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ABSTRACT

Background Reconstruction of the jaws due to resorption of the alveolar crest may require bone augmentation for placement and integration of endosseous implants and future rehabilitation with a prosthetic supra-construction. Autogenous bone grafts from the iliac crest have frequently been used for this purpose in oral and maxillofacial surgery. Experimental studies have shown stronger bone tissue responses to surface-modified implants than to implants with machined surfaces and a delayed surgical protocol has been recommended. Whether surface modification of dental implants enhances osseointegration in grafted bone and how far the remodeling and resorption process of the grafted bone continue, has been a matter of debate.

Aims The aim of the first two studies was to analyse the effect of surface modification of dental implants installed in grafted bone. In Study I, surface-modified (test) implants were compared with non-modified (control) implants in autogenous bone grafts with regard to osseointegration and stability in terms of bone-to-implant contact (BIC) and resonance frequency analysis (RFA). The aim of Study II was to evaluate osseointegration and stability of surface-modified implants in one-stage (test) vs. two-stage (control) surgery protocols using the same histomorphometric analysis and stability measurements as in the previous study. Study III focuses on differences in marginal bone-level alterations between autogenous particulate (test) and block (control) onlay grafts. Stability measurements were also studied using RFA. Finally, the objective of Study IV was to examine changes in volume reduction of grafted bone. Furthermore, we wanted to compare the amount of resorption between particulate bone (test) and block bone (control) grafts.

Materials & Methods In Study I, we used eight rabbits. A bone graft from each side of the sagittal suture in the calvarial bone was harvested and fixed bicortically to each proximal tibial metaphysis through a dental implant with a blasted, fluoridated (test) surface and a machined (control) surface. Test and control sides were randomized. After 8 weeks, the rabbits were sacrificed for light microscopic analysis. Resonance frequency analysis was performed both at the time of surgery and at the end of the study.

In Study II, six rabbits were subjected to the same bone grafting procedure; however, only implants with blasted, fluoridated surfaces were used in fresh (test) and healed (control) bone grafts. The healing time before stage two surgery was 8 weeks, with another 8 weeks between stage two surgery and sacrifice. The specimens were studied by light microscopic analysis and RFA was performed both at the time of surgery and at the end of the study.

Study III included 15 patients who had undergone reconstruction of the maxillary alveolar bone with autogenous bone grafts from the iliac crest, particulate (test) grafts on one side and block (control) grafts on the contralateral side. Six months after the grafting procedure, surface-modified dental implants with titanium dioxide were installed. After an additional 6 months, abutments were placed in all cases. As a parallel intra-oral technique, radiographs were taken to measure the marginal bone level at baseline (after completion of the prosthetic treatment), after 1 year and again after 5 years of loading. Resonance frequency analysis was conducted after fixture installation, at abutment connection, and after 1 and 3 years.

Study IV included eleven patients from the same group as included in Study III. Radiographic examinations using computed tomography (CT) were carried out within 1 month of the grafting procedure, and after 6 months and 24 months in function.
**Results** Study I shows that implants with blasted, fluoridated surface (test side) achieve greater osseointegration and stability in terms of BIC and RFA results. In Study II, no statistically significant difference could be observed in osseointegration between test and control sides. The RFA appeared to be higher at implant placement in favour of the two-stage surgery protocol, but the difference was levelled out by the time of sacrifice. Study III showed a tendency for more marginal bone resorption on the control side augmented by block bone grafting at baseline and after 1 and 5 years of loading, but the difference was not statistically significant. In addition, no significant difference in RFA could be observed between the test and control sides at any time. Study IV showed that the volume reduction on both the test and the control side was extensive after 6 months. Further volume reduction could be observed at the 2-year follow-up. At the particulate (test) side, 81.1% resorption could be observed, while on the control side augmented by block grafting, the resorption rate was 77.8%. The difference between test and control sides was not statistically significant. Despite major resorption of the augmented bone, no implant losses were occurred.

**Conclusion** This thesis shows that greater osseointegration can be achieved when using fluoridated, moderately rough titanium implants in augmented bone during the healing period compared with non-modified implants. In our material, there was no difference in marginal bone loss whether implants were placed in block or particulate bone. Volume changes in autogenous block or particulate bone from the iliac crest showed no significant difference in resorption. Most of the resorption took place during the first 6 months of healing. Although the resorption continued after 6 months, implants remained imbedded and stable in the grafted bone.
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INTRODUCTION

Background

Autogenous bone grafts are frequently used in cranio-maxillofacial and orthopaedic surgery. Data in the scientific literature regarding maturation and resorption of autogenous onlay bone grafts are sparse.

Edentulism is a matter of discomfort in terms of both aesthetics and loss of functional ability. Although the rate of edentulism has declined in some European countries\(^1\), the expectation of better masticatory ability has increased among patients, perhaps because of the development of implant dentistry. Initial implant research was performed by Brånemark and co-workers\(^2\). When the term “osseointegration” was coined in 1977\(^3\), osseointegration was more a concept than a precisely defined biological term\(^4\). In 1985, Brånemark et al.\(^5\) provided a scientific definition of the term.

**Figure 1.** Lateral view of a resorbed maxilla

Rehabilitation of edentulous jaws with endosseous implants has been performed for more than 3 decades. Although many edentulous patients have been treated with endosseous implants with fixed oral prostheses, there is a patient group in whom fixed restorations with endosseous implants remain a challenge because of inadequate residual bone volume both in width and in vertical dimensions. To achieve primary stability as well as
longevity of endosseous implants, bone grafting may be inevitable. Many grafting materials and procedures have been tested and documented in the literature, with varying clinical outcomes\textsuperscript{6–11}, but in patients with large areas of resorption, especially within the maxilla, autogenous bone grafts have been regarded as a treatment with predictable and successful results\textsuperscript{12,13}.

The disadvantages of using autogenous bone grafts have been discussed in the literature, mostly being various donor site morbidities\textsuperscript{14}. The most common reported post-surgical sequels for bone grafts from the iliac crest are: gait disturbance\textsuperscript{15–19}, infection\textsuperscript{15}, haematomas\textsuperscript{15}, altered sensation along the course of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve\textsuperscript{15,17}, stress fracture\textsuperscript{15} and even meralgia paraesthetica\textsuperscript{15}, to name a few. The advantages, on the other hand, are the graft’s ability to be both osteoconductive and osteoinductive\textsuperscript{20,21}. Besides functioning as space holders and scaffolding for new bone formation in sinus floor augmentation, autogenous bone grafts have proved to function as lateral onlays for increasing the width of a resorbed alveolar crest\textsuperscript{22–24}. Autogenous bone blocks have also been used as interpositional bone grafts to correct large sagittal discrepancies after a LeFort I down fracture of the maxilla\textsuperscript{25,26}. Therefore, this augmentation procedure has been an issue for research over many years. However, one of the greatest challenges that the surgeons are faced with is the amount of resorption that takes place after the grafting procedure, at least when the aim is to gain greater width of the alveolar crest for optimal implant positioning. Johansson et al.\textsuperscript{27} have for example reported a decrease in bone volume of 47\% for buccal onlays after 6 months.

Since surface modification of dental implants was first attempted, higher implant survival rates have been reported in clinical studies. Histological studies have also reported greater bone-to-implant contact (BIC), with higher implant stability\textsuperscript{28}. However, most of these studies were conducted in patients with implants embedded in their residual bone. Furthermore, in bone grafting procedures using autogenous bone grafts from the iliac crest, a two-stage protocol has been recommended\textsuperscript{29,30}. One of the issues to be addressed is whether surface-modified dental implants present
greater BIC and higher implant stability in comparison with implants with machined surfaces when placed in autogenous bone blocks? And if so, could surface-modified implants achieve enough BIC and implant stability when placed simultaneously in a grafted bone, as in a delayed approach? In addressing these issues, there is a need to study osseointegration of implants with rough surfaces when placed in autogenous bone grafts. Furthermore, since autogenous bone grafts are frequently used as lateral onlays, a relatively long-term follow-up of grafted bone and its interaction with surface-modified implants is needed.

**Bone**

**Origin and function**

Bone is a connective tissue that consists of cells and extracellular matrix. The craniofacial skeleton is formed from the neural crest cells\(^{31}\). In regions of the craniofacial skeleton, differentiation into osteoblasts produces intramembranous (IM) bones directly, while differentiation into chondrocytes produces a framework of cartilage models of the future bones in the remaining skeleton. These cartilage models are subsequently replaced by bone and bone marrow through the process of endochondral (EC) ossification\(^{31}\). The principal role of the skeleton is to provide structural support for the body. It opposes muscular contraction resulting in motion, withstands functional load and protects internal organs\(^{32}\). Furthermore, bone functions as a site for haemopoiesis, and a reservoir for calcium storage and ion homeostasis\(^{33}\).

**Bone cells**

Bone cells constitute about 10% of total bone volume\(^{34}\). They arise from two different cell lines: osteoprogenitor cells arise from mesenchymal stem cells that differentiate into osteoblasts and osteocytes. Whereas osteoclasts are of hematopoietic origin\(^{35,36}\).
The osteoblast

Osteoblasts line the surface of bone and pack tightly against each other. When active, they have a rounded, oval polyhedral form and an osteoid seam separates them from the mineralized matrix\textsuperscript{36}. Osteoblasts are the only cells with capability of bone formation\textsuperscript{37}. They synthesize both the collagen and the ground substance that constitutes the initial unmineralized bone or osteoid\textsuperscript{38}. Type I collagen is the major protein in the matrix. Its fibres provide the structure on which mineral is deposited\textsuperscript{39}. Non-collagenous proteins that constitute the ground substance are proteoglycans and glycoproteins\textsuperscript{40}.

Osteoblasts are also responsible for calcifying the matrix through secretion of small membrane-limited matrix vesicles that accumulate calcium and phosphate\textsuperscript{38,41}. In addition, osteoblasts are responsible for regulating the differentiation of the bone-resorbing osteoclasts\textsuperscript{39}. Osteoblasts produce the receptor activator NF-\kappaB ligand (RANKL), a cell surface protein. It binds to the receptor (RANK) on the surface of mononuclear osteoclast precursors which fuse to form multi-nucleate osteoclasts\textsuperscript{39,42}. Some factors that act on osteoblasts to increase RANKL expression are: parathyroid hormone (PTH), PTH-related peptide (PTHrP), tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF-\alpha) and interleukin (IL)-1 (IL-1)\textsuperscript{37,43–47}. Four maturational stages have been identified in osteoblast differentiation: pre-osteoblast, osteoblast, osteocyte and bone lining cells. Once the appropriate stimulus is present, the mesenchymal stem cells turn into pre-osteoblasts\textsuperscript{37}. Histologically, these cells resemble osteoblasts; however, they lack some of the characteristics of mature osteoblasts including the ability to produce mineralized tissue\textsuperscript{48}. Mature osteoblasts face one of three fates: they either undergo apoptosis, or differentiate into osteocytes, or become quiescent lining cells\textsuperscript{37,49,50}.

The osteocyte

Osteocytes are cells which have been differentiated from osteoblasts and are embedded in the bone matrix\textsuperscript{51}. They are the most numerous specialized bone cell type in mammalian bone and are found within individual lacunae in the mineralized bone matrix\textsuperscript{52}. Osteocytes are smaller than osteoblasts and have lost many of their
cytoplasmic organelles. Once embedded in the osteoid, they start to extend dendritic projections. These dendritic projections extend through channels in the bone matrix, called canaliculi, and help the osteocyte to be in communication with already imbedded cells and other bone cells on the bone surface, such as bone lining cells and osteoblasts. The function of osteocytes is to maintain the bone matrix and to function as mechanosensors. Osteocytes do not normally express alkaline phosphatase, but they express several matrix proteins that facilitate intercellular communication and regulate the mineral exchange in the bone fluid within the lacunae and canaliculi system. It is through the intercellular communication network between bone lining cells, osteoblasts and osteocytes that mechanical strains can be translated into electric fields in the cells which can induce osteogenic stimulus.

**Bone lining cells**

Bone lining cells are cells that are closely apposed to the bone surface. They are thin, and have a flat nuclear profile with a cytoplasm that is extended through the bone surface. Gap junctions exist between bone lining cells and osteocytes. It has been proposed that bone lining cells act as a functional membrane, separating bone fluids from interstitial fluids, and are responsible for the immediate release of calcium from bone when the blood calcium level is low. When exposed to PTH, bone lining cells secrete enzymes that remove the osteoid layer covering the mineralized matrix.

**The osteoclast**

Osteoclasts are giant, multi-nucleated cells and are the only cell type that can resorb bone. According to Lerner, when mononucleated osteoclast precursor cells that are derived from stem cells in the hematopoietic tissues enter circulation, they migrate to the fibrous part of the periosteal tissues. At the same time, osteoblasts that are in the periosteum form a one-cell layer covering the mineralized bone. Osteoblasts express receptors for hormones and cytokines. Activation of these receptors by hormones such as PTH results in a new phenotype of the osteoblast,
causing osteolytic degradation of the osteoid layer which is a zone of unmineralized osteoid separating osteoblasts from the mineralized bone. Next follows a paracrine stimulation of the osteoclast precursor cells which further proliferate, differentiate and fuse to latent osteoclasts. Finally, the osteoblasts withdraw from the non-osteoid, covered mineralized bone and the latent osteoclasts that are activated by osteoblasts migrate and attach to the mineralized bone surface and initiate the resorptive process.

The further differentiation from the osteoclast progenitor cell into the osteoclast is also controlled by macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), osteoclast differentiation factor (ODF) and osteoprotegerin (OPG), to name a few. These factors are expressed by cells in the hematopoietic tissues and act as activator/inhibitor of osteoclast formation\textsuperscript{62,63}.

\textbf{Figure 2.} Cells responsible for bone remodeling
The extra-cellular matrix

The extra-cellular matrix composes about 90% of the total bone volume. It consists of 50–70% inorganic or mineral matrix, about 20–40% organic matrix, 5–10% water and <3% lipids.

The mineral content of bone is mostly in the form of hydroxy-apatite (HA) crystals \([\text{Ca}_{10}(\text{PO}_4)_6(\text{OH})_2]\) and because they are smaller and less perfect in structure than naturally occurring apatites, they are more reactive and soluble. While the inorganic matrix provides mechanical rigidity and load-bearing strength, the organic matrix provides elasticity and flexibility to bone.

The organic matrix of bone consists largely of type I collagen which is fibril-forming. Fibril-associated collagens with interrupted triple helix (FACIT collagens) are a group of non-fibrillar collagens that serve as molecular bridges, thus establishing organization and stability of the extracellular matrix. The molecular conformation of the collagen triple helix confers strict amino acid sequence constraints. There are also non-collagenous proteins in the extracellular matrix, such as osteocalcin, osteopontin and bone sialoprotein. It is believed that these calcium- and phosphate-binding proteins help regulate the amount and size of the HA crystals.

Bone structure

Bone can adapt to functional loading conditions and has a great potential to heal. Bone is composed of a cortical (compact) dense layer that forms the outside of the bone tissue while centrally, a cancellous (trabecular or spongy) arrangement of thin, inter-communicating spicules form a meshwork. Long bones consist also of bone marrow, which consists of hematopoietic tissue and fat cells. Mature cortical bone consists of cylindrical systems of bone structure, called “osteons” or “Haversian systems”. The Haversian canals are surrounded by concentric lamellae that run parallel to each other. There are also interstitial lamellae between every osteon. Haversian canals are in contact with each other through Volkmann’s canals, which are
channels in lamellar bone containing blood vessels and nerve fibres. Cortical bone is highly mineralized and is more rigid than cancellous bone, which consists mostly of bone marrow. Mineralized bone can be distinguished as woven or lamellar. Woven bone is formed at an early stage of bone formation, and consists of irregularly packed collagen fibres, large osteocyte lacunae, and minerals. As the mineralization process proceeds, this softer bone is replaced by lamellar bone, which has an organized structure.

**Bone formation and remodeling**

As mentioned previously, bone develops via two different mechanisms: IM and EC bone formation. In IM bone formation, mesenchymal stem cells differentiate directly into osteoblasts and proceed to form bone by mineralization of an organic matrix. This process forms the facial bones and the vault of the skull\textsuperscript{65}. Endochondral bone formation occurs when mesenchymal cells proceed via chondrocytes, which form cartilaginous templates for the future bones. The long bones, pelvis, vertebrae and base of the skull are formed via EC bone formation\textsuperscript{65}. Throughout life, the bone is continuously remodelled. This remodelling procedure involves replacement of woven bone by lamellar bone and also a continuous remodeling process in which replacement of mature lamellar bone takes place through osteoclastic and osteoblastic activities\textsuperscript{66}.

The regulation of bone remodelling is both systemic and local\textsuperscript{66}. The major systemic regulators are the two major calcium-regulating hormones PTH and 1,25-dihydroxy vitamin D. Parathyroid hormone is a potent stimulator of bone resorption and has a biphasic effect on bone formation\textsuperscript{67}. It stimulates bone formation when given intermittently and bone resorption when secreted continuously\textsuperscript{66,67}. Furthermore, PTH and vitamin D in high doses decrease collagen synthesis\textsuperscript{67}. Calcitonin can inhibit bone resorption but appears to play little role in the regulation of the physiologic calcium level in adult humans. However, it is a potent inhibitor of bone resorption and is used clinically in the treatment of osteoporosis\textsuperscript{67}. Growth hormone (GH), acting through both systemic and local insulin-like growth factor (IGF)
production, stimulates bone formation and resorption\textsuperscript{67}. The GH/IGF-1 system and IGF-2 are important for skeletal growth, especially at the cartilaginous templates and plates and during EC bone formation. They are among the major determinants of the bone mass through their effect on regulation of both bone formation and resorption\textsuperscript{66}. Glucocorticoids are necessary for bone cell differentiation during development, but their post-natal effect is to inhibit bone formation\textsuperscript{67}. Thyroid hormones stimulate both bone resorption and formation\textsuperscript{66}. Probably the most important systemic hormone in maintaining normal bone turnover is estrogen\textsuperscript{67}. Estrogen deficiency leads to an increase in bone remodelling, in which resorption exceeds formation and bone mass decreases. This can be observed, not only in post-menopausal women but also in men with defects in either oestrogen receptor or the synthesis of oestrogen from testosterone\textsuperscript{67}.

Local regulators of bone remodelling are cytokines, prostaglandins and growth factors. Cytokines that cause bone loss are IL-1, TNF and ODF. There are some cytokines that prevent bone loss, such as IL-4 and OPG\textsuperscript{67}. Bone remodelling also involves proteins that are responsible for the interaction between cells of the osteoblastic and the osteoclastic lineage\textsuperscript{67}. These proteins belong to the family of TNF receptors. Osteoblast precursors express a molecule called “TNF activation-induced cytokine (TRANCE)”, also known as “RANKL”\textsuperscript{68}. As described earlier, RANKL, expressed on the surface of preosteoblastic cells, binds to RANK on the preosteoclastic precursor cells and is critical for the differentiation, fusion into multinucleated cells, activation, and survival of osteoclastic cells\textsuperscript{66}. Osteoclastic resorption produces irregular, scalloped cavities on the trabecular bone surface, called “Howship lacunae”, and cylindrical Haversian canals in cortical bone. These cavities are finally filled by new bone from osteoblasts\textsuperscript{67}. Rasmusson\textsuperscript{69} refers to the cells responsible for this osteoclastic/osteoblastic activity, as cutting and filling cones in cortical bone, and as bone-metabolizing units (BMUs) in trabecular bone, a term first coined by Frost\textsuperscript{70} in 1963. Terms such as “basic multicellular unit” and “basic metabolizing unit” have also been used in the literature, referring to the same specialized group of cells\textsuperscript{71}. Bone resorption followed by bone formation was
referred to as a “creeping substitution” by Albrektsson\textsuperscript{72}, a process which results in secondary osteon formation in which a resorption canal is formed by osteoclasts. The osteoblasts then refill these canals with concentric lamellae\textsuperscript{69}. Primary osteon formation appears during the appositional bone growth from the perimeter towards the Haversian canals.

**Bone repair**

The mechanisms of IM and EC bone formation also apply to bone repair following fractures or osteotomies\textsuperscript{73}. The three stages of normal wound healing of soft tissue, the inflammatory stage, fibroblastic stage and remodeling stage, are also present in the normal wound healing of bone tissues, with a some modification due to the presence of osteoblasts and osteoclasts\textsuperscript{74}. Shapiro\textsuperscript{73} describes bone healing as following one of four different patterns:

1. Endochondral bone repair (a repair by callus formation), mediated by the inner periosteal layer and marrow tissue, synthesizing first cartilage and then woven and lamellar bone. This form of bone repair takes place in an environment of inter-fragmentary space and mobility.

2. Primary bone repair (direct contact repair) is mediated by osteoclasts and osteoblasts from the intraosseous Haversian system without a cartilage phase. Primary bone repair occurs strictly within the cortex in situations where fractures or osteotomies are rigidly compressed with no inter-fragmentary gap, causing repair to occur via initial lamellar bone deposition already parallel to the longitudinal axis of the bone.

3. Direct bone repair is also mediated without a cartilage phase by marrow-derived vessels and mesenchymal cells perpendicular to the long axis of bone in an inter-fragmentary space with rigid stability. The gap is >0.1 mm; however, in such dimensions, repair can occur without cartilage mediation. The bone originates from the marrow cells and is aligned at right angles to the long axis of the bone. Therefore, it must undergo remodelling to align the lamellar bone to the longitudinal axis of the bone.
4. Distraction osteogenesis is the fourth pattern of bone healing and is mediated by an inner periosteal layer and marrow tissue including endosteal tissue synthesizing woven and lamellar bone in a slowly widening gap.

According to Hing\textsuperscript{75}, any fractured bone heals through EC ossification in a five-step process:

1. A haematoma is formed in response to an injury to the periosteum, which is a fibrous membrane containing blood vessels.
2. Due to this disruption of the blood supply, the osteocytes nearest to the fracture die, resulting in local necrosis of the bone tissue around the fracture.
3. Because of the necrotic tissue, macrophages and fibroblasts are recruited to the damaged site, to remove tissue debris and express extracellular matrix, respectively. In response to growth factors and cytokines released by inflammatory cells, mesenchymal cells are recruited from the bone marrow and the periosteum then proliferates and differentiates into osteoprogenitor cells.
4. This results in thickening of the periosteum and production of external callus around the fracture site. Those osteoprogenitor cells that are close to undamaged bone and lie within the reach of the oxygen supply differentiate into osteoblasts and form osteoid, which is rapidly calcified into bone, while those farther away turn into chondroblasts and form cartilage. Angiogenesis is induced and as soon as the cartilage has been formed and the fracture site is stabilized, it is replaced by woven cancellous bone via EC ossification in which osteoclasts and osteoprogenitor cells invade the cartilaginous callus.
5. The woven bone is then remodeled to lamellar bone and the process is completed by the return of normal bone marrow within cancellous regions, while in repairing cortical bone, the spaces between trabeculae are gradually filled with successive layers of bone, forming new Haversian canals.
According to Shapiro\textsuperscript{73}, when a stable environment for repair is established by early surgical fixation of the fragments, the need for a large external cartilage callus is bypassed. With very rigid fixation, the entire EC sequence can be bypassed and new bone can be formed without the interposition of cartilage tissue at all. Furthermore, it has been noted by the same author that a slight opening between two bone fragments leads to repair of bone without a cartilaginous stage as the slight inter-fragmentary space allows for vascular invasion from the marrow cavity along the mesenchymal cells, which synthesizes lamellar bone at right angles to the longitudinal axis of bone\textsuperscript{73}. Therefore, the presence of oxygen is crucial for direct bone repair.

The upper limit size of the gap for \textit{primary repair of bone} has been estimated to be about 0.5 mm by some authors and 0.1 mm by others\textsuperscript{76}. In addition, the absence of micro-movements is decisive for direct bone repair. According to Philips and Rahn\textsuperscript{77}, improved results with respect to graft resorption can be expected if onlay bone grafts are stabilized. Hjorting-Hansen et al.\textsuperscript{78}, claim that micro-movements during the early healing phases influences cellular differentiation. The authors describe that if the distance in healing site is increased by 100\% during the very early stages of fracture healing, the primitive mesenchymal cells tend to differentiate to fibroblasts rather than osteoblasts.

In implant dentistry, bone healing is described as \textit{contact osteogenesis}, which implies bone formation in direct contact with the implant surface, and \textit{distance osteogenesis}, meaning new bone formation on the surfaces of the parent bone\textsuperscript{79}. Using Labrador dogs, Botticelli and co-workers\textsuperscript{80} studied the amount of new bone formation adjacent to implants placed in recipient sites with a wide marginal defect. They also studied the degree of BIC. In each dog, mandibular premolars and first molars were extracted. After 3 months of healing, defect preparation and implant installation were performed. Implants installed had sandblasted, large-grit, acid-etched (SLA) surface treatment (ITI\textsuperscript{®} system; Straumann, Waldenburg, Switzerland). The implants were 3.3 mm in diameter and 10 mm in length. The defects were 5.3 mm wide and 5 mm deep, creating a distance of 1–1.25 mm between the implant and the bone walls. Traditional
implant installation was performed in one site as control. The results showed that large marginal defects had been filled with newly formed bone after 4 months of healing. The degree of BIC at all test sites was similar to that at control sites. Furthermore, placement of a barrier membrane did not improve the outcome of healing. The authors concluded that marginal defects >1 mm may heal, with new bone and a high degree of osseointegration to an implant with an SLA surface. In another experimental study, implants using SLA surface ITI® system were compared with turned implants in defect areas of the same size as described above. The results showed significantly greater distance between the implant margin and the most coronal level of BIC for the turned implants. It was concluded that surface characteristics influence osseointegration of implants placed with marginal defects.

Further experiments have shown significantly larger areas of osseointegration for OsseoSpeed™ implants with a fluoride-modified surface (test side) compared with MicroThread™ implants with TiOblast surface (control side). Following implant installation, a 1 mm wide gap occurred between the implant surface and the bone wall. Moreover, specimens obtained after 2 weeks of healing showed that woven bone had formed from the apical and lateral areas of the defect on both the test and control sides. After 6 weeks of healing, bone formation had continued and bone occupied a substantial part of the defect.

Therefore, it appears that in situations with marginal bone defects about 1–1.25 mm wide, bone healing may occur and surface modification may play a crucial role in osseointegration when placing the implants in defects.

**Healing of autogenous bone grafts**

Autogenous bone grafts are considered to be the gold standard because of the lack of an immunologic rejection mechanism and the presence of stem cells and growth factors, both with osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties. Because the major challenges of bone augmentation with an autogenous bone graft are the graft’s
incorporation in the recipient bone tissue and the resulting volume change, a thorough understanding of the healing process of the grafted bone is important.

**Cortical versus cancellous bone**

There are some differences in the histologic events during incorporation of cortical vs. cancellous bone\(^{84}\). Cancellous bone is revascularized more rapidly than cortical bone, owing to its porous nature, therefore permitting more complete incorporation and perhaps even total replacement. It is also believed that new bone formation on transplanted trabecular surfaces precedes resorptive activity\(^{84,85}\). In addition, while creeping substitution of cancellous bone initially involves an appositional bone formation phase followed by a resorptive phase, cortical grafts undergo a reverse creeping substitution process. Lastly, cancellous bone tends to repair completely with time, while cortical grafts remain a blend of necrotic and viable bone\(^{21}\). However, the initial events in the incorporation of a non-vascularized, fresh autogenous cortical graft and a cancellous graft are suggested to be identical\(^{84}\). First, a haematoma is formed around the grafted bone. Then, necrosis of the graft stimulates an inflammatory response which causes the milieu to transform into a fibrovascular stroma. This connective tissue conveys blood vessels from the recipient bed and osteogenic precursor cells to the graft\(^{84}\). The major contributions from the bone graft are space keeping, osteoconduction and osteoinduction\(^{84}\). Osteoconduction is characterized by the graft acting as a scaffold on which new bone is deposited while the graft itself functions in a passive mode. Osteoinduction occurs when graft-derived factors actively stimulate the recipient bone to invade the structure with osteogenic activity. The source of stimulation may partially reside with cells in the bone graft but most certainly emanate from matrix in the form of bone morphogenic protein (BMP)\(^{86}\).

**Guided bone regeneration**

Guided bone regeneration (GBR) is a surgical method by which the alveolar bone volume in areas designated for future implant placement or around previously placed implants is augmented\(^{87}\). By a mechanical hindrance, using a membrane technique,
fibroblasts and other soft connective tissue cells are prevented from entering the bone defect so that the presumably slower migrating cells with osteogenic potential are allowed to repopulate the defect\textsuperscript{88}. Four major principles for GBR have been described in the literature\textsuperscript{87}: primary wound closure, angiogenesis, space maintenance, and stability of the wound and implant.

**Cardinal factors for predictable bone regeneration**

The principles mentioned above may also be applied when discussing prerequisites for healing of autogenous bone grafts without GBR technique. Cardinal factors for predictable bone regeneration include:

- The intention of primary wound closure is to place the edge of the wound in the same position as prior to the incision. Passive closure of wound edges enables the wound to heal with reduced re-epithelialization, collagen formation and remodelling, and wound contraction\textsuperscript{87}. Goldstein et al.\textsuperscript{89} describe some factors that must be taken into consideration when managing soft tissues in the oral cavity: complete and tension-free flap coverage of the wound, maintenance of the vestibule depth and preservation of the keratinized tissue.

- Angiogenesis is a crucial factor for the initial healing process, providing nutrient, gas and undifferentiated mesenchymal cells, which enhances bone regeneration through newly formed blood vessels\textsuperscript{90}. Several studies have shown close correlation between angiogenesis and bone formation\textsuperscript{91–94}. Angiogenesis is a multi-step process leading to the formation of new vessels by sprouting from pre-existing ones. It involves activation, adhesion, migration, proliferation and transmission of endothelial cells across cell matrices to or from new capillaries and from existing vessels\textsuperscript{95}. Furthermore, angiogenesis is a process that is highly dependent on coordinated production of angiogenesis- stimulatory and inhibitory factors\textsuperscript{95}. Schmid et al.\textsuperscript{94} elaborate on the effect of temporary removal of the overlying periosteum during bone surgery, which will cause a tear in some small blood vessels extending from the periosteum into the bone, and thereby cause some vessel wounding. This wounding, in turn, may be sufficient to cause a biological cascade that will end up with new bone formation. This
may explain successful bone regeneration without further bone wounding, according to the authors. Some authors have described the role of cortical perforation of the recipient bed\textsuperscript{90}, proposing that cortical perforation of the recipient bed and the autogenous bone block could enhance initial angiogenesis and thereby the integration of the graft.

- Space maintenance in the bone grafting procedure relates to the autogenous bone graft in the shape of either block or particulate bone, which functions as space holder by its very nature while acting as scaffold for new bone formation, and also initiating osteogenesis through its osteoinductive ability.
- Fixation is another factor that needs to be taken into consideration when performing augmentation of the alveolar ridge by means of an autogenous bone block. Phillips and Rahn\textsuperscript{77} report that in their material, the volume of fixed bone grafts was significantly higher compared with that of non-fixed grafts after 20 weeks. La Trenta et al.\textsuperscript{96} examined the role of rigid skeletal fixation in bone graft augmentation of the craniofacial skeleton. Their results showed bony union of bone grafts fixed with rigid skeletal fixation, while fibrous union predominated in bone grafts fixed with wire technique.

**Different donor sites in jaw bone reconstruction**

Various donor sites have been discussed in the literature concerning autogenous bone grafts\textsuperscript{97–100}. Local autogenous bone grafts have the advantage of being easy to access. The benefits of using local bone grafts are avoidance of a distant surgical site and the consequent morbidity\textsuperscript{101,102}. Mandibular bone grafts which have been used for alveolar reconstruction have shown favourable results\textsuperscript{103–105}. However, these donor sites have anatomical limits. The coronoid process offers limited amount of bone to be harvested. The symphyseal area and the mandibular ramus also restrict the amount of bone that can be harvested because of anatomical considerations such as tooth roots and, in the case of the symphyseal donor site, mental foramina. Third molar teeth and the inferior alveolar canal also restrict the amount of bone to be harvested when harvesting bone from the mandibular ramus. A rectangular graft from the mandibular ramus may approach 3.5 cm in length, while it is not much greater than 1
cm in height. These dimensions apply to a span of three to four tooth sites\textsuperscript{106}. Therefore, in cases with total tooth loss in the maxilla and severe resorption of the alveolar ridge, using autogenous bone grafts from the iliac crest is usually necessary. In some patients with severe maxillary atrophy (class V and VI),\textsuperscript{107} a reversed inter-maxillary relation or increased vertical distance between the jaws may result\textsuperscript{108}. The indication for harvesting autogenous bone block from the ilium becomes more evident in these cases, not only for the purpose of optimal implant positioning but also for restoring the correct facial height and morphology.

Another aspect regarding the choice of donor site relates to its origin, namely, whether the harvested bone has an EC or IM origin. Clinical studies have shown that IM onlay bone grafts tend to resorb less compared with EC bone grafts in the craniofacial skeleton\textsuperscript{109,110}. Experimental studies likewise have shown more favourable results, in terms of volume maintenance, for IM bone grafts\textsuperscript{111–113}. Ozaki and Buchman\textsuperscript{114} point out that in some previous studies\textsuperscript{115,116}, IM bone, owing to its ability to maintain volume, has been reported to have inherent embryogenic advantage over EC bone. However, the authors then challenge this idea by suggesting that the micro-architecture of the IM bone graft has more cortical bone compared with EC grafts, and hence that IM bone is less prone to resorption. In that study\textsuperscript{114}, cortical bone grafts of membranous origin and cortical and cancellous bone grafts of EC origin were compared by placing them onto cranium of rabbits. Volume analysis showed a statistically greater resorption rate in the cancellous EC bone graft than in either the EC or the membraneous cortical bone grafts. Furthermore, no statistical difference was observed in the resorption rates between the two cortical onlay bone grafts of different embryonic origin.

In an experimental study, Kusiak and co-workers\textsuperscript{117} relate the ability of greater volume maintenance of IM bone grafts to more rapid vascularization compared with EC bone.
Smoking

Cigarette smoking may have a negative influence on wound healing\textsuperscript{118}. Bain and Moy\textsuperscript{119} ascribe the negative effects of cigarette smoking on wound healing to the direct cutaneous vasoconstrictive action of nicotine, increased platelet aggregation and compromised polymorphonuclear (PMN) leucocyte function, to name a few causes. Several studies report a correlation between smoking and higher risk of implant failure\textsuperscript{120-124}. Based on these findings, smoking could be regarded as a contraindication also for bone augmentation. A systemic review of the orthopaedic literature regarding the impact of smoking on bone healing has revealed that smoking has a negative effect on bone healing in terms of delayed union and non-union\textsuperscript{125}. Nicotine decreases blood flow to the extremities owing to the increased peripheral vasoconstriction, especially relating to digital and forearm haemodynamics\textsuperscript{126}. Furthermore, carbon monoxide has a high affinity for haemoglobin, reducing the amount of oxygen carried by this molecule\textsuperscript{127}. Smoking has been reported to be one of the predictors of implant failure after maxillary sinus floor augmentation and reconstruction\textsuperscript{128}. It has also been reported in the literature that post-operative healing complications occur significantly more often in smokers compared with non-smokers\textsuperscript{129}.

Surgical techniques

Surgical procedure of the reconstruction of the atrophic maxilla can be divided into: inlay, onlay, and interpositional bone grafting. In a systemic review article Del Fabbro et al.\textsuperscript{130} report the survival rates of implants in the grafted maxillary sinus as follows: the overall implant survival rate in 39 studies was 91.49\%. The loaded follow-up time ranged from 12 to 75 months. Simultaneous vs. delayed procedure displayed almost similar survival rates, of 92.17\% vs. 92.93\%. Furthermore, when implants were installed in grafted maxillary sinus, the performance of rough implants was shown to be superior to that of smooth surface implants. Bone substitute material proved to be as successful as autogenous bone grafts. In another study\textsuperscript{131} the use of cancellous block allografts for sinus floor augmentation with simultaneous implant placement was evaluated, with a mean follow-up of 27 months. The inclusion
criterion was a residual alveolar ridge height of \( \leq 4 \) mm. The success rate was reported to be 94.4%. Olson and colleagues\(^{132}\) report a long-term assessment of endosseous dental implants in the augmented maxillary sinus. The follow-up began at stage two (abutment connection) and ranged from 5 to 71 months. Although the amount of residual bone was not measured and recorded, the results showed high implant survival rates in grafted sinuses (97.5%). Out of 120 implants placed in 45 grafted sinuses, 88 implants were placed simultaneously and 32 were placed 3–12 months after sinus augmentation. The sinus augmentation material did not appear to affect the long-term success, from implant placement to loading, or function as described by the authors. However, when comparing a one-stage surgery protocol with a delayed placement of implants, it appeared that all the failed implants occurred when using a one-stage surgery protocol. Based on these studies, it can be concluded that when placing an endosseous implant into grafted bone, primary implant stability is of utmost importance for osseointegration to take place. Consequently, when primary implant stability cannot be achieved, a staged surgery protocol is recommended. This approach is also valid when augmenting a resorbed maxillary ridge with onlay buccal or vertical bone grafts. However, since this type of bone augmentation is more susceptible to lateral and occlusal forces, using a one-stage surgery protocol is not as straightforward and conclusive as is a maxillary sinus augmentation procedure.

The onlay group can be divided into horizontal (buccal veneer) grafting and vertical grafting. While buccal onlay grafting has been used to augment the width of a resorbed maxilla, some clinicians have reported satisfying results also when augmenting the height. Nyström et al.\(^{133}\) conducted a study to post-operatively evaluate combined use of bone grafts and implants, using computed tomography (CT). The harvested bone was from both the lateral and the medial aspect of the ilium, forming a horseshoe shape. According to the authors, the graft was then modelled to fit the residual maxillary alveolar crest. Using a one-stage surgery protocol, six self-tapping fixtures were inserted, penetrating the bone graft and the residual bone. Rigid fixation was established. Out of 120 fixtures inserted, 14 were
reported lost during the observation period of ≥24 months. Prior to this study, a 2-year longitudinal study was initiated by Nyström and colleagues\textsuperscript{134} using the same surgical procedure in 30 patients. The first ten patients were classified as the development group and the remaining 20 patients as the routine group. The implant survival rate after 2 years was reported to be 54.4% in the development group and 88.3% in the routine group. In a 10-year follow-up study of the same patient group, the implant success rate was reported to be 83.1% in the routine group\textsuperscript{135}. Van Steenberghhe et al.\textsuperscript{136} report a cumulative success rate of 85% after a 10-year follow-up when placing implants simultaneously with autogenous onlay bone grafts. The bone graft harvested was also in the shape of a horseshoe and was stabilized with four to seven self-tapping, machined surface implants (using the Brånemark system). It was concluded that the self-tapping, screw-shaped implants lead to an excellent adaptation of the graft and even compression of the graft towards the residual bone.

In some circumstances, using residual bone is not suitable for one-stage surgery, for instance when the residual alveolar ridge is too small for the fixture to be penetrated in both the residual and the grafted bone, allowing compression of the bone graft, or when bone grafts are used solely as lateral onlay. Sjöström and colleagues\textsuperscript{22} report a 90% survival rate in a total of 192 implants after a 3-year follow-up. Using a delayed placement of titanium implants with a turned surface, 29 patients were reconstructed with free iliac crest grafts using onlay/inlay or interpositional bone grafts; 25 patients remained for the follow-up period. In the same study, a literature survey was also conducted, indicating that while the one-stage technique is the most commonly used procedure, delayed placement of implants results in a higher survival rate. Triplett and Schow\textsuperscript{30} have shown that the success rate of implants placed in grafted areas 6–9 months after bone augmentation is higher than when implants are placed simultaneously with the grafting procedure. The authors have suggested four important and valid factors:
1. Rigid fixation and a tension-free primary closure of the soft-tissue flap minimizes complications that lead to failure.

2. Most of the grafting failure is due to infections or exposure of the graft to the oral cavity because of dehiscence. Early loading of grafts with a transitional prosthesis is another potential cause of graft failure.

3. Success of the placement of endosseous implants in the grafted area is more predictable using a delayed surgical procedure.

4. Failure of individual implants in the grafted bone does not imply failure of the bone graft. In most cases there will be enough bone volume after 6–8 months for successful implant placement.

Becktor and co-workers\textsuperscript{137} have indicated that the trauma caused by a provisional maxillary denture opposed by a mandibular dentition, creating force concentration rather than force distribution, could induce further trauma to the maxilla. Furthermore, the authors have implied that there is an association between unilateral mandibular dentition and an increase in implant failure in the maxilla.

Another method for reconstruction of cranio-maxillofacial defects is through tissue engineering. A primary source of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) for bone regeneration is from adipose tissue to provide adipose-derived stem cells (ASCs)\textsuperscript{138}. Sándor et al.\textsuperscript{139} used autogenous fat from the anterior abdominal wall of a patient who had undergone resection of a 10 cm anterior mandibular ameloblastoma. Adipose-derived stem cells were isolated and expanded \textit{ex vivo}. The expanded cells were seeded onto a mixture of $\beta$-tricalcium phosphate ($\beta$-TCP) granules and recombinant human BMP-2 as a scaffold. Ten months after reconstruction, dental implants were inserted into the grafted site. It was concluded that ASCs in combination with $\beta$-TCP and BMP-2 offers a promising construct for the treatment of large mandibular defects without the need for ectopic bone formation and allowing rehabilitation with dental implants\textsuperscript{139}.
Osseointegration of titanium implants

Osseointegration was defined by Brånemark as a direct structural and functional connection between ordered, living bone and the surface of a load-carrying implant. According to Albrektsson, establishment of osseointegration is dependent on the implant material, implant design, implant surface, status of the bone, surgical technique, and implant-loading condition.

According to Rasmusson, the most common methods of analysis of the interactions between bone tissue and titanium are: 1. descriptive histology using light and/or electron microscopy (scanning and transmission electron microscopy (SEM and TEM, respectively); 2. quantitative histology using morphometry of ground sections for light microscopic analysis; and 3. biomechanical tests such as the push/pull tests or removal torque tests, as well as resonance frequency analysis (RFA).

Nygren et al. describe the effect of the titanium surface on different biological components that come in contact with the surface as soon as the implant is placed into the surgical prepared site, as a crucial factor in the healing process. The authors describe that the surface influences protein adsorption, platelet adhesion and haemostasis, inflammation and osteogenic cell response. Bone regeneration around oral titanium implants resembles the healing phases of bone injury or fracture, i.e. inflammation, regeneration, and remodelling. In 1991, Sennerby and co-workers examined the bone-titanium interface in retrieved clinical oral implants. Using light microscopy and TEM, the authors observed that the threads of the implant were filled 79–95% with dense lamellar bone, and that a large fraction of the implant surface, 56–85%, appeared to be in direct contact with the mineralized bone. In areas of direct mineralized bone-titanium contact at the ultrastructural level, mineralized bone reached close to the implant surface but was separated by an amorphous layer 100–400 nm thick. Furthermore, Sennerby et al. have shown early bone tissue response to titanium implants. Placing titanium implants in rabbit tibia, they observed a cellular response after 3 days. Osteoblast-producing osteoids were observed at the endosteal surface and elongated mesenchymal cells were present at the site of injury.
Some macrophages, but rather few other inflammatory cells, were identified. From day 7, multi-nuclear giant cells were observed in direct contact with the implant and forming a continuous layer along the surface. Bone formation was first identified at day 7 as woven trabecular bone formed from the endosteal surface and extended towards the implant surface as a solitary formation. This solitary bone matrix was described as a base for surface osteoblasts which produced osteoid in a lamellar arrangement. With time the two types of newly formed bone fused and more bone filled the threads and became remoulded by bone remodelling units. The authors also observed that bone-titanium contact and the bone area in the threads increased with time until 6 months after implant placement.

**Osseointegration of titanium implants in autogenous bone grafts**

The healing of turned-surface titanium implants into grafted bone has been previously studied. Nyström et al.\textsuperscript{145} performed a histological examination on one of a series of patients who had undergone treatment with bone grafts from the iliac crest in combination with self-tapping fixtures. The patient had died in an accident 4 months after the operation. Autopsy specimens from the patient were used to analyse the amount of osseointegration after 4 months of healing. The graft from the maxilla, including all six implants, was retrieved. A specimen from the donor site was also removed post-mortem and prepared for histological examination. The results showed no clear distinction between the grafted and the residual bone. Marginal aspects of the implant showed signs of resorption while the apical portion of the implants seemed to be imbedded in the original maxillary bone. The interface between bone and implant was to some extent soft tissue, which reflected a delayed remodelling process. In only a small section of the implant circumference was a direct BIC observed. At the donor site, there was evidence of new bone formation but the gap was not bridged. There was no inflammatory reaction in the soft tissue.

Lundgren and colleagues\textsuperscript{29} analysed the bone graft-titanium implant interface of titanium micro-implants placed simultaneously or after primary healing of the grafts. Histological analysis of micro-implants representing healing periods of 0–6 months,
0–12 months (simultaneous placement) and 6–12 months (delayed placement) revealed that the delayed micro-implants had more bone within the threads and more bone in direct contact with the implant surface compared with simultaneous micro-implant specimens. Furthermore, histological findings of biopsies without micro-implants at day 0, and 6 and 12 months post-grafting showed signs of ongoing resorption, bone formation and remodelling at 6 and 12 months. Morphological measurements of bone areas from these biopsies showed more areas of new bone after 12 months of healing compared with 6 months post-healing. The authors could show that although titanium micro-implants integrate in free autogenous iliac crest bone grafts, when used as either onlays or as interpositional bone grafts, the micro-implants placed in a delayed procedure showed more bone in the implant interface compared with the simultaneous procedure.

**Titanium implant surface topography**

According to Albrektsson and Wennerberg\textsuperscript{146}, surface quality of an implant can be looked at in terms of mechanical, topographic and physiochemical properties. Frandsen and colleagues\textsuperscript{147} found that the holding power of different screws in the cancellous bone of femoral head increases with the length and the diameter of the thread. According to Albrektsson\textsuperscript{148}, look alike implants do not necessarily show similar long-term clinical results. Moreover while, threaded implants have shown to become osseointegrated, non-threaded implants result in patches of BIC interrupted by areas with a fibrous tissue contact\textsuperscript{149}.

A long-term follow-up study\textsuperscript{150} involving standard Brånemark System fixtures revealed implant survival rates of 89% at 5 years, 81% at 10 years and 78% at 15 years for maxillary fixtures. For fixtures placed in the mandible, the survival rates reported were 97% at 5 years, 95% at 10 years and 86% at 15 years. The topographic surface of standard Brånemark System fixtures has been described in the literature\textsuperscript{151} as machined by turning.
A systemic review on implant surface roughness and bone healing has revealed enhanced BIC with increasing surface roughness. In 2000, Cooper described the role of surface topography on osseointegration. The author concluded that increasing implant surface roughness does increase the surface area of the implant and that it would be an advantage if osseointegration consisted of a cohesive bond between the implant and the bone. The author also reported that the implant surface topography affected the amount of bone formed at the implant-bone interface. The mechanism of endosseous integration has been termed “contact osteogenesis” (bone growth on the implant surface) by Davies, a mechanism that can be divided into three phases: 1. osteoconduction, where a migration of differentiating osteogenic cells takes place to the implant surface through a connective tissue scaffold; 2. new bone formation, which results in a mineralized matrix being laid down on the implant surface; and 3. bone remodelling. Albrektsson & Wennerberg have defined different surface roughnesses as follows:

- Smooth surfaces have an $S_a$ value of $<0.5$ µm.
- Minimally rough surfaces have an $S_a$ value of $0.5–1$ µm.
- Moderately rough surfaces have an $S_a$ value of $1–2$ µm.
- Rough surfaces have an $S_a$ value of $>2$ µm.

They concluded that moderately rough implant surfaces have some clinical advantages over smoother or rougher surfaces by showing stronger bone responses.

While surface topography can be changed by either subtractive or additive processes, as described by Albrektsson & Wennerberg, surface treatment with fluoride has been shown to enhance the retention of titanium implants fourfold compared with implants with machined surfaces in rabbit ulna. According to Ellingsen, fluor ions have documented activity in bone. This element is known to form fluoridated HA or fluorapatite, the latter with improved crystallinity and better resistance to dissolution compared with HA. In a study conducted by Ellingsen and co-workers, the fluoride modification of the titanium surface and its effect on bone response was investigated by comparing titanium oxide (TiO$_2$)-blasted titanium implants with and
without fluoride-modified surfaces. The results showed a significantly higher removal torque value for the fluoride-modified implants after 3 months. Histomorphometric analysis showed higher BIC for the fluoridated test implants.

Further discussion about different types of implant surface modification is beyond the scope of the present thesis.
AIMS

The aims of the present thesis were:

To compare the bone tissue response for machined and fluoridated implants with increased surface roughness installed in onlay bone grafts in one-stage surgery, using histomorphometry and RFA.

To determine if there are any differences in stability and osseointegration of implants with bioactive surface installed in autogenous bone grafts using a simultaneous and a delayed approach in test and control groups, respectively.

To evaluate marginal bone-level alterations around moderately rough implants installed in block vs. particulate autogenous bone grafts.

To evaluate and compare the extent of resorption of autogenous bone grafts between block and particulate bone by three-dimensional (3D) radiographic examination after 2 years.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal studies I and II

Animals and anaesthesia

For the experimental studies I and II, female New Zealand White rabbits were chosen. The animals were kept in specially designed rooms and fed with a standard diet and water *ad libitum.*

Surgery was initiated by sedation with an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of Stesolid® (Dumex, Copenhagen, Denmark) 1.5 mg/kg. General anaesthesia was administered by intramuscular (i.m.) injection of Hypnorm® (Janssen Pharmaceutica, Brussels, Belgium) 0.2 ml/kg. In addition, 0.8 ml of local anaesthesia (2% lidocain/epinephrine 1:80 000; Astra AB, Södertälje, Sweden) was given. Post-operatively, single i.m. injections of antibiotics (Intencillin® 2 250 000 IE/5 ml, 0.1 ml/kg body weight; LEO, Helsingborg, Sweden) and analgesic (Temgesic® 0.05 mg/kg body weight; Reckitt & Coleman, Hull, UK) were given. The healing times for both studies I and II were 8 weeks. After completion of healing, the animals were sacrificed by a mixture overdose of Rompun® Vet. (Bayer A/S, Animal Health Division, Copenhagen, Denmark) 20 mg/ml and Ketalar® (Pfizer AB, Sollentuna, Sweden) 50 mg/ml.

Implants

Screw-shaped titanium implants from commercially pure titanium 9 mm long and 3.5 mm in diameter were used in Study I. Control implants had a machined surface while test implants had a fluoridated surface (OsseoSpeed™; Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden).

The same screw-shaped titanium implants with fluoridated surface (OsseoSpeed™; Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden) and similar dimensions were used in one-stage and two-stage surgery protocols in Study II. Fixation of the bone graft in the two-
stage surgery protocol was achieved by using a titanium mini-screw 5 mm long and 2 mm in diameter.

**Surgery protocols**

**Study I**

Eight adult (8–9-month-old) female New Zealand White rabbits were used in this study. The proximal tibial metaphysis on both sides was used as experimental sites. The autogenous bone grafts were harvested from the calvarial bone. Surgery was performed under aseptic conditions and was initiated by making an incision and raising a sub-periosteal, full-thickness flap. A trephine bur was used to harvest a disc-shaped bone graft 8 mm in diameter and 2 mm thick from the lateral aspect of the sagittal suture of the calvarium, with care taken not to penetrate the dura. After bone harvesting, the donor site was sutured in layers using Vicryl 5.0 (Ethicon®; Johnson & Johnson, Livingston, Scotland) and the skin using Monocryl 3.0 (Ethicon®; Johnson & Johnson, Livingston, Scotland). The proximal tibial metaphyses were exposed bilaterally through a skin and fascia-periosteal flap. A 3.2 mm hole was drilled in the centre of each bone graft, using a 3.2 mm twist drill. The hole and the proximal tibial metaphysis were then prepared for a dental implant (Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden) 9 mm long and 3.5 mm in diameter with a machined surface as control and a fluoridated surface (OsseoSpeed™; Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden) as test. Test and control sides were randomized. All implants were installed with good primary stability. Cover screws were placed and fascia-periosteal flaps were sutured in the same way as the donor site. After 8 weeks of healing, the animals were sacrificed by a mixture overdose of Rompun® Vet. (Bayer A/S, Animal Health Division, Copenhagen, Denmark) 20 mg/ml and Ketalar® (Pfizer AB, Sollentuna, Sweden) 50 mg/ml. The right and left tibia and excess tissue were removed.
Study II

Six adult female New Zealand White rabbits were used in this study. The autogenous bone graft was harvested from the calvarium and placed on the tibial metaphysis as the recipient site, as described in Study I. However, the bone graft surgery was conducted on two occasions to acquire specimens with different healing times. During the initial bone harvesting procedure, the bone graft was fixed on the tibial bone by means of a mini-screw 5 mm long and 2 mm in diameter. The fascia-periosteal flaps were then sutured in layers using Vicryl 5.0 (Ethicon®, Johnson & Johnson, Livingston, Scotland) and the skin using Monocryl 3.0 (Ethicon®, Johnson & Johnson, Livingston, Scotland) representing the control site.

After 8 weeks, a second bone graft from the other side of the calvarium was harvested and directly placed on and fixed to the tibial bone by a fluoridated implant 3.5 mm x 9 mm (Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden) on the other tibial metaphysis, representing the test site.

During the same surgery, the fixation screw on the control side, which by this time had undergone 8 weeks of healing, was removed. After preparation using the same specifications as for the test site, a 3.5 mm x 9 mm OsseoSpeed® implant (Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden) was installed (control site). Therefore, the implants placed in healed bone grafts represented control sites and two-stage surgery, while the test sites were operated using a one-stage surgery protocol.
After another 8 weeks, the animals were sacrificed by a mixture overdose of Rompun® Vet. (Bayer A/S, Animal Health Division, Copenhagen, Denmark) 20 mg/ml and Ketalar® (Pfizer AB, Sollentuna, Sweden) 50 mg/ml.

The experimental studies were approved by the local ethic committee for animal research (DNR: 128–2007).

Figure 4. Two-stage vs. one stage surgery protocols.

Specimen preparation
Studies I and II
Directly after the sacrifice of the animals, the implants and surrounding tissue were removed en bloc and immediately fixed by an immersion of 4% buffered formaldehyde. The specimens were later dehydrated in a graded series of ethanol and embedded in light curing resin (Technovit® 7200 VCL; Kulzer and Co., Wehrheim, Germany). A sawing and grinding technique (Exakt® System; Apparatabau,
Norderstedt, Germany) was used to make approximately 10 µm thick ground sections of each specimen. The sections were then stained with 1% toluidine blue and pyronin G.

**Analysis and calculations**

**Studies I and II**

The sections were viewed and analysed in a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse 80i; Tekno Optik AB, Göteborg, Sweden) using 1.8–100x magnification, connected to a personal computer with software for morphometry (Easy Image Measurements 2000; Tekno Optik AB, Göteborg, Sweden). Morphometrical measurements of the following dimensions were made:

1. Bone-to-implant contact in the grafted bone.
2. Bone-to-implant contact in the total amount of bone (bone graft and residual bone).
3. Total bone structure within a region of interest (ROI).

The above calculations were done by measuring the following parameters:

1. Implant length in the grafted bone.
2. Bone-to-implant contact of the grafted bone.
3. Implant length in the residual bone.
4. Bone-to-implant contact of the residual bone.

To calculate the total BIC, parameters (2) and (4) were added together. These measurements were performed at both sides of the longitudinal axis of each implant and a mean value was calculated for each implant. Bone area within an ROI was measured by drawing a vertical line about 1.0 mm from the outer border of each implant surface, parallel to the longitudinal axis of each implant including seven implant threads within the grafted area.
Resonance frequency analysis: implant stability measurements

For performing a non-invasive, in vivo assessment of implant stability, a resonance frequency measurement method has been proposed by Meredith and co-workers. The principle of this method is to attach a transducer to an implant fixture either directly or through a transmucosal abutment. Via a sinusoidal signal, the transducer is vibrated and implant stability is assessed by measuring the resonance frequency of the transducer. There is a clear correlation between resonance frequency and the exposed height of the fixture. The transducer is also sensitive to the stiffness of the surrounding tissue. According to Rasmusson, the technique measures the first bending resonance frequency of a small transducer that is attached to a fixture or abutment. Rasmusson reports that the resonance frequency is dependent on two factors: the stiffness of the implant-bone system, and the height of the transducer above the marginal bone level.

Studies I and II

As a non-invasive implant stability measurement, RFA was used according to the method described by Meredith et al. Resonance frequency analysis was performed in all animals at the time of surgery and at the end of the experiment using Osstell (Integration Diagnostics AB, Göteborg, Sweden). A transducer was attached and registrations were made perpendicular and longitudinal to the long axis of each implant. Mean values for the test and control implants were calculated.
Clinical and radiographic studies III and IV

Patients

Study III
Fifteen patients (two men and 13 women, mean age 58 years, range 35–75 years) with severe resorption of the maxilla were reconstructed with autogenous bone grafts from the anterior iliac crest and rehabilitated with oral implants with full fixed bridges.

Study IV
Using the patient group from Study III, eleven edentulous patients (all female) with severe resorption of the maxilla were followed up with CT scans taken pre-operatively, directly after grafting surgery, and 6 and 24 months post-grafting surgery.

The clinical studies were approved by the local ethics committee for human trials (DNR: 19199-11-01).

Pre-surgical examination, inclusion and exclusion criteria
After clinical examinations, the extent of horizontal and vertical bone deficiencies was assessed by CT scans. Inclusion criteria were severely resorbed maxilla with an anterior crestal width of <3 mm and/or height of <7 mm. Inclusion criteria for vertical bone height at the posterior aspect of the maxilla were set at <5 mm. Patients smoking fewer than ten cigarettes per day, with no alcohol abuse, and between 20 and 75 years old were selected.

Twelve out of 15 patients in Study III were smokers (<10 cigarettes/day) prior to treatment. One patient smoked during the period from bone grafting to the abutment connection. In Study IV, all eleven patients who were followed up were among those who were smokers before the bone grafting procedure. Exclusion criteria were acute illness, ongoing chemotherapy, ongoing or recent (within 3 years) radiotherapy and i.v. bisphosphonate treatment.
Pre- and post-surgical care
At the start of the bone grafting surgery, benzylpenicillin (3 g x 3) or clindamycin (600 mg x 3) was given peri-operatively and for the following 24 hours. Patients received a prophylactic antibiotic regimen for 10 days after the grafting procedure with either phenoxympethylpenicillin (1 g x 3) and metronidazole (400 mg x 3) or clindamycin (300 mg x 3).

At the time of implant installation, patients received a single dose of antibiotic, either phenoxympethylpenicillin (2 g) or clindamycin (300 mg). The antibiotic cure continued for 5 days after implant surgery, using either phenoxympethylpenicillin (1 g x 3) or clindamycin (300 mg x 3). As analgesic, acetaminophen with codeine or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug was prescribed for a period of 1–2 weeks after surgical procedures. Dentures were not used during the first month following the grafting procedure and for 10 days after the implant placement.

Bone harvesting and preparation
Under general anaesthesia, an incision was made about 2 cm posterior of the anterior superior iliac spine on either the right or the left side. A cortico-cancellous block of bone from the medial aspect of the iliac crest was harvested by means of a reciprocal saw, leaving the lateral part of the iliac crest intact. The incision was sutured in layers. To achieve particulate bone (test side), a bone mill (Tessier Osseous Microtome (TOM®); Stryker Leibinger GmbH, Freiburg, Germany) was used. Harvested cortico-cancellous bone graft was milled down to pieces and mixed with platelet-rich plasma (PRP) prepared from withdrawal of whole blood from a peripheral vein of the patient.
Bone augmentation of the anterior maxilla

*Bone blocks as onlay graft (control)*

Once a mucoperiosteal flap was raised on the maxilla, the recipient site was prepared using a small round bur until small spots of bleeding were observed. A cortico-cancellous bone block harvested from the anterior iliac crest was placed on the right side (frontal sub-nasal area) of the maxilla. The bone block was fixed to the residual bone by a minimum of two titanium screws (6–13 mm in length) to achieve a rigid fixation of the bone graft.

*Particulate bone as onlay graft (test)*

On the left side, the particulate bone mixed with PRP was placed onto the recipient site.

No randomization was made for the test and control sides.

To gain full, tension-free soft tissue coverage of the grafted area, an incision was made through the periosteum and the flap was elevated in order to cover the augmented area. The incision was then sutured using resorbable sutures (*Vicryl®*; Johnson & Johnson AB, Sollentuna, Sweden).
Implants

After 6 months of healing, surface-modified oral implants with titanium dioxide (TiOblast™; Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden) were installed. Eight implants were installed in each patient. After a further 6 months of healing, abutments were placed in all cases.

Radiographic examinations

Study III

To measure the marginal bone level, parallel intra-oral radiographs were taken at baseline (after completion of the prosthetic treatment), after 1 year and again after 5 years of loading. There were four dropouts for the 5-year radiographic examination, but no implant losses had been reported.

One observer with long experience in the field of implant radiology performed the evaluations of all radiographs. The evaluations were conducted by measuring the marginal bone height and bone-level alterations over time at the mesial and distal surface of each implant between a reference point and the bone level of each implant.
The highest point of the vertical section of each implant at the mesial and distal surfaces was chosen as reference point. Signs of radiographic changes at the BIC zones, indicating loss of osseointegration, and signs of bone loss related to mechanical components of the implants were registered. Bone-level measurements were conducted to the nearest 0.1 mm by means of a magnifying lens x7. A mean value of the mesial and distal measurements was calculated per implant. To determine the error of radiographic measurements, double recordings of one randomly selected implant per patient from the 5-year follow-up examination were performed and the mean difference between the two readings was set as the degree of marginal bone resorption.

**Study IV**

To establish 3D volumetric changes of the bone grafts, we performed CT examinations using a CT Pace Plus (General Electric, Milwaukee, MI, USA) for 2 mm axial scans. Scans were taken within 1 month of the grafting procedure (the first post-operative CT examination) and after 6 and 24 months. All CT scans were taken at one hospital, following the same procedure and analysed by one person. The total volume of the grafted areas was measured separately at the test and control sides using axial and sagittal images. The two types of bone grafts were identified on the lateral aspect of the anterior maxilla. Next, the volume of each bone graft was calculated by measuring the following dimensions: thickness of the bone graft in a bucco-palatal aspect, and vertical height and horizontal length in an anterior-posterior direction of the grafted areas. To reduce the error in the radiographic measurements, three different CT sections at each dimension were selected. In order to be as consistent as possible, we used sections showing the largest amount of bone in all dimensions. Furthermore, we used a drawing function for measurement of distance, to manually plot the ranges in all three sections of each dimension. In this fashion, the mean value for each dimension was calculated, and multiplied to obtain a value in cubic mm.
Based on clinical experience, we suspected that the width of the bone grafts was more prone to resorption, therefore the results of changes in the bucco-palatinal aspect were presented separately.

**Statistics**

**Studies I–IV**

The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for statistical analysis and a difference between the two groups was considered significant if $p<0.05$. 
RESULTS

Integration of moderately rough fluoridated implants in autogenous bone grafts

Studies I and II
The aim of the first animal study (Study I) was to establish whether there would be any differences in bone response between machined, and moderately rough and fluoridated implants with increased surface roughness when placed in autogenous bone blocks using a one-stage surgery protocol.

Study II aimed to answer if there are any differences in bone tissue response to implants with increased surface roughness when simultaneously placing them with block bone grafts or when using a delayed surgery protocol after 8 weeks of graft healing.

Clinical observations
Because of unexpected death of one animal in the first study, the total number of animals was reduced to seven. In Study II, all six animals could be analysed through the planned time protocol.

At the time of sacrifice and specimen preparation, all bone grafts had healed and integrated into the residual bone with smooth and mature texture. In Study I, the RFA showed statistically higher stability for the implants with moderately rough fluoridated surface (test surface), both at the time of implant placement and after 8 weeks of healing. In Study II, RFA results were higher for implants when using a delayed approach at the time of implant installation. However, the difference between control and test sides had levelled out by the time of sacrifice.
Histological observation

Cross-sections of experimental sites in each specimen showed implants well integrated into both residual and grafted bone. Although grafted bone tissues were well integrated into the residual bone, a demarcation could be observed between the two. This demarcation was more distinct in Study II when placing implants at the time of bone augmentation, hence with shorter healing time for the bone grafts prior to specimen preparation. With higher magnification, the grafted area appeared to be more mature bone when using a delayed surgical protocol (control).

Histomorphometry

In Study I, histomorphometry revealed that the BIC within the grafted area as well as total bone (grafted bone + residual bone) was higher for the fluoridated, rough (test) compared with machined (control) implants. The difference was statistically significant, with p<0.05.

In Study II, results comparing the same type of moderately rough implants installed in autogenous bone blocks in a simultaneous procedure (test implants) with same type of implants installed in healed bone grafts (control implants) showed a tendency for a higher BIC for the control side (n.s.). Results for total BIC were almost identical for test and control sides.

Marginal bone-level alterations and three-dimensional analysis of volumetric change in autogenous bone grafts

Studies III and IV

The purpose of the third study in this thesis was to: 1. assess the marginal bone-level alterations around moderately rough oral implants (TiOblast™; Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden); 2. evaluate whether there is any difference in marginal bone-level alterations between implants placed in autogenous block (control implants) or particulate bone (test implants); and 3. whether there is any difference in stability between test and control sites as measured by RFA.
Study IV aimed to investigate volumetric changes of autogenous bone grafts placed as lateral onlay in the anterior maxilla. The extent of resorption of the grafted bone after 2 years was investigated. Furthermore, we wanted to establish whether there is any difference in the amount of resorption between autogenous block and particulate bone.

In Study III, radiographic findings revealed a tendency towards more marginal bone resorption from the reference point at sites augmented with autogenous block bone (control) at baseline, after 1 year and again after 5 years. However, the difference between test and control sides was not statistically significant. Resonance frequency analysis showed almost similar results at implant installation between test and control sides and a tendency for higher values for the implants placed in particulate bone (test) at the time of abutment surgery and after 1 year in function. After 3 years, the difference in RFA value had levelled out between the test and control sides. No statistically significant difference was observed at any time during the follow-up period.

In Study IV, the volumetric change, measured by CT, showed extensive resorption of both particulate (test) and block (control) bone grafts after 24 months. The amount of volume reduction appeared to be higher on the test side (81.1±8.3%) augmented with particulate bone, compared with the control side (77.8±5.2%) augmented with block bone, but the difference was not statistically significant.
Table 1. This table shows the volume reduction of the grafted bone
DISCUSSION

Animal studies

The results showed significantly higher BIC and implant stability when using fluoridated surface-modified implants installed in autogenous bone grafts compared with implants with machined surface. Although factors such as stability of the grafted bone, healing time, primary stability of the implant and surface dimensions of the implant are crucial factors for successful integration and stability of both the grafted bone and the implant, surface configuration and properties of the implant seem to play a crucial role in osseointegration.

According to Sennerby and Meredith\textsuperscript{160}, the main determinants of implant stability are: 1. The mechanical properties of the bone tissue at the implant site; and 2. how well the implant is engaged with that bone tissue. Furthermore, the authors state that the mechanical properties of bone are determined by the composition of the bone at the implant site and may increase during healing because soft trabecular bone tends to undergo a transformation to dense cortical bone in the vicinity of the implant surface. Therefore, stability of the grafted bone can be related to the mechanical properties of the bone tissue at the implant site. In addition, it has been shown by Philips and Rahn\textsuperscript{161} that bone grafts need to be stabilized for predictable graft survival and that rigid fixation facilitates the preservation of the bone graft volume, probably because this creates an appositional phase earlier and, consequently, improved osteoconduction\textsuperscript{161}.

In studies I and II, rigid fixation of the bone graft was gained by placing the implants bicortically through the cortical layers of the graft and the thicker outer cortex of the tibial bone. By doing so, good primary stability of the implants was achieved in all animals. By the time of sacrifice, the bone grafts had fully integrated with the tibial bone with smooth surface and rounded edges, displaying ongoing remodeling. Consequently, we conclude that autogenous bone block can be rigidly fixed by means of an implant in one-stage surgery. However, it must be noted that in this study, since
the bone grafts were placed and fixed on the tibial bone, the grafts could easily be stabilized by one implant. This procedure does not fully reflect the clinical situation where the alveolar crest has undergone extensive resorption resulting in inadequate alveolar width and a need for bone augmentation with lateral onlay grafts. However, when vertical bone augmentation is needed, as described by Nyström and colleagues, the results of studies I and II may be applicable to understanding the healing process.

A disadvantage of using the animal model we used in studies I and II is that other factors such as primary wound closure, loading due to masticatory forces, the preservation of keratinized soft tissue and other factors that are relevant within the oral cavity milieu, cannot be taken into account when the bone grafting procedure is performed on the tibial bone. Another aspect that should be taken into consideration in the animal models used in studies I and II, is use of IM bone as the bone graft. Bone grafts from different donor sites and different origins have been debated by some authors who relate the quality of IM bone graft for better volume maintenance, to different factors as described earlier in this thesis.

The most important limitation of the animal studies must be the low number of animals used. Even so, we were able to show statistically significant differences between the test and control sides in Study I. The results in Study I are clearly in favour of fluoridated implants and seem likely to be due to the fluoridated surface configuration of the test implants. Previous studies investigating the influence of fluoridated implant surface in non-grafted bone show favourable results for this surface modification. Using the same animal model, Ellingsen and colleagues compared TiO₂-blasted titanium implants with and without fluoride modification with respect to BIC, bone area in threads, and removal torque resistance in rabbit tibia. The authors showed results in which the mean BIC value for all threads after 1 and 3 months of healing was significantly higher for the fluoridated compared with control implants. The authors also showed significantly higher bone area filling the threads in the best three consecutive threads as well as bone area in all threads after a 3-month
healing period. Removal torque in the same study displayed a higher mean value after 1 and 3 months, the latter being statistically significant. Other experimental studies have presented similar results with fluoride-modified implant surfaces. Abrahamsson and co-workers\textsuperscript{82} showed a significantly higher degree of BIC within a defect area at fluoride-modified implants than at implants with only titanium dioxide-blasted surfaces. Therefore, our results from Study I are in accordance with previously conducted studies performed in non-grafted bone.

In Study II, we sought to examine whether there are any differences in osseointegration of oral implants placed in autogenous onlay bone grafts in one-stage surgery vs. a two-stage surgery protocol. The focus was solely on implants with moderately rough fluoridated surface and our intention was to investigate whether these implants would osseointegrate to the same extent when installed simultaneously with the graft as when installed using a delayed procedure. Previous studies using implants with turned surface have shown results in favour of a delayed procedure. Rasmusson and co-workers\textsuperscript{162} showed a significantly higher degree of BIC in the grafted part of the bone, with the delayed procedure. Resonance frequency analysis results were also significantly higher for implants placed after 8 weeks of healing. It was concluded that a delayed placement of titanium implants in autogenous onlay bone grafts results in greater implant stability because of a greater degree of bone formation and more bone contact with the implant. Using rabbit mandible as the recipient site, Shirota et al.\textsuperscript{163} studied HA implants in grafted bone harvested from rabbit ilium. The authors observed that new bone formation is delayed when implants are placed immediately at the grafting procedure. Moreover, they report that a delayed placement (after 90 days) of HA-coated implants resulted in a greater amount of bone bonding to the implant surface. Using RFA, Sjöström and colleagues\textsuperscript{164} compared implants placed in grafted and non-grafted normal bone in the maxilla. They present results in which 8% of the implants were lost when placed in the grafted bone vs. 1% in non-grafted bone. The bone grafting procedure was either interpositional grafting following a LeFort I osteotomy if patients had a reversed inter-maxillary relation (five patients), or onlay bone grafting because of thin alveolar
crest and loss of vertical bone height (24 patients). In grafted patients, the bone grafts were harvested from the iliac crest and the implants were placed 6 months after the grafting procedure. Another group consisting of ten patients with edentulous but non-grafted maxillae were included in that study. Two-stage surgery for the implant placement was chosen and the healing time for the implants before loading was 6–8 months depending of their primary stability. The results, using RFA, showed that when applying a delayed surgical procedure in bone grafting, implants placed in grafted bone after a minimum primary healing period of 6 months can be as stable as implants placed in non-grafted maxillary bone\textsuperscript{164}.

Our results from Animal study II showed a tendency of greater amount of BIC in the grafted bone area with the two-stage surgery protocol. This is in line with previously described studies. However, the difference in BIC was not statistically significant. Moreover, the difference between test and control implants for total BIC and for bone area within an ROI was nearly the same. The data in the present thesis could be interpreted as either resulting from insufficient sample size or indicating that a higher amount of BIC can actually be achieved with implants with a rough, fluoridated surface. If the latter is true, this would be in line with Animal study I, in which we showed that fluoridated titanium implants achieve greater BIC and higher implant stability in terms of RFA when placed in an autogenous bone graft.

Although RFA results at implant placement were higher for the group undergoing two-stage surgery (controls), the values were almost identical after 8 weeks of healing. The deviation in RFA value at implant placement could be attributed to the non-incorporated graft and consequently lower implant stability for the group operated with one-stage surgery (test group); however, once the grafted bone was healed and incorporated into the residual bone, RFA results proved to be as high as for the control group.

The exact role of fluoridation cannot be explained regarding the healing process in these animal studies; however, fluoridation of titanium implants could have an influence on the healing process of the graft. Any superiority of fluoridated implants
is probably not entirely due to their topographic features but could also be related to chemical composition. It has been documented that NaF can increase the proliferation rate, and the alkaline phosphatase content of bone cells in vitro\textsuperscript{165}. It has also been reported in the literature that fluoride may act directly on osteoprogenitor cells and undifferentiated osteoblasts which synthesize growth factors rather than stimulating the proliferation of highly differentiated osteoblasts\textsuperscript{166–168}. To my knowledge, there is no evidence that there exists a true chemical bond between fluoride ions and bone cells when using fluoridated implant surfaces. However, it may be true that fluoridation of implants stimulates mesenchymal proliferation of stem cells and could induce more rapid bone healing along the bone-titanium implant interface.

**Clinical and radiographic studies**

In Study III, the intention was to investigate whether there are any differences in marginal bone alterations between implant sites previously augmented with autogenous block, and particulate bone. The radiographic examinations were conducted at baseline (i.e. just after completion of the prosthetic supra-construction), and after 1 and 5 years of loading. However, clinical evaluation of implant stability by means of RFA was performed at the time of implant installation, at abutment surgery, and after 1 year and 3 years in function. Consequently, there is no evaluation of implant stability at 5 years that could be correlated to the radiographic findings. This is clearly a limitation of this study. However, if we separate the results from our radiographic findings and implant stability measurements, we can conclude that since the difference in marginal bone alterations at the implant sites between particulate bone (test) and block bone (control) is insignificant, no obvious guidance can be given as to whether to use particulate or block bone as lateral onlay in cases of severely resorbed and thin maxillae.

Furthermore, we noted in Study III that the marginal bone-level alteration for test and control sites could not be distinguished in the period between 1 year and 5 years of loading. Most of the marginal bone resorption took place during the first year of
loading and only a limited recession of the marginal bone occurred between 1 year and 5 years in function. This is in line with the findings of Nyström et al. who showed that most of the reduction in height of the grafted bone occurs between 3 months and 1 year. They found that the reduction of the bone height was insignificant during the first 3 post-operative months, but it increased and became statistically significant between 3 and 6 months. The reduction in height continued to be significant during the following 6 months but levelled out and had become insignificant by the second follow-up. Nyström et al.’s follow-up study lasted 24 months and was conducted using CT examinations rather than intra-oral radiographic imaging as used in Study III in this thesis. Additionally, bone grafts were in the shape of a horseshoe from the iliac crest and placed on the alveolar ridge as an onlay and fixed using a self-tapping fixture. Despite the fact that our method in Study III differs from that employed in the study by Nyström et al., convincing parallel conclusions can be drawn to state that most of the reduction in graft height occurs during the first year. A plausible cause for the marginal resorption is the remodelling of the bone graft during the initial healing phase.

It can be argued that block bone grafts that are cortico-cancellous could be more stable assuming that they are rigidly fixed. On the other hand, the revascularization process takes longer compared with particulate bone. The particulate bone used in this study was also cortico-cancellous. It can be assumed that because of its particulate nature, the revascularization process could start earlier, hence more rapid maturation and perhaps incorporation of the grafted bone into the residual bone could occur. However, particulate bone grafts could be more susceptible to lateral and even occlusal forces during masticatory function. Clinical circumstances should act as a guide to the type of bone graft best suited for the individual case. For instance, if there are extensive areas with ridge discontinuities and valleys on the lateral alveolar bone, bone blocks may be difficult to fit and may not remain stable even with fixation screws. In these cases a cortico-cancellous bone graft can be milled to pieces and more easily be fit to the defected areas while at the same time augmenting the lateral alveolar ridge for implant placement. However, whenever a more continuous and
even alveolar bone is present, a block bone graft can be chosen for lateral augmentation.

Another issue that needs to be addressed in Study III is the survival and stability of the implants in grafted bone. It was reported\textsuperscript{8} that only two fixtures in two patients were found to be mobile at the abutment surgery and consequently removed. Resonance frequency analysis revealed no significant differences between test and control implants during the 3-year observation period. In another study, conducted by Rasmusson and colleagues\textsuperscript{169}, evaluation of TiO\textsubscript{2}-blasted implants integrated in grafted and non-grafted maxillary bone was performed by RFA at implant placement and abutment surgery 6 months later. The implants were placed in the following groups: onlay block graft, onlay particulate graft, particulate sinus inlay, interpositional block graft, and non-grafted maxilla. No significant differences were observed between the first and second measurements; however, implants placed in interpositional bone grafts exhibited a significantly lower RFA value compared with other groups. The implants used in Study III were surface-modified titanium implants with titanium dioxide (TiOblast\textsuperscript{TM}; Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden). Our results are to some extent in line with the results presented by Rasmusson et al.\textsuperscript{169}. Whether or not titanium dioxide surface modifications used in our study had any effect on the results remains unknown because the same implant type was used on both test and control sides. However, it should be added that the moderately rough TiOblast\textsuperscript{TM} implants used in our study have an $S_a$ value of 1.1 $\mu$m\textsuperscript{170}. Moderately rough surfaces have previously been described by Albrektsson & Wennerberg\textsuperscript{146} to exhibit stronger bone response. It has also been reported in the literature that TiOblast\textsuperscript{TM}, like OsseoSpeed\textsuperscript{TM}, has micro-threads which have been clinically documented to maintain bone level better compared with implants without micro-threads\textsuperscript{171}. De Bruyn and colleagues\textsuperscript{172} have reported a total survival rate of 100\% during a 3-year follow-up of immediate function of seven to nine Astra Tech TiOblast\textsuperscript{TM} implants placed in 25 patients. The authors did not exclude patients with smoking habits. Based on individual implants, a mean bone loss of 0.16 mm was reported between 3 months and 1 year. Only 3.5\% of the implants showed bone loss above 1 mm. According to
the report, abutments were placed in a one-stage procedure and fixtures were functionally loaded on the day of surgery with a ten-unit provisional bridge. In another study, by Steveling and co-workers\textsuperscript{173}, the same type of TiO\textsubscript{2}-blasted implants were used for treatment of single-tooth and partial edentulism in the maxilla. The implants were loaded after 3 months of healing and were followed up for 5 years. No implants were lost during the observation period. The average marginal bone loss was reported to be \(0.5\pm0.7\) mm after 1 year, \(0.6\pm0.7\) mm after 3 years and \(0.9\pm1.6\) mm after 5 years.

It can be concluded from Study III and previously reported studies that marginal bone loss and survival of titanium implants is dependent on factors such as implant design, surface structure and time of loading. The type of autogenous bone graft, i.e. block or particulate, does not seem to affect the prognosis of the implants placed within the grafted area.

In Study IV, we studied the volumetric changes of autogenous bone grafts in the form of lateral onlay block (control) and lateral onlay particulate bone (test) grafts over a period of 2 years. To obtain a 3D picture of the grafted area, we used CT as the radiographic method of choice. Computed tomography scans were taken within 1 month after the grafting procedure (the first post-grafting CT) and after 6 and 24 months. To my knowledge, there have been only a few prospective or retrospective long-term follow-up studies evaluating the extent of volumetric changes in onlay autogenous bone grafts.

Changes in the volume and density of calvarial split bone grafts after alveolar ridge augmentation were studied by Smolka et al.\textsuperscript{174}. In their study, 15 patients underwent augmentation of the alveolar ridge by calvarial autogenous bone grafts. Seven patients had mandibular reconstruction, another seven received maxillary augmentation and one was subjected to both mandibular and maxillary reconstruction. Post-operative CT scans were taken immediately after the grafting procedure and before implantation after a post-operative period of 6 months in all 15
patients. In five patients, additional follow-up was performed after 1 year. The authors report a mean average volume of 83.8% for all transplants (51 calvarial bone), corresponding to a mean volume reduction of 16.2% after 6 months. In the patient group with 1-year follow-up (26 grafts in five patients), the mean volume reduction was 10.7% after 6 months and 19.2% after 1 year.

In Study IV, results presented by us after 6 months showed a volume reduction from 4,842.7±1,521.4 mm$^3$ at first post-grafting CT examination to 1,645.2±565.3 mm$^3$ after 6 months on the test side augmented with particulate bone. On the control side augmented by block bone, the volume was reduced from 4,835.5±1,005.6 mm$^3$ at the first post-grafting CT examination to 2,999.3±1,452.6 mm$^3$ after 6 months. At the 24-month CT examination, the bone graft volume was 848.6 ± 324.3 mm$^3$ (test side) and 1,065.6±306.4 mm$^3$ (control side). Hence, there was a continuing reduction of the grafted volume. However, most of the reduction took place during the first 6 months. Our results from Study IV and the results presented by Johansson et al.$^{27}$, who also used autogenous bone from the iliac crest, show extensive volume reduction from 0 to 6 months. It has been reported in the literature that IM bone grafts retain volume better compared with grafts with an EC origin.$^{111}$ In a study by Ozaki & Buchman$^{114}$, volume maintenance of onlay bone grafts in the craniofacial skeleton was evaluated. Twenty-five New Zealand rabbits were used and three graft types were placed onto each rabbit cranium: Cortical bone graft of membranous origin. Cortical and cancellous bone graft of EC origin were compared. The authors showed a significantly greater resorption rate in the cancellous EC bone graft compared with either the cortical EC or the cortical membranous bone grafts. In addition, there was no significant difference in resorption rates between the EC and membranous cortical bone grafts. The authors concluded that cortical bone grafts are the superior onlay grafting material.

The embryologic origin of the grafted bone has by some publications been pointed out to be a factor in volume maintenance.$^{114}$ Authors such as Kusiak and co-workers$^{117}$ have discussed the role of the revascularization process. They have shown
that IM bone revascularizes more rapidly compared with EC bone. According to Wong & Rabie\textsuperscript{175}, despite the fact that EC bone taken from the iliac crest is more cancellous than IM bone, it is conceivable that the difference in the revascularization process is more dependent on the extracellular matrices and their content of angiogenic mediators and other growth factors than just on the 3D osseous architecture of the grafted bone. The authors have related the lack of volume maintenance of EC bone grafted into the host IM bone to lack of integration and incorporation. Furthermore, Rabie and colleagues\textsuperscript{176} have identified the cells involved in the healing of the autogenous IM and EC bone grafts in the skull of 18 rabbits. In IM bone, they observed preosteoblasts, osteoblasts and osteocytes with no cartilage intermediate stage. By contrast, in EC bone, they observed chondroblasts and chondrocytes.

It is clear that when EC bone from the iliac crest is used, the volume of the grafted bone is extensively reduced. However, to the best my knowledge, it has not been established how long the resorption process continues. There are some studies that have reported reduction in grafted bone height. Verhoeven and co-workers\textsuperscript{177} claim a decrease of ca. 25\% in the overall height of the graft during the first 6 months. Swart & Allard\textsuperscript{178} report a 44\% decrease of the grafted bone height after 5 years in 26 patients who received cortico-cancellous bone from the iliac crest. In spite of these reports, few 3D volumetric measurements have been accomplished to assess volume reduction of autogenous onlay bone grafts in long-term follow-up studies. Sbordone et al.\textsuperscript{179} have studied volume changes of autogenous bone grafts after alveolar ridge augmentation of atrophic maxillae and mandibles. In their study, two donor sites were utilized for the grafting procedure: the mandibular parasympysis and the iliac crest area. The authors report an average resorption of 42\% when the onlay was positioned in the anterior maxilla (iliac crest graft) and 46\% when the onlay was positioned in the posterior maxilla (chin graft). In the mandible, resorption amounts of 31\% and 59\% were observed when onlay grafts (iliac crest) were positioned in the anterior and posterior mandible, respectively.
Table 2. This table depicts the results from studies conducted on volume changes of autogenous onlay bone grafts from the iliac crest.

Table 3. This table represents the mean reduction of the reported results from different studies.
Recently, a 6-year follow-up study on volume changes of autogenous bone grafts harvested from the iliac crest was reported. Both maxillary and mandibular onlay grafts were examined. Computed tomography was used to analyse volume reduction. After 6 years, a reduction of 87% was recorded for blocks grafted in the mandible. For maxillary grafts, complete resorption of the grafts (mean 105.5%) was noted. No implant failure was recorded.

In Study IV of the present thesis, the volume reduction of the particulate bone graft (test) after 24 months was 81.1±8.3%. On the control side augmented by block bone, the grafted volume decreased by 77.8±5.2%. The difference between test and control sides was not statistically significant. This could be due to either insufficient sample size, or to there being no difference in fact in resorption pattern between particulate and block bone.

Whether our results regarding volume reduction were affected by previous smoking habits of the patients remains unknown. Other factors such as gender and the use of dentures after 1 month following the grafting procedure and 10 days after implant placement could have had some effects on the resorption pattern.

Changes in bone graft width were also calculated in Study IV. Our results show a reduction in bone graft width at the test side of 51.5±7.5%. On the control side augmented by onlay block, the graft was reduced by 49.9±8.1% (n.s.). In the study by Nyström et al., in which the authors used horseshoe-shaped iliac bone combined with Brånemark® fixtures in a one-stage procedure, the reduction in bone graft width 3 weeks post-operatively was 12.2 mm and after 12 months, 8.7 mm. After 24 months the width of the graft was reported to be 8.6 mm, which is almost the same as after 12 months. It was also reported that most of the bone loss occurred during the first 3 months. Little reduction took place between 12 and 24 months. Reduction in bone graft width was more extensive in Study IV compared with Nyström et al.’s study. This could be due to differences in augmentation technique. With regard to total volume change, our results in Study IV illustrate that there is indeed a continuous
volume reduction in the grafted bone, whether in the form of a particulate or block onlay, over 24 months.

The fixtures used in Study IV were TiOblast™ implants (Astra Tech AB, Mölndal, Sweden). These are made of pure titanium, blasted with titanium dioxide particles which make the surface texture moderately rough. Ivanoff and colleagues²⁸ have shown significantly higher BIC with TiO₂-blasted micro-implants compared with turned/machined implants in both maxilla and mandible. They also showed significantly higher bone area within threads in mandible in favour of TiO₂-blasted implants. Gotfredsen et al.¹⁸¹ claimed that TiO₂-blasted implants have better mechanical anchorage compared with machined surface implants. Although the results presented by these authors could not show a statistically significant difference in direct BIC, higher resistance with removal torque was demonstrated for the TiO₂-blasted implants. Wennerberg et al.¹⁸² show higher removal torque and BIC for TiO₂-blasted implants compared with implants with turned/machined surface. Consequently, it can be concluded that implants with higher surface roughness, in the form of either fluoridated implants or implants blasted with titanium dioxide, exhibit more BIC and stronger resistance to shear force. Although histomorphometrical analysis has not been performed in Study IV and the extent of resorption of the grafted bone in that study is remarkably high, CT scans show that the implants are well imbedded within the grafted and residual bone (Figure 7).
In conclusion, fluoridated titanium implants have shown to obtain better osseointegration within grafted bone. Although we could not show any statistically significant difference between one and two-stage surgery when using titanium implants with fluoride surface modification, similar bone tissue response and stability after 8 weeks was obtained when placing the implants in fresh or healed autogenous bone grafts. Furthermore, marginal bone alterations have proved to be more in augmented areas (Study III) compared with implants placed in residual bone, as mentioned previously in a study by De Bruyn and colleagues\textsuperscript{172}. Before we started Study IV, we could find no reports of long-term follow-up of volume changes in autogenous onlay bone grafts from the iliac crest in the scientific literature. Results from Study IV showed extensive resorption (up to 81.1\%) after 24 months, with no implant loss. Although total resorption of maxillary onlay grafts has recently been claimed by Sbordone et al.\textsuperscript{180}, after 6 years, no implant failure was reported. Therefore, I do believe that although a great amount of resorption of autogenous onlay bone grafts takes place and that the volume reduction may continue even after
24 months, it is still possible to rehabilitate patients with autogenous onlay bone blocks from the iliac crest and titanium implants with surface modification.
CONCLUSIONS

When using fluoridated moderately rough titanium implants in healed autogenous onlay bone grafts, a greater amount of BIC can be achieved compared to implants with turned surfaces. Additionally, a higher degree of stability can be achieved with fluoridated implants after 8 weeks of healing.

Fluoridated moderately rough titanium implants placed in autogenous onlay grafts show no significant differences in osseointegration and stability between fresh and healed bone grafts.

No significant difference was shown in marginal bone-level alteration between block or particulate bone grafts over a 5-year period. Most of the marginal bone-level change took place during the initial healing time and the first year of loading.

No significant differences in resorption were seen between autogenous block and particulate bone from the iliac crest. The volume reduction was extensive at 6 months and continued until 24 months and may continue to reduce further; however, the implants will have a good prognosis when titanium implants with a modified surface structure such as titanium dioxide are used.
FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

In the future, a 10-year follow-up with volume and marginal bone-level measurements in the same patient material would be interesting to examine whether continued resorption has occurred after 24 months. A clinical evaluation with stability measurements would show how many of the implants remain in function after 10 years. Moreover, other bone augmentation techniques such as the use of growth factors in a particulate bone scaffold could be compared with autogenous bone with no additive growth factors. The drawbacks with radiographic studies is the difficulty in involving patients due to ethical considerations regarding repeated radiation doses through CT scans. However, I hope that this problem will be overcome through the usage of Cone Beam CT by which one can conduct a prospective study where patients can be followed up after 6, 12 and 24 months and hopefully longer.
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