilvary DL, Corliss BC, Hong-Geller E, Wei S, et al. Pivotal role of phosphoinositide-3 kinase in regulation of cytotoxicity in natural killer cells. Nat Immunol. 2000;1(5):419-25.

179. Li C, Ge B, Nicotra M, Stern JN, Kopcow HD, Chen X, et al. JNK MAP kinase activation is required for MTOC and granule polarization in NKG2D-mediated NK cell cytotoxicity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008;105(8):3017-22.

180. Maul-Pavicic A, Chiang SC, Rensing-Ehl A, Jessen B, Fauriat C, Wood SM, et al. ORAI1-mediated calcium influx is required for human cytotoxic lymphocyte degranulation and target cell lysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(8):3324-9.

181. Feske S, Skolnik EY, Prakriya M. Ion channels and transporters in lymphocyte function and immunity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012;12(7):532-47.

182. Krzewski K, Coligan JE. Human NK cell lytic granules and regulation of their exocytosis. Front Immunol. 2012;3:335.

183. Lee J, Dieckmann NMG, Edgar JR, Griffiths GM, Siegel RM. Fas Ligand localizes to intraluminal vesicles within NK cell cytolytic granules and is enriched at the immune synapse. Immun Inflamm Dis. 2018;6(2):312-21.

184. Smyth MJ, Cretney E, Kelly JM, Westwood JA, Street SE, Yagita H, et al. Activation of NK cell cytotoxicity. Mol Immunol. 2005;42(4):501-10.

185. Keefe D, Shi L, Feske S, Massol R, Navarro F, Kirchhausen T, et al. Perforin triggers a plasma membrane-repair response that facilitates CTL induction of apoptosis. Immunity. 2005;23(3):249-62.

186. Uellner R, Zvelebil MJ, Hopkins J, Jones J, MacDougall LK, Morgan BP, et al. Perforin is activated by a proteolytic cleavage during biosynthesis which reveals a phospholipid-binding C2 domain. EMBO J. 1997;16(24):7287-96.

187. Praper T, Besenicar MP, Istinic H, Podlesek Z, Metkar SS, Froelich CJ, et al. Human perforin permeabilizing activity, but not binding to lipid membranes, is affected by pH. Mol Immunol. 2010;47(15):2492-504.

188. Jacobs R, Hintzen G, Kemper A, Beul K, Kempf S, Behrens G, et al. CD56bright cells differ in their KIR repertoire and cytotoxic features from CD56dim NK cells. Eur J Immunol. 2001;31(10):3121-7.

189. Raja SM, Wang B, Dantuluri M, Desai UR, Demeler B, Spiegel K, et al. Cytotoxic cell granule-mediated apoptosis. Characterization of the macromolecular complex of granzyme B with serglycin. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(51):49523-30.

190. Mentlik AN, Sanborn KB, Holzbaur EL, Orange JS. Rapid lytic granule convergence to the MTOC in natural killer cells is dependent on dynein but not cytolytic commitment. Mol Biol Cell. 2010;21(13):2241-56.

191. Hsu HT, Mace EM, Carisey AF, Viswanath DI, Christakou AE, Wiklund M, et al. NK cells converge lytic granules to promote cytotoxicity and prevent bystander killing. J Cell Biol. 2016;215(6):875-89.

192. Chen X, Allan DSJ, Krzewski K, Ge B, Kopcow H, Strominger JL. CD28-stimulated ERK2 phosphorylation is required for polarization of the microtubule organizing center and granules in YTS NK cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(27):10346-51.

193. Graham DB, Cella M, Giurisato E, Fujikawa K, Miletic AV, Kloeppel T, et al. Vav1 controls DAP10-mediated natural cytotoxicity by regulating actin and microtubule dynamics. J Immunol. 2006;177(4):2349-55.

194. Sancho D, Nieto M, Llano M, Rodríguez-Fernández JL, Tejedor R, Avraham S, et al. The tyrosine kinase PYK-2/RAFTK regulates natural killer (NK) cell cytotoxic response, and is translocated and activated upon specific target cell recognition and killing. J Cell Biol. 2000;149(6):1249-62.

195. Liu D, Martina JA, Wu XS, Hammer JA, 3rd, Long EO. Two modes of lytic granule fusion during degranulation by natural killer cells. Immunol Cell Biol. 2011;89(6):728-38.

196. Capuano C, Paolini R, Molfetta R, Frati L, Santoni A, Galandrini R. PIP2-dependent regulation of Munc13-4 endocytic recycling: impact on the cytolytic secretory pathway. Blood. 2012;119(10):2252-62.

197. Cohnen A, Chiang SC, Stojanovic A, Schmidt H, Claus M, Saftig P, et al. Surface CD107a/LAMP-1 protects natural killer cells from degranulationassociated damage. Blood. 2013;122(8):1411-8.

198. Hirst CE, Buzza MS, Bird CH, Warren HS, Cameron PU, Zhang M, et al. The intracellular granzyme B inhibitor, proteinase inhibitor 9, is upregulated during accessory cell maturation and effector cell degranulation, and its overexpression enhances CTL potency. J Immunol. 2003;170(2):805-15.

199. Sun J, Bird CH, Sutton V, McDonald L, Coughlin PB, De Jong TA, et al. A cytosolic granzyme B inhibitor related to the viral apoptotic regulator cytokine response modifier A is present in cytotoxic lymphocytes. J Biol Chem. 1996;271(44):27802-9.

200. Antia R, Schlegel RA, Williamson P. Binding of perform to membranes is sensitive to lipid spacing and not headgroup. Immunol Lett. 1992;32(2):153-7.

201. Li Y, Orange JS. Degranulation enhances presynaptic membrane packing, which protects NK cells from perforin-mediated autolysis. PLoS Biol. 2021;19(8):e3001328.

202. Srpan K, Ambrose A, Karampatzakis A, Saeed M, Cartwright ANR, Guldevall K, et al. Shedding of CD16 disassembles the NK cell immune synapse and boosts serial engagement of target cells. J Cell Biol. 2018;217(9):3267-83.

203. Sandusky MM, Messmer B, Watzl C. Regulation of 2B4 (CD244)mediated NK cell activation by ligand-induced receptor modulation. Eur J Immunol. 2006;36(12):3268-76.

204. Vanherberghen B, Olofsson PE, Forslund E, Sternberg-Simon M, Khorshidi MA, Pacouret S, et al. Classification of human natural killer cells based on migration behavior and cytotoxic response. Blood. 2013;121(8):1326-34.

205. Anft M, Netter P, Urlaub D, Prager I, Schaffner S, Watzl C. NK cell detachment from target cells is regulated by successful cytotoxicity and influences cytokine production. Cell Mol Immunol. 2020;17(4):347-55.

206. Prager I, Watzl C. Mechanisms of natural killer cell-mediated cellular cytotoxicity. J Leukoc Biol. 2019;105(6):1319-29.

207. Motyka B, Korbutt G, Pinkoski MJ, Heibein JA, Caputo A, Hobman M, et al. Mannose 6-phosphate/insulin-like growth factor II receptor is a death receptor for granzyme B during cytotoxic T cell-induced apoptosis. Cell. 2000;103(3):491-500.

208. Thiery J, Keefe D, Boulant S, Boucrot E, Walch M, Martinvalet D, et al. Perforin pores in the endosomal membrane trigger the release of endocytosed granzyme B into the cytosol of target cells. Nat Immunol. 2011;12(8):770-7.

209. Voskoboinik I, Dunstone MA, Baran K, Whisstock JC, Trapani JA. Perforin: structure, function, and role in human immunopathology. Immunol Rev. 2010;235(1):35-54.

210. Kerr JF, Wyllie AH, Currie AR. Apoptosis: a basic biological phenomenon with wide-ranging implications in tissue kinetics. Br J Cancer. 1972;26(4):239-57.

211. Medema JP, Toes RE, Scaffidi C, Zheng TS, Flavell RA, Melief CJ, et al. Cleavage of FLICE (caspase-8) by granzyme B during cytotoxic T lymphocyte-induced apoptosis. Eur J Immunol. 1997;27(12):3492-8.

212. Cory S, Adams JM. The Bcl2 family: regulators of the cellular lifeor-death switch. Nat Rev Cancer. 2002;2(9):647-56.

213. Du C, Fang M, Li Y, Li L, Wang X. Smac, a mitochondrial protein that promotes cytochrome c-dependent caspase activation by eliminating IAP inhibition. Cell. 2000;102(1):33-42.

214. Saelens X, Festjens N, Vande Walle L, van Gurp M, van Loo G, Vandenabeele P. Toxic proteins released from mitochondria in cell death. Oncogene. 2004;23(16):2861-74.

215. Elmore S. Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. Toxicol Pathol. 2007;35(4):495-516.

216. Hill MM, Adrain C, Duriez PJ, Creagh EM, Martin SJ. Analysis of the composition, assembly kinetics and activity of native Apaf-1 apoptosomes. EMBO J. 2004;23(10):2134-45.

217. Alberts EJ, A; Lewis, J; Raff, J; Roberts, Keith; Walters, P. Molecular biology of the cell. Garland science; 2008.

218. Barry M, Heibein JA, Pinkoski MJ, Lee SF, Moyer RW, Green DR, et al. Granzyme B short-circuits the need for caspase 8 activity during granulemediated cytotoxic T-lymphocyte killing by directly cleaving Bid. Mol Cell Biol. 2000;20(11):3781-94.

219. Beresford PJ, Zhang D, Oh DY, Fan Z, Greer EL, Russo ML, et al. Granzyme A activates an endoplasmic reticulum-associated caspaseindependent nuclease to induce single-stranded DNA nicks. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(46):43285-93.

220. Lu H, Hou Q, Zhao T, Zhang H, Zhang Q, Wu L, et al. Granzyme M directly cleaves inhibitor of caspase-activated DNase (CAD) to unleash CAD leading to DNA fragmentation. J Immunol. 2006;177(2):1171-8.

221. Slee EA, Adrain C, Martin SJ. Executioner caspase-3, -6, and -7 perform distinct, non-redundant roles during the demolition phase of apoptosis. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(10):7320-6.

222. Nagata S, Sakuragi T, Segawa K. Flippase and scramblase for phosphatidylserine exposure. Curr Opin Immunol. 2020;62:31-8.

223. Segawa K, Kurata S, Yanagihashi Y, Brummelkamp TR, Matsuda F, Nagata S. Caspase-mediated cleavage of phospholipid flippase for apoptotic phosphatidylserine exposure. Science. 2014;344(6188):1164-8.

224. Suzuki J, Denning DP, Imanishi E, Horvitz HR, Nagata S. Xkrelated protein 8 and CED-8 promote phosphatidylserine exposure in apoptotic cells. Science. 2013;341(6144):403-6.

225. Kurosaka K, Takahashi M, Watanabe N, Kobayashi Y. Silent cleanup of very early apoptotic cells by macrophages. J Immunol. 2003;171(9):4672-9.

226. Castellano F, Montcourrier P, Chavrier P. Membrane recruitment of Rac1 triggers phagocytosis. J Cell Sci. 2000;113 (Pt 17):2955-61.

227. Juncadella IJ, Kadl A, Sharma AK, Shim YM, Hochreiter-Hufford A, Borish L, et al. Apoptotic cell clearance by bronchial epithelial cells critically influences airway inflammation. Nature. 2013;493(7433):547-51.

228. Schilperoort M, Ngai D, Sukka SR, Avrampou K, Shi H, Tabas I. The role of efferocytosis-fueled macrophage metabolism in the resolution of inflammation. Immunol Rev. 2023;319(1):65-80.

229. Bodmer JL, Schneider P, Tschopp J. The molecular architecture of the TNF superfamily. Trends Biochem Sci. 2002;27(1):19-26.

230. Cahuzac N, Baum W, Kirkin V, Conchonaud F, Wawrezinieck L, Marguet D, et al. Fas ligand is localized to membrane rafts, where it displays increased cell death-inducing activity. Blood. 2006;107(6):2384-91.

231. Glukhova XA, Trizna JA, Proussakova OV, Gogvadze V, Beletsky IP. Impairment of Fas-ligand-caveolin-1 interaction inhibits Fas-ligand translocation to rafts and Fas-ligand-induced cell death. Cell Death Dis. 2018;9(2):73.

232. Glukhova XA, Trizna JA, Melnik BS, Proussakova OV, Beletsky IP. Recruitment of TNF ligands to lipid rafts is mediated by their physical association with caveolin-1. FEBS Lett. 2022;596(2):211-8.

233. Alizadeh Zeinabad H, Szegezdi E. TRAIL in the Treatment of Cancer: From Soluble Cytokine to Nanosystems. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(20).

234. Kirkin V, Cahuzac N, Guardiola-Serrano F, Huault S, Lückerath K, Friedmann E, et al. The Fas ligand intracellular domain is released by ADAM10 and SPPL2a cleavage in T-cells. Cell Death Differ. 2007;14(9):1678-87.
235. von Karstedt S, Montinaro A, Walczak H. Exploring the TRAILs

less travelled: TRAIL in cancer biology and therapy. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017;17(6):352-66.

236. LA OR, Tai L, Lee L, Kruse EA, Grabow S, Fairlie WD, et al. Membrane-bound Fas ligand only is essential for Fas-induced apoptosis. Nature. 2009;461(7264):659-63.

237. Kayagaki N, Yamaguchi N, Nakayama M, Takeda K, Akiba H, Tsutsui H, et al. Expression and function of TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand on murine activated NK cells. J Immunol. 1999;163(4):1906-13.

238. Rossin A, Miloro G, Hueber AO. TRAIL and FasL Functions in Cancer and Autoimmune Diseases: Towards an Increasing Complexity. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(5).

239. Monleón I, Martínez-Lorenzo MJ, Monteagudo L, Lasierra P, Taulés M, Iturralde M, et al. Differential secretion of Fas ligand- or APO2 ligand/TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand-carrying microvesicles during activation-induced death of human T cells. J Immunol. 2001;167(12):6736-44.

240. Naval J, de Miguel D, Gallego-Lleyda A, Anel A, Martinez-Lostao L. Importance of TRAIL Molecular Anatomy in Receptor Oligomerization and Signaling. Implications for Cancer Therapy. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(4).

241. Sato K, Hida S, Takayanagi H, Yokochi T, Kayagaki N, Takeda K, et al. Antiviral response by natural killer cells through TRAIL gene induction by IFN-alpha/beta. Eur J Immunol. 2001;31(11):3138-46.

242. Takeda K, Smyth MJ, Cretney E, Hayakawa Y, Yamaguchi N, Yagita H, et al. Involvement of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand in NK cell-mediated and IFN-gamma-dependent suppression of subcutaneous tumor growth. Cell Immunol. 2001;214(2):194-200.

243. Takeda K, Hayakawa Y, Smyth MJ, Kayagaki N, Yamaguchi N, Kakuta S, et al. Involvement of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand in surveillance of tumor metastasis by liver natural killer cells. Nat Med. 2001;7(1):94-100.

244. Schneider P, Thome M, Burns K, Bodmer JL, Hofmann K, Kataoka T, et al. TRAIL receptors 1 (DR4) and 2 (DR5) signal FADD-dependent apoptosis and activate NF-kappaB. Immunity. 1997;7(6):831-6.

245. Stadel D, Mohr A, Ref C, MacFarlane M, Zhou S, Humphreys R, et al. TRAIL-induced apoptosis is preferentially mediated via TRAIL receptor 1 in pancreatic carcinoma cells and profoundly enhanced by XIAP inhibitors. Clin Cancer Res. 2010;16(23):5734-49.

246. Valley CC, Lewis AK, Mudaliar DJ, Perlmutter JD, Braun AR, Karim CB, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) induces death receptor 5 networks that are highly organized. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(25):21265-78.

247. Jong KXJ, Mohamed EHM, Ibrahim ZA. Escaping cell death via TRAIL decoy receptors: a systematic review of their roles and expressions in colorectal cancer. Apoptosis. 2022;27(11-12):787-99.

248. Mérino D, Lalaoui N, Morizot A, Schneider P, Solary E, Micheau O. Differential inhibition of TRAIL-mediated DR5-DISC formation by decoy receptors 1 and 2. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26(19):7046-55.

249. Henkler F, Behrle E, Dennehy KM, Wicovsky A, Peters N, Warnke C, et al. The extracellular domains of FasL and Fas are sufficient for the formation of supramolecular FasL-Fas clusters of high stability. J Cell Biol. 2005;168(7):1087-98.

250. Berg D, Lehne M, Müller N, Siegmund D, Münkel S, Sebald W, et al. Enforced covalent trimerization increases the activity of the TNF ligand family members TRAIL and CD95L. Cell Death Differ. 2007;14(12):2021-34.

251. Lemke J, Noack A, Adam D, Tchikov V, Bertsch U, Röder C, et al. TRAIL signaling is mediated by DR4 in pancreatic tumor cells despite the expression of functional DR5. J Mol Med (Berl). 2010;88(7):729-40.

252. MacFarlane M, Kohlhaas SL, Sutcliffe MJ, Dyer MJ, Cohen GM. TRAIL receptor-selective mutants signal to apoptosis via TRAIL-R1 in primary lymphoid malignancies. Cancer Res. 2005;65(24):11265-70.

253. Truneh A, Sharma S, Silverman C, Khandekar S, Reddy MP, Deen KC, et al. Temperature-sensitive differential affinity of TRAIL for its receptors. DR5 is the highest affinity receptor. J Biol Chem. 2000;275(30):23319-25.

254. Cao X, Pobezinskaya YL, Morgan MJ, Liu ZG. The role of TRADD in TRAIL-induced apoptosis and signaling. FASEB J. 2011;25(4):1353-8.

255. Degli-Esposti MA, Dougall WC, Smolak PJ, Waugh JY, Smith CA, Goodwin RG. The novel receptor TRAIL-R4 induces NF-kappaB and protects against TRAIL-mediated apoptosis, yet retains an incomplete death domain. Immunity. 1997;7(6):813-20.

256. Sprick MR, Weigand MA, Rieser E, Rauch CT, Juo P, Blenis J, et al. FADD/MORT1 and caspase-8 are recruited to TRAIL receptors 1 and 2 and are essential for apoptosis mediated by TRAIL receptor 2. Immunity. 2000;12(6):599-609.

257. Sprick MR, Rieser E, Stahl H, Grosse-Wilde A, Weigand MA, Walczak H. Caspase-10 is recruited to and activated at the native TRAIL and CD95 death-inducing signalling complexes in a FADD-dependent manner but can not functionally substitute caspase-8. EMBO J. 2002;21(17):4520-30.

258. Suliman A, Lam A, Datta R, Srivastava RK. Intracellular mechanisms of TRAIL: apoptosis through mitochondrial-dependent and - independent pathways. Oncogene. 2001;20(17):2122-33.

259. Dickens LS, Boyd RS, Jukes-Jones R, Hughes MA, Robinson GL, Fairall L, et al. A death effector domain chain DISC model reveals a crucial role for caspase-8 chain assembly in mediating apoptotic cell death. Mol Cell. 2012;47(2):291-305.

260. Jan R, Chaudhry GE. Understanding Apoptosis and Apoptotic Pathways Targeted Cancer Therapeutics. Adv Pharm Bull. 2019;9(2):205-18.

261. Yu JW, Shi Y. FLIP and the death effector domain family. Oncogene. 2008;27(48):6216-27.

262. Mirandola P, Ponti C, Gobbi G, Sponzilli I, Vaccarezza M, Cocco L, et al. Activated human NK and CD8+ T cells express both TNF-related

apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) and TRAIL receptors but are resistant to TRAIL-mediated cytotoxicity. Blood. 2004;104(8):2418-24.

263. Li J, Figueira SK, Vrazo AC, Binkowski BF, Butler BL, Tabata Y, et al. Real-time detection of CTL function reveals distinct patterns of caspase activation mediated by Fas versus granzyme B. J Immunol. 2014;193(2):519-28.

264. Gwalani LA, Orange JS. Single Degranulations in NK Cells Can Mediate Target Cell Killing. J Immunol. 2018;200(9):3231-43.

265. Bhat R, Watzl C. Serial killing of tumor cells by human natural killer cells--enhancement by therapeutic antibodies. PLoS One. 2007;2(3):e326.

266. Prager I, Liesche C, van Ooijen H, Urlaub D, Verron Q, Sandström N, et al. NK cells switch from granzyme B to death receptor-mediated cytotoxicity during serial killing. J Exp Med. 2019;216(9):2113-27.

267. Coca S, Perez-Piqueras J, Martinez D, Colmenarejo A, Saez MA, Vallejo C, et al. The prognostic significance of intratumoral natural killer cells in patients with colorectal carcinoma. Cancer. 1997;79(12):2320-8.

268. Rusakiewicz S, Semeraro M, Sarabi M, Desbois M, Locher C, Mendez R, et al. Immune infiltrates are prognostic factors in localized gastrointestinal stromal tumors. Cancer Res. 2013;73(12):3499-510.

269. Villegas FR, Coca S, Villarrubia VG, Jiménez R, Chillón MJ, Jareño J, et al. Prognostic significance of tumor infiltrating natural killer cells subset CD57 in patients with squamous cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2002;35(1):23-8.

270. Guillerey C, Smyth MJ. NK Cells and Cancer Immunoediting. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2016;395:115-45.

271. Hinshaw DC, Shevde LA. The Tumor Microenvironment Innately Modulates Cancer Progression. Cancer Res. 2019;79(18):4557-66.

272. Hellstrand K, Asea A, Hermodsson S. Role of histamine in natural killer cell-mediated resistance against tumor cells. J Immunol. 1990;145(12):4365-70.

273. Duault C, Kumar A, Taghi Khani A, Lee SJ, Yang L, Huang M, et al. Activated natural killer cells predict poor clinical prognosis in high-risk B- and T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2021;138(16):1465-80.

274. Huntington ND, Cursons J, Rautela J. The cancer-natural killer cell immunity cycle. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(8):437-54.

275. Estey E, Döhner H. Acute myeloid leukaemia. Lancet. 2006;368(9550):1894-907.

276. Döhner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(12):1136-52.

277. RCC_Syd. AML. Akut myeloisk leukemi. Årsrapport nationellt kvalitetsregister. Diagnosår: 1997 - 2021. 2022.

278. Schlenk RF, Döhner K, Krauter J, Fröhling S, Corbacioglu A, Bullinger L, et al. Mutations and treatment outcome in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(18):1909-18.

279. Antunovic P, Juliusson G, Billström R, Möllgård L, Derolf Å, Tidefelt U, et al. Nationella riktlinjer för diagnostik och behandling av akut myeloisk leukemi hos vuxna. 2009.

280. Döhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, Amadori S, Appelbaum FR, Büchner T, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood. 2017;129(4):424-47.
281. Vetrie D, Helgason GV, Copland M. The leukaemia stem cell: similarities, differences and clinical prospects in CML and AML. Nat Rev Cancer. 2020;20(3):158-73.

282. Martner A, Thorén FB, Aurelius J, Hellstrand K. Immunotherapeutic strategies for relapse control in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Rev. 2013;27(5):209-16.

283. Wei AH, Döhner H, Pocock C, Montesinos P, Afanasyev B, Dombret H, et al. Oral Azacitidine Maintenance Therapy for Acute Myeloid Leukemia in First Remission. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(26):2526-37.

284. Dersh D, Hollý J, Yewdell JW. A few good peptides: MHC class I-based cancer immunosurveillance and immunoevasion. Nat Rev Immunol. 2021;21(2):116-28.

285. Charrier M, Mezquita L, Lueza B, Dupraz L, Planchard D, Remon J, et al. Circulating innate immune markers and outcomes in treatment-naïve advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients. Eur J Cancer. 2019;108:88-96.

286. Messaoudene M, Fregni G, Enot D, Jacquelot N, Neves E, Germaud N, et al. NKp30 isoforms and NKp46 transcripts in metastatic melanoma patients: Unique NKp30 pattern in rare melanoma patients with favorable evolution. Oncoimmunology. 2016;5(12):e1154251.

287. Walczak H, Miller RE, Ariail K, Gliniak B, Griffith TS, Kubin M, et al. Tumoricidal activity of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand in vivo. Nat Med. 1999;5(2):157-63.

288. Cretney E, Takeda K, Yagita H, Glaccum M, Peschon JJ, Smyth MJ. Increased susceptibility to tumor initiation and metastasis in TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand-deficient mice. J Immunol. 2002;168(3):1356-61.

289. Diao Z, Shi J, Zhu J, Yuan H, Ru Q, Liu S, et al. TRAIL suppresses tumor growth in mice by inducing tumor-infiltrating CD4(+)CD25 (+) Treg apoptosis. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2013;62(4):653-63.

290. Jia H, Yang H, Xiong H, Luo KQ. NK cell exhaustion in the tumor microenvironment. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1303605.

291. Le Maux Chansac B, Moretta A, Vergnon I, Opolon P, Lécluse Y, Grunenwald D, et al. NK cells infiltrating a MHC class I-deficient lung adenocarcinoma display impaired cytotoxic activity toward autologous tumor cells associated with altered NK cell-triggering receptors. J Immunol. 2005;175(9):5790-8.

292. Pesce S, Greppi M, Tabellini G, Rampinelli F, Parolini S, Olive D, et al. Identification of a subset of human natural killer cells expressing high levels
of programmed death 1: A phenotypic and functional characterization. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2017;139(1):335-46.e3.

293. Zhang Q, Bi J, Zheng X, Chen Y, Wang H, Wu W, et al. Blockade of the checkpoint receptor TIGIT prevents NK cell exhaustion and elicits potent anti-tumor immunity. Nat Immunol. 2018;19(7):723-32.

294. Wang W, Guo H, Geng J, Zheng X, Wei H, Sun R, et al. Tumorreleased Galectin-3, a soluble inhibitory ligand of human NKp30, plays an important role in tumor escape from NK cell attack. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(48):33311-9.

295. Hilpert J, Grosse-Hovest L, Grünebach F, Buechele C, Nuebling T, Raum T, et al. Comprehensive analysis of NKG2D ligand expression and release in leukemia: implications for NKG2D-mediated NK cell responses. J Immunol. 2012;189(3):1360-71.

296. Wu JD, Higgins LM, Steinle A, Cosman D, Haugk K, Plymate SR. Prevalent expression of the immunostimulatory MHC class I chain-related molecule is counteracted by shedding in prostate cancer. J Clin Invest. 2004;114(4):560-8.

297. Salih HR, Rammensee HG, Steinle A. Cutting edge: down-regulation of MICA on human tumors by proteolytic shedding. J Immunol. 2002;169(8):4098-102.

298. Fernández-Messina L, Ashiru O, Boutet P, Agüera-González S, Skepper JN, Reyburn HT, et al. Differential mechanisms of shedding of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored NKG2D ligands. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(12):8543-51.

299. Crane CA, Han SJ, Barry JJ, Ahn BJ, Lanier LL, Parsa AT. TGFbeta downregulates the activating receptor NKG2D on NK cells and CD8+ T cells in glioma patients. Neuro Oncol. 2010;12(1):7-13.

300. Hong CS, Sharma P, Yerneni SS, Simms P, Jackson EK, Whiteside TL, et al. Circulating exosomes carrying an immunosuppressive cargo interfere with cellular immunotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):14684. 301. Garrido F, Perea F, Bernal M, Sánchez-Palencia A, Aptsiauri N, Ruiz-Cabello F. The Escape of Cancer from T Cell-Mediated Immune Surveillance: HLA Class I Loss and Tumor Tissue Architecture. Vaccines (Basel). 2017;5(1).

302. Sinha P, Clements VK, Fulton AM, Ostrand-Rosenberg S. Prostaglandin E2 promotes tumor progression by inducing myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Cancer Res. 2007;67(9):4507-13.

303. De Sanctis F, Solito S, Ugel S, Molon B, Bronte V, Marigo I. MDSCs in cancer: Conceiving new prognostic and therapeutic targets. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2016;1865(1):35-48.

304. Castriconi R, Cantoni C, Della Chiesa M, Vitale M, Marcenaro E, Conte R, et al. Transforming growth factor beta 1 inhibits expression of NKp30 and NKG2D receptors: consequences for the NK-mediated killing of dendritic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100(7):4120-5.

305. Lee JC, Lee KM, Kim DW, Heo DS. Elevated TGF-beta1 secretion and down-modulation of NKG2D underlies impaired NK cytotoxicity in cancer patients. J Immunol. 2004;172(12):7335-40.

306. Elanany MM, Mostafa D, Hamdy NM. Remodeled tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) parade via natural killer cells reprogramming in breast cancer. Life Sci. 2023;330:121997.

307. Müller B, Fischer B, Kreutz W. An acidic microenvironment impairs the generation of non-major histocompatibility complex-restricted killer cells. Immunology. 2000;99(3):375-84.

308. Birge RB, Boeltz S, Kumar S, Carlson J, Wanderley J, Calianese D, et al. Phosphatidylserine is a global immunosuppressive signal in efferocytosis, infectious disease, and cancer. Cell Death Differ. 2016;23(6):962-78.

309. Utsugi T, Schroit AJ, Connor J, Bucana CD, Fidler IJ. Elevated expression of phosphatidylserine in the outer membrane leaflet of human tumor cells and recognition by activated human blood monocytes. Cancer Res. 1991;51(11):3062-6.

310. Baginska J, Viry E, Berchem G, Poli A, Noman MZ, van Moer K, et al. Granzyme B degradation by autophagy decreases tumor cell susceptibility to natural killer-mediated lysis under hypoxia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(43):17450-5.

311. Jiang L, Wang YJ, Zhao J, Uehara M, Hou Q, Kasinath V, et al. Direct Tumor Killing and Immunotherapy through Anti-SerpinB9 Therapy. Cell. 2020;183(5):1219-33 e18.

312. Viry E, Baginska J, Berchem G, Noman MZ, Medves S, Chouaib S, et al. Autophagic degradation of GZMB/granzyme B: a new mechanism of hypoxic tumor cell escape from natural killer cell-mediated lysis. Autophagy. 2014;10(1):173-5.

313. Dervovic D, Malik AA, Chen ELY, Narimatsu M, Adler N, Afiuni-Zadeh S, et al. In vivo CRISPR screens reveal Serpinb9 and Adam2 as regulators of immune therapy response in lung cancer. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):3150.

314. Khazen R, Müller S, Gaudenzio N, Espinosa E, Puissegur MP, Valitutti S. Melanoma cell lysosome secretory burst neutralizes the CTL-mediated cytotoxicity at the lytic synapse. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10823.

315. Ganten TM, Sykora J, Koschny R, Batke E, Aulmann S, Mansmann U, et al. Prognostic significance of tumour necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) receptor expression in patients with breast cancer. J Mol Med (Berl). 2009;87(10):995-1007.

316. Shin MS, Kim HS, Lee SH, Park WS, Kim SY, Park JY, et al. Mutations of tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptor 1 (TRAIL-R1) and receptor 2 (TRAIL-R2) genes in metastatic breast cancers. Cancer Res. 2001;61(13):4942-6. 317. Wu Q, Zheng Y, Chen D, Li X, Lu C, Zhang Z. Aberrant expression of decoy receptor 3 in human breast cancer: relevance to lymphangiogenesis. J Surg Res. 2014;188(2):459-65.

318. Wang Y, Li JJ, Ba HJ, Wang KF, Wen XZ, Li DD, et al. Down Regulation of c-FLIP(L) Enhance PD-1 Blockade Efficacy in B16 Melanoma. Front Oncol. 2019;9:857.

319. Carlsten M, Korde N, Kotecha R, Reger R, Bor S, Kazandjian D, et al. Checkpoint Inhibition of KIR2D with the Monoclonal Antibody IPH2101 Induces Contraction and Hyporesponsiveness of NK Cells in Patients with Myeloma. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;22(21):5211-22.

320. André P, Denis C, Soulas C, Bourbon-Caillet C, Lopez J, Arnoux T, et al. Anti-NKG2A mAb Is a Checkpoint Inhibitor that Promotes Anti-tumor Immunity by Unleashing Both T and NK Cells. Cell. 2018;175(7):1731-43.e13.

321. Hsu J, Hodgins JJ, Marathe M, Nicolai CJ, Bourgeois-Daigneault MC, Trevino TN, et al. Contribution of NK cells to immunotherapy mediated by PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. J Clin Invest. 2018;128(10):4654-68.

322. Chauvin JM, Ka M, Pagliano O, Menna C, Ding Q, DeBlasio R, et al. IL15 Stimulation with TIGIT Blockade Reverses CD155-mediated NK-Cell Dysfunction in Melanoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2020;26(20):5520-33.

323. Xu F, Sunderland A, Zhou Y, Schulick RD, Edil BH, Zhu Y. Blockade of CD112R and TIGIT signaling sensitizes human natural killer cell functions. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2017;66(10):1367-75.

324. Xu L, Huang Y, Tan L, Yu W, Chen D, Lu C, et al. Increased Tim-3 expression in peripheral NK cells predicts a poorer prognosis and Tim-3 blockade improves NK cell-mediated cytotoxicity in human lung adenocarcinoma. Int Immunopharmacol. 2015;29(2):635-41.

325. Taylor NP. Novartis calls time on TIM-3, axing cancer candidate after phase 3 flop https://www.fiercebiotech.com/biotech/novartis-calls-time-tim-3-axing-cancer-candidate-after-phase-3-flop2024. 2024 [Accessed 2024-02-29]

326. Bondanza A, Zimmermann VS, Rovere-Querini P, Turnay J, Dumitriu IE, Stach CM, et al. Inhibition of phosphatidylserine recognition heightens the immunogenicity of irradiated lymphoma cells in vivo. J Exp Med. 2004;200(9):1157-65.

327. Stach CM, Turnay X, Voll RE, Kern PM, Kolowos W, Beyer TD, et al. Treatment with annexin V increases immunogenicity of apoptotic human T-cells in Balb/c mice. Cell Death Differ. 2000;7(10):911-5.

328. Budhu S, Giese R, Gupta A, Fitzgerald K, Zappasodi R, Schad S, et al. Targeting Phosphatidylserine Enhances the Anti-tumor Response to Tumor-Directed Radiation Therapy in a Preclinical Model of Melanoma. Cell Rep. 2021;34(2):108620.

329. Yin Y, Huang X, Lynn KD, Thorpe PE. Phosphatidylserinetargeting antibody induces M1 macrophage polarization and promotes myeloidderived suppressor cell differentiation. Cancer Immunol Res. 2013;1(4):256-68. 330. Gerber DE, Horn L, Boyer M, Sanborn R, Natale R, Palmero R, et al. Randomized phase III study of docetaxel plus bavituximab in previously treated advanced non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer. Ann Oncol. 2018;29(7):1548-53.

331. Sun A, Benet LZ. Late-Stage Failures of Monoclonal Antibody
Drugs: A Retrospective Case Study Analysis. Pharmacology. 2020;105(3-4):145-63.

332. Ly KI, Richardson LG, Liu M, Muzikansky A, Cardona J, Lou K, et al. Bavituximab Decreases Immunosuppressive Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells in Newly Diagnosed Glioblastoma Patients. Clin Cancer Res. 2023;29(16):3017-25.

333. Konjević GM, Vuletić AM, Mirjačić Martinović KM, Larsen AK, Jurišić VB. The role of cytokines in the regulation of NK cells in the tumor environment. Cytokine. 2019;117:30-40.

334. Liao W, Lin JX, Leonard WJ. IL-2 family cytokines: new insights into the complex roles of IL-2 as a broad regulator of T helper cell differentiation. Curr Opin Immunol. 2011;23(5):598-604.

335. Franks SE, Wolfson B, Hodge JW. Natural Born Killers: NK Cells in Cancer Therapy. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(8).

336. St-Pierre F, Bhatia S, Chandra S. Harnessing Natural Killer Cells in Cancer Immunotherapy: A Review of Mechanisms and Novel Therapies. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(8).

337. Levin AM, Bates DL, Ring AM, Krieg C, Lin JT, Su L, et al. Exploiting a natural conformational switch to engineer an interleukin-2 'superkine'. Nature. 2012;484(7395):529-33.

338. Baer MR, George SL, Caligiuri MA, Sanford BL, Bothun SM, Mrózek K, et al. Low-dose interleukin-2 immunotherapy does not improve outcome of patients age 60 years and older with acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission: Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study 9720. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(30):4934-9.

339. Blaise D, Attal M, Reiffers J, Michallet M, Bellanger C, Pico JL, et al. Randomized study of recombinant interleukin-2 after autologous bone marrow transplantation for acute leukemia in first complete remission. Eur Cytokine Netw. 2000;11(1):91-8.

340. Lange BJ, Smith FO, Feusner J, Barnard DR, Dinndorf P, Feig S, et al. Outcomes in CCG-2961, a children's oncology group phase 3 trial for untreated pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the children's oncology group. Blood. 2008;111(3):1044-53.

341. Berry SM, Broglio KR, Berry DA. Addressing the incremental benefit of histamine dihydrochloride when added to interleukin-2 in treating acute myeloid leukemia: a Bayesian meta-analysis. Cancer Invest. 2011;29(4):293-9.

342. Brune M, Hansson M, Mellqvist UH, Hermodsson S, Hellstrand K. NK cell-mediated killing of AML blasts: role of histamine, monocytes and reactive oxygen metabolites. Eur J Haematol. 1996;57(4):312-9.

343. Hellstrand K, Asea A, Dahlgren C, Hermodsson S. Histaminergic regulation of NK cells. Role of monocyte-derived reactive oxygen metabolites. J Immunol. 1994;153(11):4940-7.

344. Brune M, Castaigne S, Catalano J, Gehlsen K, Ho AD, Hofmann WK, et al. Improved leukemia-free survival after postconsolidation immunotherapy with histamine dihydrochloride and interleukin-2 in acute myeloid leukemia: results of a randomized phase 3 trial. Blood. 2006;108(1):88-96.

345. Brune M, Hellstrand K. Remission maintenance therapy with histamine and interleukin-2 in acute myelogenous leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 1996;92(3):620-6.

346. Sander FE, Nilsson M, Rydström A, Aurelius J, Riise RE, Movitz C, et al. Role of regulatory T cells in acute myeloid leukemia patients undergoing relapse-preventive immunotherapy. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2017;66(11):1473-84.

347. Miller JS, Morishima C, McNeel DG, Patel MR, Kohrt HEK, Thompson JA, et al. A First-in-Human Phase I Study of Subcutaneous Outpatient Recombinant Human IL15 (rhIL15) in Adults with Advanced Solid Tumors. Clin Cancer Res. 2018;24(7):1525-35.

348. Han KP, Zhu X, Liu B, Jeng E, Kong L, Yovandich JL, et al. IL-15:IL-15 receptor alpha superagonist complex: high-level co-expression in recombinant mammalian cells, purification and characterization. Cytokine. 2011;56(3):804-10.

349. Wrangle JM, Velcheti V, Patel MR, Garrett-Mayer E, Hill EG, Ravenel JG, et al. ALT-803, an IL-15 superagonist, in combination with nivolumab in patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: a non-randomised, open-label, phase 1b trial. Lancet Oncol. 2018;19(5):694-704.

350. Vivier E, Rebuffet L, Narni-Mancinelli E, Cornen S, Igarashi RY, Fantin VR. Natural killer cell therapies. Nature. 2024;626(8000):727-36.

351. Vallera DA, Felices M, McElmurry R, McCullar V, Zhou X, Schmohl JU, et al. IL15 Trispecific Killer Engagers (TriKE) Make Natural Killer Cells Specific to CD33+ Targets While Also Inducing Persistence, In Vivo Expansion, and Enhanced Function. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;22(14):3440-50.

352. Zhang M, Lam KP, Xu S. Natural Killer Cell Engagers (NKCEs): a new frontier in cancer immunotherapy. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1207276.

353. Lin L, Chang HM, Nakid C, Frankel S, Wu D, Kadouche J, et al. P842: NOVEL MULTIFUNCTIONAL TETRAVALENT CD38 NKP46 FLEX-NK ENGAGERS ACTIVELY TARGET AND KILL MULTIPLE MYELOMA CELLS. Hemasphere. 2022;6(Suppl).

354. Gauthier L, Morel A, Anceriz N, Rossi B, Blanchard-Alvarez A, Grondin G, et al. Multifunctional Natural Killer Cell Engagers Targeting NKp46 Trigger Protective Tumor Immunity. Cell. 2019;177(7):1701-13.e16.

355. Snajdauf M, Havlova K, Vachtenheim J, Jr., Ozaniak A, Lischke R, Bartunkova J, et al. The TRAIL in the Treatment of Human Cancer: An Update on Clinical Trials. Front Mol Biosci. 2021;8:628332.

356. Younes A, Vose JM, Zelenetz AD, Smith MR, Burris HA, Ansell SM, et al. A Phase 1b/2 trial of mapatumumab in patients with relapsed/refractory non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Br J Cancer. 2010;103(12):1783-7.

357. García-Martínez JM, Wang S, Weishaeupl C, Wernitznig A, Chetta P, Pinto C, et al. Selective Tumor Cell Apoptosis and Tumor Regression in CDH17-Positive Colorectal Cancer Models using BI 905711, a Novel Liver-Sparing TRAILR2 Agonist. Mol Cancer Ther. 2021;20(1):96-108.

358. Ouyang X, Shi M, Jie F, Bai Y, Shen P, Yu Z, et al. Phase III study of dulanermin (recombinant human tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosisinducing ligand/Apo2 ligand) combined with vinorelbine and cisplatin in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Invest New Drugs. 2018;36(2):315-22.

359. Shapiro RM, Birch GC, Hu G, Vergara Cadavid J, Nikiforow S, Baginska J, et al. Expansion, persistence, and efficacy of donor memory-like NK cells infused for posttransplant relapse. J Clin Invest. 2022;132(11).

360. Chen YJ, Abila B, Mostafa Kamel Y. CAR-T: What Is Next? Cancers (Basel). 2023;15(3).

361. Guedan S, Calderon H, Posey AD, Jr., Maus MV. Engineering and Design of Chimeric Antigen Receptors. Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev. 2019;12:145-56.

362. FDA. FDA Investigating Serious Risk of T-cell Malignancy Following BCMA-Directed or CD19-Directed Autologous Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T cell Immunotherapies https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-bloodbiologics/safety-availability-biologics/fda-investigating-serious-risk-t-cell-

malignancy-following-bcma-directed-or-cd19-directed-autologous2023 [updated 2023-11-28].

363. Myers JA, Miller JS. Exploring the NK cell platform for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2021;18(2):85-100.

364. Liu E, Marin D, Banerjee P, Macapinlac HA, Thompson P, Basar R, et al. Use of CAR-Transduced Natural Killer Cells in CD19-Positive Lymphoid Tumors. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(6):545-53.

365. Marin D, Li Y, Basar R, Rafei H, Daher M, Dou J, et al. Safety, efficacy and determinants of response of allogeneic CD19-specific CAR-NK cells in CD19(+) B cell tumors: a phase 1/2 trial. Nat Med. 2024.

366. Biederstädt A, Rezvani K. Engineering the next generation of CAR-NK immunotherapies. Int J Hematol. 2021;114(5):554-71.

367. Carroll D. Genome engineering with targetable nucleases. Annu Rev Biochem. 2014;83:409-39.

368. Carroll D. Genome Editing: Past, Present, and Future. Yale J Biol Med. 2017;90(4):653-9.

369. Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science. 2012;337(6096):816-21.

370. Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwala V, Scott DA, Zhang F. Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Protoc. 2013;8(11):2281-308.

371. Garneau JE, Dupuis M, Villion M, Romero DA, Barrangou R, Boyaval P, et al. The CRISPR/Cas bacterial immune system cleaves bacteriophage and plasmid DNA. Nature. 2010;468(7320):67-71.

372. Jiang F, Doudna JA. CRISPR-Cas9 Structures and Mechanisms. Annu Rev Biophys. 2017;46:505-29.

373. Anderson EM, Haupt A, Schiel JA, Chou E, Machado HB, Strezoska Ž, et al. Systematic analysis of CRISPR-Cas9 mismatch tolerance reveals low levels of off-target activity. J Biotechnol. 2015;211:56-65.

374. Pattanayak V, Lin S, Guilinger JP, Ma E, Doudna JA, Liu DR. High-throughput profiling of off-target DNA cleavage reveals RNA-programmed Cas9 nuclease specificity. Nat Biotechnol. 2013;31(9):839-43.

375. Esvelt KM, Mali P, Braff JL, Moosburner M, Yaung SJ, Church GM. Orthogonal Cas9 proteins for RNA-guided gene regulation and editing. Nat Methods. 2013;10(11):1116-21.

376. Villiger L, Joung J, Koblan L, Weissman J, Abudayyeh OO, Gootenberg JS. CRISPR technologies for genome, epigenome and transcriptome editing. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2024.

377. Yang H, Ren S, Yu S, Pan H, Li T, Ge S, et al. Methods Favoring Homology-Directed Repair Choice in Response to CRISPR/Cas9 Induced-Double Strand Breaks. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(18).

378. Shi H, Doench JG, Chi H. CRISPR screens for functional interrogation of immunity. Nat Rev Immunol. 2023;23(6):363-80.

379. Ning L, Xi J, Zi Y, Chen M, Zou Q, Zhou X, et al. Prospects and challenges of CRISPR/Cas9 gene-editing technology in cancer research. Clin Genet. 2023;104(6):613-24.

380. Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M, Hegde M, Vaimberg EW, Donovan KF, et al. Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize off-target effects of CRISPR-Cas9. Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34(2):184-91.

381. Zhuang X, Veltri DP, Long EO. Genome-Wide CRISPR Screen Reveals Cancer Cell Resistance to NK Cells Induced by NK-Derived IFNgamma. Front Immunol. 2019;10:2879.

382. Pech MF, Fong LE, Villalta JE, Chan LJ, Kharbanda S, O'Brien JJ, et al. Systematic identification of cancer cell vulnerabilities to natural killer cellmediated immune surveillance. Elife. 2019;8.

383. Dufva O, Gandolfi S, Huuhtanen J, Dashevsky O, Duàn H, Saeed K, et al. Single-cell functional genomics reveals determinants of sensitivity and resistance to natural killer cells in blood cancers. Immunity. 2023;56(12):2816-35.e13.

384. Hussein BA, Kristenson L, Pesce S, Wöhr A, Tian Y, Hallner A, et al. NKG2A gene variant predicts outcome of immunotherapy in AML and modulates the repertoire and function of NK cells. 2023.

385. Wang W, Wu S, Cen Z, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Huang Y, et al. Mobilizing phospholipids on tumor plasma membrane implicates phosphatidylserine externalization blockade for cancer immunotherapy. Cell Rep. 2022;41(5):111582.

386. Andersen JP, Vestergaard AL, Mikkelsen SA, Mogensen LS, Chalat M, Molday RS. P4-ATPases as Phospholipid Flippases-Structure, Function, and Enigmas. Front Physiol. 2016;7:275.

387. Segawa K, Kurata S, Nagata S. Human Type IV P-type ATPases That Work as Plasma Membrane Phospholipid Flippases and Their Regulation by Caspase and Calcium. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(2):762-72.

388. van der Velden LM, Wichers CG, van Breevoort AE, Coleman JA, Molday RS, Berger R, et al. Heteromeric interactions required for abundance and subcellular localization of human CDC50 proteins and class 1 P4-ATPases. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(51):40088-96.

389. Contreras FX, Ernst AM, Haberkant P, Björkholm P, Lindahl E, Gönen B, et al. Molecular recognition of a single sphingolipid species by a protein's transmembrane domain. Nature. 2012;481(7382):525-9.

390. Harayama T, Riezman H. Understanding the diversity of membrane lipid composition. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19(5):281-96.

391. McMahon HT, Boucrot E. Membrane curvature at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2015;128(6):1065-70.

392. Raghupathy R, Anilkumar AA, Polley A, Singh PP, Yadav M, Johnson C, et al. Transbilayer lipid interactions mediate nanoclustering of lipidanchored proteins. Cell. 2015;161(3):581-94.

393. Sigalov AB, Aivazian DA, Uversky VN, Stern LJ. Lipid-binding activity of intrinsically unstructured cytoplasmic domains of multichain immune recognition receptor signaling subunits. Biochemistry. 2006;45(51):15731-9.

394. Xu C, Gagnon E, Call ME, Schnell JR, Schwieters CD, Carman CV, et al. Regulation of T cell receptor activation by dynamic membrane binding of the CD3epsilon cytoplasmic tyrosine-based motif. Cell. 2008;135(4):702-13.

395. Zhang H, Cordoba SP, Dushek O, van der Merwe PA. Basic residues in the T-cell receptor ζ cytoplasmic domain mediate membrane association and modulate signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(48):19323-8.

396. Ennishi D, Healy S, Bashashati A, Saberi S, Hother C, Mottok A, et al. TMEM30A loss-of-function mutations drive lymphomagenesis and confer therapeutically exploitable vulnerability in B-cell lymphoma. Nat Med. 2020;26(4):577-88.

397. Gardai SJ, McPhillips KA, Frasch SC, Janssen WJ, Starefeldt A, Murphy-Ullrich JE, et al. Cell-surface calreticulin initiates clearance of viable or apoptotic cells through trans-activation of LRP on the phagocyte. Cell. 2005;123(2):321-34.

398. Kobayashi N, Karisola P, Peña-Cruz V, Dorfman DM, Jinushi M, Umetsu SE, et al. TIM-1 and TIM-4 glycoproteins bind phosphatidylserine and mediate uptake of apoptotic cells. Immunity. 2007;27(6):927-40.

399. Miyanishi M, Tada K, Koike M, Uchiyama Y, Kitamura T, Nagata S. Identification of Tim4 as a phosphatidylserine receptor. Nature. 2007;450(7168):435-9.

400. Savill J, Hogg N, Ren Y, Haslett C. Thrombospondin cooperates with CD36 and the vitronectin receptor in macrophage recognition of neutrophils undergoing apoptosis. J Clin Invest. 1992;90(4):1513-22.

401. Scott RS, McMahon EJ, Pop SM, Reap EA, Caricchio R, Cohen PL, et al. Phagocytosis and clearance of apoptotic cells is mediated by MER. Nature. 2001;411(6834):207-11.

402. Shimada K, Yoshida K, Suzuki Y, Iriyama C, Inoue Y, Sanada M, et al. Frequent genetic alterations in immune checkpoint-related genes in intravascular large B-cell lymphoma. Blood. 2021;137(11):1491-502.

403. Gonzalez-Farre B, Ramis-Zaldivar JE, Castrejón de Anta N, Rivas-Delgado A, Nadeu F, Salmeron-Villalobos J, et al. Intravascular Large B-Cell Lymphoma Genomic Profile Is Characterized by Alterations in Genes Regulating NF-*κ*B and Immune Checkpoints. Am J Surg Pathol. 2023;47(2):202-11.

404. Segawa K, Suzuki J, Nagata S. Constitutive exposure of phosphatidylserine on viable cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(48):19246-51.

405. Appelt U, Sheriff A, Gaipl US, Kalden JR, Voll RE, Herrmann M. Viable, apoptotic and necrotic monocytes expose phosphatidylserine: cooperative binding of the ligand Annexin V to dying but not viable cells and implications for PS-dependent clearance. Cell Death Differ. 2005;12(2):194-6.

406. Jiang J, Kini V, Belikova N, Serinkan BF, Borisenko GG, Tyurina YY, et al. Cytochrome c release is required for phosphatidylserine peroxidation during Fas-triggered apoptosis in lung epithelial A549 cells. Lipids. 2004;39(11):1133-42.

407. van Meer G, Voelker DR, Feigenson GW. Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2008;9(2):112-24.

408. Segawa K, Kurata S, Nagata S. The CDC50A extracellular domain is required for forming a functional complex with and chaperoning phospholipid flippases to the plasma membrane. J Biol Chem. 2018;293(6):2172-82.

409. Clarke RJ, Hossain KR, Cao K. Physiological roles of transverse lipid asymmetry of animal membranes. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr. 2020;1862(10):183382.

410. Hauser H, Phillips MC. Structures of aqueous dispersions of phosphatidylserine. J Biol Chem. 1973;248(24):8585-91.

411. Xing Y, Peng K, Yi Q, Yu D, Shi H, Yang G, et al. TMEM30A is essential for hair cell polarity maintenance in postnatal mouse cochlea. Cell Mol Biol Lett. 2023;28(1):23.

412. Jensen DE, Proctor M, Marquis ST, Gardner HP, Ha SI, Chodosh LA, et al. BAP1: a novel ubiquitin hydrolase which binds to the BRCA1 RING finger and enhances BRCA1-mediated cell growth suppression. Oncogene. 1998;16(9):1097-112.

413. Bernareggi D, Xie Q, Prager BC, Yun J, Cruz LS, Pham TV, et al. CHMP2A regulates tumor sensitivity to natural killer cell-mediated cytotoxicity. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):1899.

414. Dubrot J, Du PP, Lane-Reticker SK, Kessler EA, Muscato AJ, Mehta A, et al. In vivo CRISPR screens reveal the landscape of immune evasion pathways across cancer. Nat Immunol. 2022;23(10):1495-506.

415. Sheffer M, Lowry E, Beelen N, Borah M, Amara SN, Mader CC, et al. Genome-scale screens identify factors regulating tumor cell responses to natural killer cells. Nat Genet. 2021;53(8):1196-206.

416. Gunesch JT, Angelo LS, Mahapatra S, Deering RP, Kowalko JE, Sleiman P, et al. Genome-wide analyses and functional profiling of human NK cell lines. Mol Immunol. 2019;115:64-75.

417. Rothlein R, Czajkowski M, O'Neill MM, Marlin SD, Mainolfi E, Merluzzi VJ. Induction of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 on primary and continuous cell lines by pro-inflammatory cytokines. Regulation by pharmacologic agents and neutralizing antibodies. J Immunol. 1988;141(5):1665-9.

418. Liao L, Liu ZZ, Langbein L, Cai W, Cho EA, Na J, et al. Multiple tumor suppressors regulate a HIF-dependent negative feedback loop via ISGF3 in human clear cell renal cancer. Elife. 2018;7.

419. Wijdeven RH, Luk SJ, Schoufour TAW, van der Zanden SY, Cabezuelo M, Heemskerk MHM, et al. Balanced Epigenetic Regulation of MHC Class I Expression in Tumor Cells by the Histone Ubiquitin Modifiers BAP1 and PCGF1. J Immunol. 2024;212(3):446-54.

420. Asada S, Goyama S, Inoue D, Shikata S, Takeda R, Fukushima T, et al. Mutant ASXL1 cooperates with BAP1 to promote myeloid leukaemogenesis. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):2733.

421. Ewens KG, Lalonde E, Richards-Yutz J, Shields CL, Ganguly A. Comparison of Germline versus Somatic BAP1 Mutations for Risk of Metastasis in Uveal Melanoma. BMC Cancer. 2018;18(1):1172.

422. Harbour JW, Onken MD, Roberson ED, Duan S, Cao L, Worley LA, et al. Frequent mutation of BAP1 in metastasizing uveal melanomas. Science. 2010;330(6009):1410-3.

423. Koopmans AE, Verdijk RM, Brouwer RW, van den Bosch TP, van den Berg MM, Vaarwater J, et al. Clinical significance of immunohistochemistry for detection of BAP1 mutations in uveal melanoma. Mod Pathol. 2014;27(10):1321-30.

424. Robertson AG, Shih J, Yau C, Gibb EA, Oba J, Mungall KL, et al. Integrative Analysis Identifies Four Molecular and Clinical Subsets in Uveal Melanoma. Cancer Cell. 2017;32(2):204-20.e15.

425. van de Nes JA, Nelles J, Kreis S, Metz CH, Hager T, Lohmann DR, et al. Comparing the Prognostic Value of BAP1 Mutation Pattern, Chromosome 3 Status, and BAP1 Immunohistochemistry in Uveal Melanoma. Am J Surg Pathol. 2016;40(6):796-805.

426. Abdel-Rahman MH, Pilarski R, Cebulla CM, Massengill JB, Christopher BN, Boru G, et al. Germline BAP1 mutation predisposes to uveal melanoma, lung adenocarcinoma, meningioma, and other cancers. J Med Genet. 2011;48(12):856-9.

427. Joseph RW, Kapur P, Serie DJ, Eckel-Passow JE, Parasramka M, Ho T, et al. Loss of BAP1 protein expression is an independent marker of poor prognosis in patients with low-risk clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Cancer. 2014;120(7):1059-67.

428. Luo X, Xu Y, Li Y, Zhang G, Huang S, Liu X, et al. BAP1 deletion abrogates growth and metastasis of murine cutaneous melanoma. Melanoma Res. 2021;31(2):119-29.

429. Espinoza JL, Takami A, Onizuka M, Sao H, Akiyama H, Miyamura K, et al. NKG2D gene polymorphism has a significant impact on transplant outcomes after HLA-fully-matched unrelated bone marrow transplantation for standard risk hematologic malignancies. Haematologica. 2009;94(10):1427-34.

430. Goodridge JP, Jacobs B, Saetersmoen ML, Clement D, Hammer Q, Clancy T, et al. Remodeling of secretory lysosomes during education tunes functional potential in NK cells. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):514.

431. Hallner A, Bernson E, Hussein BA, Ewald Sander F, Brune M, Aurelius J, et al. The HLA-B -21 dimorphism impacts on NK cell education and clinical outcome of immunotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2019;133(13):1479-88.

432. Espinoza JL, Nguyen VH, Ichimura H, Pham TT, Nguyen CH, Pham TV, et al. A functional polymorphism in the NKG2D gene modulates NKcell cytotoxicity and is associated with susceptibility to Human Papilloma Virusrelated cancers. Sci Rep. 2016;6:39231.

433. Espinoza JL, Takami A, Onizuka M, Sao H, Akiyama H, Miyamura K, et al. NKG2D gene polymorphism has a significant impact on transplant outcomes after HLA-fully-matched unrelated bone marrow transplantation for standard risk hematologic malignancies. Haematologica. 2009;94(10):1427-34.

434. Gimeno L, Martínez-Banaclocha H, Bernardo MV, Bolarin JM, Marín L, López-Hernández R, et al. NKG2D Polymorphism in Melanoma Patients from Southeastern Spain. Cancers (Basel). 2019;11(4).

435. Hara R, Onizuka M, Matsusita E, Kikkawa E, Nakamura Y, Matsushita H, et al. NKG2D gene polymorphisms are associated with disease control of chronic myeloid leukemia by dasatinib. Int J Hematol. 2017;106(5):666-74.

436. Hussein BA, Hallner A, Wennström L, Brune M, Martner A, Hellstrand K, et al. Impact of NK Cell Activating Receptor Gene Variants on

Receptor Expression and Outcome of Immunotherapy in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Front Immunol. 2021;12:796072.

437. Lin Z, Bashirova AA, Viard M, Garner L, Quastel M, Beiersdorfer M, et al. HLA class I signal peptide polymorphism determines the level of CD94/NKG2-HLA-E-mediated regulation of effector cell responses. Nat Immunol. 2023;24(7):1087-97.