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To my beloved family
“If you think research is expensive, try disease.”
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"During the last three decades, the areas of inherited white matter (WM) disorders have expanded."
ABSTRACT

During the last three decades, the areas of inherited white matter (WM) disorders have expanded. Advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and genetics have led to increased detection of adult-onset WM disorders. Hereditary diffuse leukencephalopathy with spheroids (HDLS) is an adult-onset, invariably lethal, brain WM disorder with an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. The clinical symptoms are characterized by a constellation of features that progress to a devastating disease with multiple neurological impairments. The neuropathological hallmarks of HDLS are demyelination and the presence of axonal spheroids.

The overall aim of this study was to gather enough clinical cases, radiological images, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers and molecular genetic data to place HDLS in a nosographic context and define its relationship with other neurodegenerative disorders.

We updated the original Swedish HDLS family and created a pedigree consisting of 166 individuals. Fifteen of those cases were affected with HDLS, including two new cases. The clinical course was different in the two recent cases, with a sub-acute and a more chronic variant, respectively. Familial clustering of HDLS is not always obvious and in the Mayo Clinic HDLS collection we found that all of our cases had been misdiagnosed with other more common neurological disorders. Using exome sequencing, we identified the colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) mutation in 14 Mayo Clinic HDLS families. MRIs of 15 of these CSF1R mutation carriers demonstrated asymmetric WM lesions (WML) with frontoparietal predominance. With diffusion weighted-, and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI/DWI) we defined three different stages of HDLS pathology, and detected a peripheral rim of restricted diffusion that had a centrifugal migration from the anterior ventricular horns. This might be pathognomonic for the original Swedish type of HDLS.

In conclusion, HDLS is a distinct disease entity and the combination of clinical features such as frontal lobe syndromes, pyramidal-, extrapyramidal-, parietal- and visual signs, as well as WML in a characteristic frontoparietal distribution gives diagnostic clues. To clarify the distinction between the unknown genetics of the original Swedish family and the CSF1R mutation carriers, we propose to use molecular classification of HDLS type 1 and type 2, respectively. Results from our studies indicate that HDLS is probably primarily a neuroaxonal degeneration. Thus, elucidating the molecular mechanism of HDLS may provide novel insights into neurodegeneration.
"Syftet med studien var att öka kunskapen om HDLS samt dess relation till andra neurodegenerativa sjukdomar..."
Forskningen kring sjukdomar i hjärnans vita substans, vilka kan vara både ärfliga och förvärvade, har ökat kraftigt under de senaste årtiondena och har blivit ett viktigt kliniskt område med nya differential diagnostiska utmaningar. En av dessa sjukdomar, ”Hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with spheroids” (HDLS) är en ärflig, dödlig sjukdom som debuterar hos personer i vuxen ålder. De kliniska symtomen karaktäriseras av en blandning av fynd från de många bansystemen i hjärnan där Patienten snabbt kan försämras till en vegetativ nivå. I hjärnan ses typiska förändringar i den vita substansen med sämre isolering runt och ballongliknande förändringar, ”spheroids”, på nervtrådarna.

Syftet med studien var att öka kunskapen om HDLS samt dess relation till andra neurodegenerativa sjukdomar genom att samlar in kliniska, radiologiska, neurokemiska och genetiska data.

Studien uppdaterar den ursprungliga svenska HDLS släkten med 166 individer varav 15 har blivit diagnostiserade med HDLS samt inkluderar 14 HDLS släkter från Mayo-kliniken, USA. Två olika kliniska förlopp kan urskiljas, ett med snabb progression och ett med kroniskt förlopp dominerat av psykiatiska symtom. Studien demonstrerar att diagnosstriken av HDLS kan vara mycket svår eftersom familjeträdet inte alltid indikerar en ärflig sjukdom och således kan HDLS misstolkas som en sporadisk sjukdom. Alla inkluderade fall från USA hade ursprungligen feldiagnostiserats som andra neurologiska sjukdomar. Vid analys med genteknologisk metodik upptäcktes en ny mutation i genen för colony stimulating factor 1 receptorn ($CSF1R$) i de 14 HDLS släkterna från Mayo kliniken. Denna mutation kunde ej påvisas hos de svenska HDLS patienterna. Femton av de amerikanska HDLS patienterna upprissade en mer ojämn utbredning av vitsubstanslesionerna i framför allt hjärnans frontal- och parientallob jämfört med de svenska HDLS patienterna. Avancerade radiologiska metoder påvisade tre olika stadier av sjukdomen och en spridning av sjukdomen som startade från främre delen av hjärnans ventriklar och spreder sig symmetrisk utåt för att stanna vid skiljelinjen mellan den grå och vita hjärnsubstansen. Spridningsmekanismen kan vara ett karakteristiskt fynd vid HDLS.

Studien demonstrerar att HDLS är en enhetlig sjukdom med kombinationer av symtom från flera olika bansystem, med typiska förändringar i hjärnans vita substans och med primär skada av nervtrådar. Användning av molekylär klassifikation med typ 1 och typ 2 föreslås för att lättare differentiera mellan den svenska HDLS släkten med okänd genmutation och $CSF1R$ mutation. Studien har givit ökad kunskap om den molekylära mekanismen som orsakar HDLS och dess patofysiologi vilket ger ökad förståelse för andra neurodegenerativa sjukdomar med skadlig effekt på hjärnan samt kan på sikt möjligöra utveckling av terapi för neurodegenerativa sjukdomar.
I. Update of the original HDLS kindred: divergent clinical courses.


II. Hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with axonal spheroids (HDLS): a misdiagnosed disease entity.


III. Mutations in the colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) gene cause hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with spheroids.


IV. MRI characteristics and scoring in HDLS due to CSF1R gene mutations.


V. Different stages of white matter changes in the original HDLS family revealed by advanced MRI techniques.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALD</td>
<td>Adrenoleukodystrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CADASIL</td>
<td>Cerebral Autosomal Dominant Arteriopathy with Subcortical Infarcts and Leukoencephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF-1</td>
<td>Colony stimulating factor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF1R</td>
<td>Colony stimulating factor 1 receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP12</td>
<td>DNAX-activating protein of kDA 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTI</td>
<td>Diffusion tensor imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWI</td>
<td>Diffusion weighted imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDLS</td>
<td>Hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with spheroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD</td>
<td>Logarithm of the odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD</td>
<td>Metachromatic leukodystrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Multiple sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA</td>
<td>N-Acetylaspartate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAWM</td>
<td>Normal appearing white matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFL</td>
<td>Neurofilament light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFP</td>
<td>Neurofilament proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHD</td>
<td>Nasu-Hakola disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMD</td>
<td>Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPMS</td>
<td>Primary progressive multiple sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREM2</td>
<td>Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYROBP</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine kinase-binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWM</td>
<td>Vanishing white matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>White matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WML</td>
<td>White matter lesions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
"Leukoencephalopathies encompass a heterogeneous group of disorders that predominantly affect the brain’s white matter..."
5.1 LEUKOENCEPHALOPATHIES

Leukoencephalopathies encompass a heterogeneous group of disorders that predominantly affect the brain's white matter (WM), regardless if the myelin damage is primary or secondary, and irrespective of a molecular cause (van der Knaap et al., 2005). Traditionally leukoencephalopathy is a term used for extensive, often bilateral WM lesions (WML). Today it is commonly used for any of the group of diseases affecting the cerebral WM.

WML are evident on histological and potentially on neuroimaging examinations. They have a wide variety of causes, including cerebrovascular diseases, inborn errors of metabolism, infections, inflammation, mitochondrial disorders, neurodegenerative disorders, normal pressure hydrocephalus, nutritional conditions, toxins and trauma (Kim et al., 2008; van der Knaap et al., 2005). Today’s widespread use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has lead to frequent findings of brain WM abnormalities, even in cases where these are not clinically suspected. Many rating scales have been developed to assess the location and the severity of WML and analyze factors such as the correlation with age, cognition, risk factors, and pathology (Bolandzadeh et al., 2012; Eichler et al., 2009; Loes et al., 1994a; Loes et al., 1999; Scheltens et al., 1998). However, since the clinical significance, etiology, and therapeutic implications vary widely, a precise definition of the disease and its etiology is crucial. This is particularly important for inborn errors of metabolism, some of the leukodystrophies, infections, and inflammatory conditions where treatments are available. Detection of WML by MRI is highly sensitive but has limited specificity in detecting the underlying pathology (van der Knaap et al., 2005). Many of these disorders remain poorly characterized, with unknown genetic determinants and biochemical pathways. In order to enhance the development of therapeutic interventions it is imperative to dissect and sub-classify the various leukoencephalopathies according to the underlying pathophysiological mechanism responsible for the WM disorders.

Disorders of myelin have been classified into the following (Poser, 1957, 1961):

1. **Myelinoclastic or demyelination:** where there is destruction of the normal constituent of myelin and applied for diseases such as multiple sclerosis (MS).

2. **Dysmyelination:** where myelin is not formed properly or is delayed or arrested (defect in myelinogenesis) and is applied for disorders such as Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease (PMD).
Poser’s idea was that this classification should distinguish between acquired and inherited myelin disorders. However, this classification was not perfect and did not encompass all disorders, because some dysmyelinating disorders were caused by external factors, and some inherited disorders had normal myelin that was later broken down and lost (van der Knaap et al., 2005).

In this thesis the focus is on two specific subgroups of the leukoencephalopathies, the leukodystrophies and the neuroaxonal dystrophies/degeneration.

5.2 "LEUKODYSTROPHIES" & “NEUROAXONAL DYSTROPHIES"

Leukodystrophy (leuko-white, dystrophy-defective nutrition) has been a commonly applied term for more than 100 years defining progressive inherited demyelinating disorders (Raymond et al., 2011). There have been several definitions of the term leukodystrophy, but generally, this has been applied to progressive, inherited demyelinating disorders (van der Knaap et al., 2005). Neuroaxonal dystrophy, or degeneration, is used when WM damage is secondary to axonal pathology. In addition, these terms have historical relevance that stems from meticulous clinical and neuropathological investigation and documentation during the morphological and biochemical eras of the 19th and early 20th centuries. Various entities have been described during these periods. The boundary between the leukodystrophies and the neuraxonal disorders was often unclear, and several leukodystrophies and neuraxonal dystrophies were ultimately found to be secondary to other pathological processes. This has led to the characterization of many syndromes and disorders and created the foundations for today’s knowledge.

The morphological diagnostic era

The history of myelin dates back to 1854 when Virchow described the sheaths that enwrap axons in the brain (Virchow R., 1854). Charcot was the first to describe a disorder of the myelin when he identified the plaques found in multiple sclerosis (Charcot, 1877).

Leukodystrophies

Hereditary forms of WM disorders also date back to Charcot’s time, when Pelizaeus clinically described a familial disease that caused spasticity and developmental delay in children. He termed this a familial type of “diffuse sclerosis” (Pelizaeus, 1885). In 1909, Merzbacher described the neuropathology of these affected individuals revealing a severe deficit of the axonic myelin sheaths and an extensive loss of oligodendrocytes, the myelin-producing cells of the CNS. Merzbacher pointed out that all affected family members shared a common female ancestor and that the pattern of inheritance was through the female line and did not involve a male to male transmission (Merzbacher, 1909). Together, they independently, identified the Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease (PMD) that has an X-linked inheritance pattern (Garbern and Hobson, 1993). In 1964, Zeman et al. (Zeman et al., 1964) discovered
that the underlying disturbance in myelination of Pelizaeus-Merzbacher patients was attributed to a failure to form myelin (dysmyelination) rather than to a breakdown of preexisting myelin (demyelination), which had been postulated by others (Seitelberger, 1957; Spielmeyer, 1923). The identified gene, proteolipid protein (PLP), can cause different phenotypes ranging from severe PMD to spastic paraplegia 2 (SPG 2) with or without brain involvement (Garbern and Hobson, 1993).

The first patient with adrenoleukodystrophy (ALD) was reported in 1910 (Haberfeld, 1910), and its X-linked recessive inheritance pattern was documented five decades later (Fanconi, 1963). When the characteristic feature of ALD was detected, which consisted of lipid inclusions of very long-chain fatty acids (VLCFA), a precise diagnosis was facilitated. In 1976 the adult form of the disease began to be reported. The ALD locus was later mapped to the X chromosome in 1981, and the putative gene, \textit{ABCD1}, was identified using positional cloning strategies in 1993 (Mosser et al., 1993; Steinberg et al., 1993).

Coincidentally, Nissl (Nissl, 1910) and Alzheimer (Alzheimer, 1910) reported metachromatic staining of the brain WM of an adult patient. Subsequently metachromatic deposits were demonstrated in visceral organs in addition to the brain (Witte, 1921). However, the first report of metachromatic leukodystrophy (MLD) is commonly credited to Greenfield (Greenfield, 1933). During the 1960s sulfatide accumulation caused by reduced activity of arylsulfatase A was identified as the metachromatic deposits found in MLD (Austin et al., 1964). In the years that followed, heterogeneous forms were described with respect to age of onset, initial symptoms, and rate of progression. The causative gene, \textit{ARSA}, was identified thirty years later (Fluharty, 1993; Polten et al., 1991).

Attributing the first description of orthochromatic leukodystrophy is not an easy task, since originally it was used to describe all leukodystrophies without metachromatic staining. Similarly, sudanophilic leukodystrophy is a broad term given to cases with staining properties that demonstrate the sudanophilic breakdown products of myelin. Leukodystrophies may also be combined with other organ lesions for instance phakomatosis (neurocutaneous syndromes) or cerebral malformations (Peiffer, 1970).

The Danish neurologist Knud Haraldsen Krabbe reported in 1913 on a child with slowed mental and motor development and named this disease “diffuse sclerosis of the brain.” Three years later he published 5 similar cases with detailed clinical and neuropathological descriptions of familial occurrence that included complete destruction of the brain axis-cylinders and medullary sheaths. The destroyed tissue was replaced by neuroglia, and there was an infiltration of fatty granule-cells and gliogenous scavenger cells; the characteristic globoid cells (Krabbe, 1916). The adult variant of Krabbe disease was reported 11 years later (Ferraro, 1927). Krabbe disease, also known as globoid cell leukodystrophy, is an autosomal recessive disease caused by a deficiency of the enzyme galactocerebrosidase due to mutation in the gene \textit{GALC}. Today Krabbe disease is categorized as a lysosomal storage disorder (Wenger, 1993).
The discovery of a brain disease with spongy degeneration of WM is attributed to Canavan (Canavan, 1931). Its autosomal recessive inheritance pattern was recognized in 1949 (Van Bogaert, 1949). Similar cases had been published earlier under different names (Globus, 1928). In 1988, it was discovered that a defect in the enzyme aspartoacylase, which is synthesized in the oligodendroglia, results in a high concentration of N-acetylaspartic acid / N-acetylaspartate (NAA) in the urine and brain. Interestingly, NAA is synthesized in the neurons, further indicating a connection between the glia cells and neurons in the maintenance of myelin (Raymond et al., 2011). The MRI shows general WM involvement and the causative gene, ASPA, has been established. (Kaul et al., 1994; Kaul et al., 1993; Matalon and Michals-Matalon, 1993; Matalon et al., 1988).

The development of megalencephaly in infancy accompanied by progressive spasticity and dementia was first described in 1949 and named Alexander disease (Alexander, 1949). The neuropathology was characteristic of fibrinoid degeneration of the astrocytes due to the presence of large numbers of fuchsinophil bodies in the WM. These so called Rosenthal fibers had been described already in 18th Century (Rosenthal, 1898). Adult patients had heterogeneous symptoms, distinctly different from childhood onset and were diagnosed first during neuropathological investigation. The discovery of the glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) gene made Alexander disease the first genetic disorder of astrocytes and a growing number of adult cases have also been reported. It has thus become evident that the adult cases may not have the WML of the childhood cases, and may only have atrophy infratentorially. While the inheritance is autosomal dominant, spontaneous occurrence accounts for most of the cases (Gorospe, 1993; Howard et al., 1993; Schwankhaus et al., 1995).

In the 1980s a comprehensive presentation of an adult onset autosomal dominant leukodystrophy (ADLD) that mimicked progressive multiple sclerosis (MS) was published (Eldridge et al., 1984). This disease was linked to chromosome 5q31 (Coffeen et al., 2000). A few years later, a large Swedish family with symptom onset in the fifth to sixth decade, often initiated by autonomic dysfunction, was extensively characterized both clinically and by brain MRI. The data suggested that the disorder was the same disease as reported by Eldridge et al. (Eldridge et al., 1984; Melberg et al., 2006). The causative gene duplication of lamin B1 (LMNB1) was reported (Padiath et al., 2006; Schuster et al., 2011).

In 2004, Power classified leukodystrophies into 4 groups as pure leukodystrophies or combined with other pathology and presumed or known to have the following components (Powers, 2004):

1. genetic cause
2. progressive clinical course
3. predominant involvement of the brain’s WM
4. primary destruction of myelin or myelinating cells
With this classification system other WM disorders should be excluded from the group of leukodystrophies, even if they fulfill the first three criteria of Powers (Raymond et al., 2011).

**Neuroaxonal degeneration (neuroaxonal dystrophies)**

During the 1920s Hallervorden and Spatz encountered a family with a progressive neurological disorder that presented with extrapyramidal features and mental deterioration. Neuropathology revealed high levels of iron in globus pallidus and the zona reticulata of the substantia nigra. There was also severe axonopathy, termed “spheroid bodies” (Hallervorden and Spatz, 1922). The first name to this disease was Hallervorden–Spatz disease, but for ethical reasons it is now called pantothenate kinase-associated neurodegeneration (PKAN). Subsequently, further cases were reported and heterogeneity in both clinical and morphological presentations was recognized. In the 1950s, Seitelberger described the early-onset form, which was labeled “infantile neuroaxonal dystrophy” (INAD), because it did not have excessive iron pigments in the basal ganglia (Cowen and Olmstead, 1963; Seitelberger and Gross, 1957). Both disorders demonstrated widespread accumulation of axonal swellings, axonal spheroids, and damage to the WM, which only affected the central nervous system (CNS) in PKAN. These disorders continue to be discussed in the context of the interactions between neurons and glia (Hyden, 1962). Whether these syndromes are distinct entities or manifestations of a continuum has been debated for many years. With recent advances in neurogenetics, the term “**syndromes of neurodegeneration with brain iron accumulation**,” (NBIA), has been widely accepted. This encompasses a clinically and genetically heterogeneous group of disorders that have defects in the iron metabolism pathway resulting in excessive iron deposition in the brain. They are mostly autosomal recessive inherited and there are several genes underlying the group of NBIA syndromes such as **PANK2, PLA2G6, FA2H, C19orf12, ATP13A2, CP; and FTL** (Schneider and Bhatia, 2012).

In 1936 van Bogaert and Nyssen reported a family with 18 children where at least 4 were described with neuropsychiatric symptoms starting in their 40s. The neuropathology showed extensive demyelination and axonopathy and they named the report “**Le type tardif de la leucodystrophie progressive familial**” (Van Bogaert, 1936).

The neurodegenerative disorder, **Giant Axonal Neuropathy** (GAN), which affects both the peripheral and the CNS was reported 1970s (Peiffer et al., 1977). The inheritance pattern is autosomal recessive, but the clinical presentation may vary with a milder form of the disease and a later age of onset. The resemblance to INAD had been noted but the characteristic excessive neurofilament storage in peripheral nerves and the discovery of the **GAN gene mutation resulted in it being designated as a separate entity** (Bomont et al., 2000; Kuhlenbaumer et al., 1993).

Nasu and Hakola defined an autosomal recessive disease in 1970 characterized by progressive dementia and lipomembranous polycystic osteodysplasia and named it **Nasu-Hakola disease**, (NHD) (Hakola et al., 1970; Nasu et al., 1973; Nasu et al.,
This had also been described in Sweden, Finland, and Japan under different names during 1960s (Järvi et al., 1964; Terayama, 1961). The neuropathology is characterized by profound demyelination with loss of axons and myelin, accumulation of axonal spheroids, and astrogliosis in the predominated WM of frontal and temporal lobes and basal ganglia. There is also widespread activation of microglia which has recently been suggested as the primary underlying factor responsible for this disease (Bianchin et al., 2010). Either of 2 genes, TREM2 and TYROBP (DAP12) are mutated and causative of NHD (Paloneva et al., 1993). A closely related neuropathological disease was named dermatoleukodystrophy with neuroaxonal spheroids a few years later. This disease was very similar, but had specific skin disturbances. (Matsuyama et al., 1978).

In the beginning of the 1970s, a family, with multiple individuals affected by neuropsychiatric signs was evaluated at the Neurology Department at Sahlgrenska Hospital, Sweden. Four of these patients were evaluated by the same physician, who found the symptoms of each case to be compatible with a multifocal encephalopathy. Surprised by the fact that none of the other disorders known at the time fitted the symptoms the physician then searched for a diagnosis. Consequently, a new disease was identified and the pedigree was highly suggestive of an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern. As the main morphological brain feature was diffuse degeneration and loss of both myelin and axons, together with numerous axonal swellings and spheroids, the name choice was clear: Hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with spheroids (HDLS). This reflected both the inheritance pattern and the brain autopsy findings. The report of this family was published in 1984 (Axelsson et al., 1984).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and Genetic era

In 1981 the diagnostic field completely changed when it became possible to detect WML on MRI in living patients (Young et al., 1981). This sparked increasing interest in WM disorders (WMD) in children, and it became evident that many WMD could have specific patterns of MRI abnormalities, such as Alexander disease, MLD, ALD and some hypomyelinating disorders (Kristjansdottir et al., 1996; Schiffmann and van der Knaap, 2009; van der Knaap et al., 2005; van der Knaap et al., 1991). With the start of the genetic revolution in 1988, causative gene mutations were identified due to well-described clinical and histological entities but also MRI based diagnosis (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/GeneTests; Schiffmann and van der Knaap, 2009; van der Knaap et al., 2005). As a consequence of new genetic approaches it now seems likely that reports of infant and childhood disorders, predominantly reflect ascertainment bias because adult-onset cases had been frequently misdiagnosed with other conditions.

In 1993 MRI characterized vanishing white matter disease (VWM), which was a “new” leukencephalopathy with cavitary degeneration of the cerebral WM ( Hanefeld et al., 1993; Schiffmann et al., 1994). It soon became clear that this disease had been described many times since the beginning of the 1960s but under different names (Anzil and Gessaga, 1972; Deisenhammer and Jellinger, 1976; Eicke, 1962; Gautier
et al., 1984; Girard et al., 1968; Graveleau et al., 1985; Watanabe and Muller, 1967). It is an autosomal recessive disorder with a highly variable phenotype, and it affects individuals at all ages, although it is most prevalent in childhood. The MRI is diagnostic in most cases, and the genetic heterogeneity with mutations in 5 different genes, \( (EIF2B1, EIF2B2, EIF2B3, EIF2B4, EIF2B5) \) encoding the five subunits of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2B (eIF2B) on 5 different chromosomes were subsequently elucidated (Leegwater et al., 2001; Schiffmann et al., 1993).

**Leukoencephalopathy with brainstem and spinal cord involvement and elevated lactate**, (LBSL) is an autosomal recessive disorder that was identified, solely because of the unique MRI appearance (van der Knaap et al., 2003). The causative gene mutation, \( DARS2 \), was identified a few years later (Scheper et al., 2007; Van der Knaap and Scheper, 1993). Neuropathology is so far unknown, but MRS has shown decrease in NAA that might indicate an axonopathy as the primary initiating target (Steenweg et al., 2011; Uluc et al., 2008).

An unprecedented advent with the MRI was the in vivo assessment of the nature of myelin and the process of myelination (van der Knaap et al., 1991). Children with delayed development could now be evaluated for the state of maturation of the myelin (Pujol et al., 2004). Assessment of myelination is now a key component of evaluating the child (Schiffmann and van der Knaap, 2009). The MRI defined term hypomyelination implies permanent deficit in the myelin deposition in the brain, and compasses a growing number of disorders due to the expanded use of MRI. MRI based criterion for diagnosis of hypomyelination is established and defined as an unchanged pattern of deficient myelination on 2 successive MRI scans at least 6 months apart. (Schifferman and Van der Knap 2009). This is in sharp contrast to demyelination disorders where myelin is formed but subsequently broken down. The MRI signal seen on T1 – and T2 – weighted images of the brain, use WM to discriminate between hypomyelination and demyelination in children. It has also become important to differentiate between delayed myelination and permanent hypomyelination of children, because permanent myelination points to certain specific differential diagnoses (Schiffmann and van der Knaap, 2009).

Novel hypomyelinating diseases with distinct MRI patterns, often with their causal genetic defect, have been described. These diseases include hypomyelination with atrophy of the basal ganglia and cerebellum (H-ABC); hypomyelination and congenital cataract (HCC); and Hypomyelination with cerebellar atrophy and hypoplasia of the corpus callosum (HCAHC); Pol III-related leukodystrophies encompassing hypomyelination, hypodontia, hypogonadotropic, hypogonadism (4H syndrome); ataxia, delayed dentition, and hypomyelination (ADHD); tremor-ataxia with central hypomyelination (TACH); leukodystrophy with oligodontia (LO); and hypomyelination with cerebellar atrophy and hypoplasia of the corpus callosum (HCAHC); fucosidosis; 18q- syndrome; Salla disease; Cockayne syndrome; Tay syndrome; and others. Intriguingly, even neuronal disorders in the infantile stage may present with hypomyelination, such as the gangliosidoses, GM1 and GM2 (Bernard and Vanderver, 1993; Steenweg et al., 2010; Vanderver et al., 2013).
5.3 THE ORIGINAL SWEDISH HDLS FAMILY

In 1971 a 39 year old man presented at the Department of Neurology, Sahlgrenska Hospital, Sweden, due to rapid deterioration of his mental status. He was diagnosed with "dementia per lesionem cerebri" and was transferred to the Psychiatric Department. This interesting case initiated a genealogical and genetic investigation. Data from 1855 to 1966, encompassing four generations, were collected and analyzed. A total of 71 individuals were investigated, and 17 of those (10 men and 7 women) were reported to be affected by a neuropsychiatric disease. The age of symptom onset varied between 8 years to 60 years with a mean of 36 years. Only eight of the cases had a reported duration of the disease ranging from 0.8 years to 34 years, with a mean of 12 years. The age of death varied between 39 years to 89 years, with a mean of 57 years. The clinical diagnoses were psychiatric in 13 cases (5 neurosis, 5 affective psychosis, 2 senile psychosis and 2 alcoholism), neurological in 3 cases (epilepsy, ataxia, and possible MS), and one case did not receive any clinical diagnosis (Case I:1). The first and mostly predominant symptom was the development of psychiatric problems such as depression, anxiety, amnesia, disorientation, irritability and aggressiveness. Neurological symptoms were often attributed later in the disease course and consisted of balance and gait disturbance, extrapyramidal symptoms, ataxia, and epilepsy. All the post-mortem cases were reported to have multiple neurological symptoms at the terminal stage with a multisystem encephalopathy. Four of the cases had detailed clinical and neuropathological descriptions and the characteristic morphology in all of the four cases were diffusely spread demyelinated WM with numerous axonal swellings, called spheroids, of various sizes and shapes. The demyelination did not involve the U-fibers. Atrophic changes were predominantly in the frontal part of the brain. Thus, all of the four cases had an identical leukoencephalopathic process that was unique to this family and not previously reported. A new disease entity was thus established and named hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with spheroids (Axelsson et al., 1984).

The lack of modern diagnostic tools at that time meant the clinicians had to rely on their clinical skills and neuropathological findings. However, one patient (III:20) had a CT scan. This demonstrated normal condition during the early stage of the disease and periventricular low-density during the terminal stage (Axelsson et al., 1984).

5.4 PIGMENTARY ORTHOCHROMATIC LEUKODYSTROPHY (POLD)

An entity defined by its staining characteristics

In 1936, Van Bogaert and Nyssen (Van Bogaert, 1936) drew attention to an adult-onset neurodegenerative disorder characterized by mental deterioration and pyramidal signs, and a neuropathology demonstrating extensive cerebral demyelination with axonopathy.
The family consisted of a father who had six children from his first marriage and 12 children with a second wife. One of the children from the first marriage and three of the children from the second marriage were affected by the disease that they termed: "Le type tardif de la leucodystrophie progressive familiale". The inheritance pattern was not certain, but the father had 2 different wives, yet children from both marriages were affected. We can assume that an autosomal dominant transmission was the most likely. The father committed suicide by the age of 59 years old, and his health status remains unknown.

The neuropathology in one of the cases is described in detail as a macroscopic description of the brain's WM as brownish, soft, and disintegrating, predominantly in the frontal region. There was a diffuse extensive demyelination that tended to spread in a symmetrical centrifugal direction from the ventricular areas to corona radiate, but spared the U-fibers. The most affected lobes were the frontal, followed by parieto-occipitals. The corpus callosum was also severely demyelinated. With Bielschowsky staining in Fig. 11 (Van Bogaert, 1936), axonal damage is present, and the picture is very suggestive of spheroids, which Marotti et al. later confirmed (Marotti et al., 2004; Van Bogaert, 1936). Some vascular changes were present; however, they were minor compared to the extensive demyelination.

While the article by Van Bogaert and Nyssen (Van Bogaert, 1936) did not use the words macrophages, pigments or lipofuscin this has been erroneously cited as the first description of POLD. Why then, is this article cited as the first description of POLD?

The macroscopic brownish discoloration of the WM is frequently cited as indicating the presence of pigments (Constantinidis and Wisniewski, 1991; Moller et al., 2003). However, it is unspecific and often seen in other demyelinating disorders such as progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) (Greenfield et al., 2002) and Krabbe disease (Cruz-Sanchez et al., 1991). A confusion of the presence of pigments in POLD has also been made by Belec et al. (Belec et al., 1988) who referred to the Van Bogaert and Nyssen publication (Van Bogaert, 1936) and cited that pigments were demonstrated by Nissl staining. It is therefore suggested that Belec is probably mixing up the reference to a vascular case described by Nissl (Nissl., 1920) in the Van Bogaert and Nyssen article. However, this confusion has been overlooked and, consequently, many authors have reported that POLD was first described by Van Bogaert and Nyssen (Van Bogaert, 1936). Nevertheless, the reports of POLD as an adult onset leukoencephalopathy started to increase.

Diagnosis was based on the neuropathological characteristics demonstrated by a variety of staining techniques and histochemical features that contained pigments of either iron or lipofuscin, or both. However, there have been heterogeneous reports of POLD, both clinically and pathologically (Calandriello et al., 1992). Pfiffer et al. (Peiffer, 1959) found pigments containing iron and lipid breakdown products similar to lipofuscin, but stated that they were periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) negative in macrophages and other cells. Tunon et al. (Tunon et al., 1988) reported finding of lipofuscin...
pigments in macrophages and to a lesser degree in astrocytes. They also showed fingerprint structures in oligodendrocytes on electron microscopy. Intriguingly, they stated that these findings were not specific for POLD. Both lipid pigment granules and iron were reported by Constantinidis et al. (Constantinidis and Wisniewski, 1991), and electron microscopy showed macrophages with ceroid-lipofuscin-like curvilinear bodies with fingerprint profiles. Möller et al. (Moller et al., 2003) reported a case of POLD with pigments in glial cells that contained iron and lipofuscin; however, these pigments were only demonstrated after a careful search. These reports demonstrate the heterogeneity of POLD which may reflect a description of various entities in different stages of illnesses. Because of the lack of consensus about the nature of pigments and the discrepancy about their amount, POLD cannot be considered a distinct etiological or nosographic entity.

Between 1984 and 2009 at least 6 familial cases of POLD were reported, and the similarity to HDLS stimulated research interest (Wider et al., 2009). Significantly, all four neuropathological cases from the original Swedish HDLS publication had macrophages with foamy cytoplasm and iron positive granules (Axelsson et al., 1984). Iron deposits were also located intracellularly in glial cells. At the periphery of the severely degenerated areas of the brain, lipid laden macrophages were abundant. These findings are very similar to the definition used to describe POLD. A comprehensive review of familial POLD and HDLS cases found only minor differences such as a slightly older age of onset, shorter duration of the disease, and more pyramidal pathology in POLD compared to HDLS. Since both clinical and neuropathological features are similar for HDLS and POLD, this suggests they belong to the same disease spectrum. Adult onset leukencephalopathy with axonal spheroids and pigmentary glia, ALSP, has been suggested as a new name to encompass this combined entity (Wider et al., 2009).

This thesis seeks to clarify the historical discussion on the relationship between POLD and HDLS.

5.5 THERAPEUTIC OPTIONS

Currently, there is neither a cure for HDLS, nor a means to halt its progression. Treatment is mainly supportive, encompasses palliative care and the alleviation of symptoms. HDLS symptomatic therapy includes medication for seizures, anti-emetics, feeding tubes for nutrition, and antibiotics for infections.
"...to place HDLS in a nosographic context, defining its relationship with other neurodegenerative diseases."
The overall aim was to gather enough clinical, radiological, cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers and molecular genetic data to place HDLS in a nosographic context and define its relationship with other neurodegenerative diseases.

Paper I To study and reinvestigate the original Swedish HDLS family.

Paper II To study the clinical, MRI, and neuropathological findings in HDLS from three different kindreds.

Paper III To investigate the molecular genetic background of HDLS.

Paper IV To study the brain MRI patterns of HDLS with a CSF1R gene mutation.

Paper V To study the early evolution and the different stages of white matter changes in an original Swedish HDLS case.
"During the past decade we have experienced an explosion of information in the field of molecular genetics."
During the past decade there has been an explosion of information in the field of molecular genetics. The advancement of genetic tools has made it possible to detect increased causal genetic variation in different diseases and a more detailed understanding of the impact of genetics in different disorders. New knowledge about individual genetic variations, how these can affect development of diseases and the response of these disorders to different agents, has also been identified. Traditionally, hunting for the genetic variants associated with various phenotypes started by studying segregation patterns of affected family members to ascertain Mendelian inheritance. Upon determining this, linkage analyses were then conducted to identify the location of the causal gene variant. Currently, exome sequencing is an increasingly popular and powerful tool to aid in the identification of causal variants in genetic disorders (Shendure and Lieberman Aiden, 2012).

Linkage analysis

Linkage analysis is used to demonstrate the approximate location of a gene by utilizing a known position of another DNA sequence, termed a genetic marker. The basis for linkage analysis is that each pair of the 23 chromosomes contains coding sequences in sections called alleles which code for the same genes in the same order; however the sequences may vary slightly. During meiosis the alleles in the reproductive cells of a child’s parents rearrange, and this process is termed recombination. The recombination fraction represents the probability of the recombination of alleles to take place among a pair of loci during meiosis (Barrett and Dawn Teare, 2010). By utilizing established genetic markers, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) which are common known variations, the recombination frequency can be estimated. Small recombination frequencies mean that two loci are closely located. If there is no recombination, linkage of a variant to the disease-causing gene is established (Barrett and Dawn Teare, 2010). When several loci appear along the same chromosome, it is usually reported as the genetic distance rather than the recombination frequency. The genetic distance is reported in centiMorgans (cM), 1cM = 0.01 recombination. The logarithm of the odd (LOD) score is a statistical test that compares the likelihood that the disease risk locus is located at a specific region, against the likelihood that it resides at a different part of the genome, and thus appears purely by chance. LOD scores are calculated for recombination frequencies to establish significance of linkage with the goal of detecting a high positive score. Traditionally, LOD scores greater than 3.0 (i.e. a 1000 times over random) was generally considered evidence...
for linkage, however empirically obtained p values associated with a peak LOD can now also be used (Dawn Teare and Barrett, 2005).

By mapping the inheritance of markers and their recombination in affected and unaffected members of a family the location of the causal gene can be traced (Barrett and Dawn Teare, 2010). This method of studying linkage between genes has been highly successful in Mendelian disorders such as neurofibromatosis, Huntington disease, spinocerebellar ataxias, migraine, epilepsy and many others. Common complex traits have also been studied by nonparametric linkage analysis to find associations between gene variants and phenotypes such as in APOE in Alzheimer’s disease (Baron, 2001; Pulst, 1999).

**Parametric linkage analysis**

Parametric linkage analysis is used when the mode of inheritance is known. It is a powerful tool for mapping Mendelian disorders with rare risk loci that have high penetrance. It can also detect linkage when there is locus heterogeneity implying that different, distinct genes can independently give rise to the same phenotype (Dawn Teare and Barrett, 2005). Examples include Nasu-Hakola disease (NHD), Charcot Marie Tooth (CMT) and vanishing white matter (VWM).

**Non-parametric linkage**

Non-parametric linkage analysis is a model-free method used when the mode of inheritance is not taken into consideration. It assumes that the pattern of alleles is shared identically by descent (IBD) between affected relatives of the disease. The affected members are expected to have higher sharing of haplotypes that are IBD in the region of the disease gene. Non-parametric linkage is the approach of choice if genetic heterogeneity is expected and for complex diseases. Different methods are used to assess IBD sharing, which is beyond the scope of this thesis (Dawn Teare and Barrett, 2005).

**Exome sequencing**

The ‘exome’ refers to the sequence of the transcribed protein coding regions of the genome. Exome sequencing is a strategy to selectively sequence just these coding regions in the hunt for causal gene variants. The human genome consists of approximately 180,000 exons (which form the exome) and constitutes less than 2% of the human genome but is thought to harbor 85% of disease-causing mutations (Choi et al., 2009; Cooper et al., 1995). The standard Sanger sequencing is a widely used method to determining the order of nucleotides (base sequences) in DNA. The basis for how I performed exon sequencing which can be done manually or automatically is demonstrated in *Figure 1*. 
Figure 1.

Illustration of the different stages during the exon sequencing that I performed in the screening of the original Swedish HDLS family. The first step of sequencing is to collect the DNA and amplify the specific region of interest using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). To perform PCR DNA polymerase, deoxynucleotides (dNTPs) and sequence-specific oligonucleotide primers are added to a reaction tube together with the template DNA. Nucleotides will be incorporated by DNA polymerase into the synthesized sequencing strands in the thermocycle machine which is the amplification process. The amplification cycle has three defined steps performed at defined temperatures; denaturation at 96°C (to single strand DNA), annealing at a defined temperature for the primer to bind to the complementary sequence on the template DNA and extension at 72°C (incorporation of the deoxynucleotides to form the complementary strand). Unincorporated primers and dNTPS are then removed. The product then undergoes a cycle sequence reaction with fluorescently-labelled dideoxynucleotides (ddNTPs) included along with the dNTPs. The ddNTPs are incorporated randomly during extension to produce products of different lengths. These differently sized sequencing products will be separated by either gel or capillary electrophoresis with the different fluorescent signals and lengths allowing the sequences to mapped back to the reference. The aligned sequences are compared to the reference DNA sequence and if a mutation is found screening of controls will be performed.
A limitation of exome sequencing is that it does not assess the impact of noncoding DNA, which constitutes the other approximate 98% of the human genome (Elgar and Vavouri, 2008). It is estimated that a human being has about 21,000 protein-coding genes, although several biological processes such as alternative mRNA splicing and post-translational modification can lead to the production of many more unique proteins than the number of protein-coding genes. Noncoding sequences may also regulate the expression of protein-coding genes, signifying when and where genes are expressed. For example, gene expression may be controlled by different noncoding DNA regulatory sequences, termed enhancers in addition to other complex regulators such as disordered RNA processing (Cooper-Knock et al., 2012; Ferraiuolo et al., 2011; Metzker, 2010).

**Gene Mutations**

The term “mutation” can be confusing as in some disciplines it is used to indicate “a change” while in other disciplines it is used to indicate “a disease-causing change” (Cotton, 2002; den Dunnen and Antonarakis, 2000; http://www.hgvs.org/mutnomen/recs.html#general). Similarly, the term “polymorphism” is used both to indicate “a non disease-causing change” or “a change found at a frequency of 1% or higher in the population” and not considered pathogenic (Cotton, 2002; http://www.hgvs.org/mutnomen/recs.html#general). To prevent this confusion Human genome variation society has suggested neutral terms like “sequence variant”, “alteration” and “allelic variant”. The following definition of a gene mutation is used in this thesis; *a heritable change in DNA sequence.*

Gene mutations in an individual can occur in two ways, through inheritance from one of the parents (germline) or acquired after conception (somatic) (den Dunnen and Antonarakis, 2000; http://www.hgvs.org/mutnomen/recs.html#general).

**Terms used in paper III and IV**

**Point mutation / Single base substitutions:** A nucleotide base is replaced by another (den Dunnen and Antonarakis, 2000):

*Missense mutations:* A new base changes a codon resulting in a different amino acid in the protein.

*Nonsense mutation:* A new base alters a codon into a stop codon (TAA, TAG, TGA) which terminates the translation of mRNA, resulting in a truncated protein.

*Silent mutations:* Causes no alternation in the amino acids but may affect splicing and can cause disease.

*Splice site mutations:* A base change alters the splicing signal so that an aberrant protein is produced.
**Insertions and deletions:** Additional base pairs are added or deleted from the gene.

*Frameshifts* (shift the reading frame) are caused by insertions and deletions of a number of bases not divisible by three (a codon size) leading to an alternation in the reading frame because the mRNA is translated from different codons resulting in multiple amino acid substitutions. This type of mutation can be very deleterious due to complete loss of functional protein or disturbance of the normal functioning and regulation of a protein.

**Chromosomal rearrangement:** An alternation in the structure or arrangement of the chromosomes, occurring most frequently at meiosis.

*Translocations:* The transfer of a large fragment of one chromosome to a non-homologous chromosome.

*Inversion:* A fragment of DNA on the chromosome is flipped with respect to the rest of the chromosome.

**Deletion:** A large part of a chromosome can be deleted causing loss of a number of genes.

**Duplication:** Some genes are copied and occur twice on the same chromosome.

**Chromosomal non-disjunction:** Occurs during cell division when the chromosomes fail to separate to different poles, resulting in one of the daughter cells having an extra chromosome, and one missing a chromosome.

**Variable expressivity:** Variation in clinical features (type and severity) of a genetic disorder between affected individuals, even within the same family.

**Reduced penetrance:** The proportion of individuals carrying a mutation causing a disorder who exhibit clinical symptoms is less than 100%.
7.2 NEUROPATHOLOGY

The following staining methods were used in paper I, II and III to demonstrate different structural tissue components (Prophet et al., 1992):

- **Autofluorescence in UV-light**: Detects lipofuscin (polyunsaturated fatty acids)

- **Bielschowsky**: To demonstrate axons and nerve cell bodies, and pathological changes such as neuritic plaques and neurofibrillar tangles in Alzheimer’s disease. Axons and neurofibrils are stained brown to black, nuclei are stained dark brown, nerve cell bodies are stained yellow-rust, neuritic plaques are stained dark brown-black, and neurofibrillar tangles are stained brown-black. The background is yellow to brown.

- **Bodian silver stain**: To demonstrate nerve fibers in tissue sections. Myelinated, unmyelinated fibers and nuclei are stained black. The background is light gray or blue. Nerve cell bodies and other cellular elements are unstained.

- **Congo red**: To demonstrate amyloid in paraffin sections. The staining is nonspecific. Immunohistochemistry is needed for further specification. Amyloid is stained red to pink-red, and nuclei are stained blue. The background including other tissue elements is largely unstained. Yellow-green birefringence in polarized light.

- **Hematoxylin and eosine (H&E)**: To demonstrate nuclei and cytoplasm, connective tissue and collagen. Hematoxylin stains nuclei blue to dark-blue, and eosin stains cytoplasm and most other tissue structures pink to red.

- **Luxol fast blue-cresyl violet stain** (Klüver-Barrera stain): This method combines the luxol fast blue and cresyl violet stain. The method demonstrates myelin sheaths and Nissl substance in simultaneously. Myelin sheaths are stained blue, and Nissl substance and nuclei are stained purple. The background is pale grey or blue. It requires paraffin sections.

- **Luxol fast blue-hematoxylin-eosin stain**: This method combines the luxol fast blue and hematoxylin-eosin stain. Myelin is stained blue to greenish blue, nuclei are stained blue-black, and cytoplasm and background are stained in varying shades of red. A drawback is that the subtle details of the luxol fast blue stain may be blocked.

- **Luxol fast blue-periodic acid-schiff (PAS)-hematoxylin stain**: This method combines the luxol fast blue, period acid-schiff, and hematoxylin stainings. This combination allows a correlative study of the cellular elements, fiber pathways, and vascular components of the nervous system. It demonstrates normal myelin and highlights macrophages and capillary basement membranes. It is used for studying leukodystrophies and demyelinating diseases. Myelin sheaths are stained blue to green; capillary basement membranes, fungi, corpora amylacea, senile plaques are stained pink to red, and nuclei are stained blue.

- **Nissl stain**: To demonstrate nucleic acid in the cytoplasm of neurons. This staining is useful in studying cortical architecture and the structure of the deep gray substance and nuclei. Nissl substance is stained purple-blue, and nuclei are stained purple. The background is unstained.

- **Periodic acid-schiff (PAS)**: To demonstrate glycogen, mucin, fungi, corpora amylacea, basement membranes, polyglycosan bodies, lysosomes which are stained red to pink. The background is blue.

- **Perls Prussian blue stain** (for iron): To demonstrate ferric iron. Ferric iron is stained blue, and nuclei are stained red. Useful to look for resolved hemorrhages.

**Electron microscopy**: For the investigation of biological tissues at an ultrastructural level.

**Immunohistochemistry** (IHC): IHC allows the detection of proteins and antigens in tissues by the use of antibodies, directed at substances such as:
• **Alpha B Crystallin**: Alpha B crystallin is a member of the small heat shock protein (HSP20) family, and acts as molecular chaperone by holding denaturated proteins in large soluble aggregates. It is located in the cytoplasm and nuclei. Alpha B crystallin is associated with intracellular inclusions and the antigen is detected in cortical Lewy bodies.

• **Alpha-synuclein**: Alpha-synuclein belongs to the synuclein family. It is expressed in the brain, primarily in presynaptic nerve terminals and is an important protein involved in cell cycle control. It accumulates in alpha-synucleinopathies such as Parkinson disease, Lewy body disease, multiple system atrophy, and other neurodegenerative disorders.

• **Amyloid precursor protein** (APP): APP is a transmembrane glycoprotein which belongs to the APP family. Its predicted structure consists of three domains; cytosolic-, transmembrane-, and extracellular domain. The overall precise function still remains unclear but it is suggested that one of its actions is through a cell surface receptor involved in cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions. APP is rapidly transported in the axon. It is co-transported with many other molecules, important for the maintenance of the axon (TrkB-receptor, GAP43 and synaptotagmin among others). APP is also an acute phase protein that gets overexpressed in traumatic brain injury and other forms of axonal transport damage such as in MS, and HIV. The antibody reveals early axonal damage by selectively labelling injured axons, such as axonal bulbs and varicose axons which, in turn, indicates axonal transport defects (Rodrigues et al., 2012; Sherriff et al., 1994b).

• **CD68**: CD68 is a highly glycosylated lysosomal membrane protein. It belongs to the lysosome-associated membrane protein family and plays a role in endocytosis and lysosomal trafficking. CD68 is expressed in monocytes and macrophages as well as in the cytoplasm of non-hemathopoietic tissues. The antibody reacts with intracellular glycoprotein associated with the cell membrane of macrophages and some myeloid elements.

• **Fibrinogen/Fibrin**: Fibrinogen/fibrin is a large protein which plays an important role in the blood coagulation process. The antibody reacts with human fibrinogen and indicates damage to the blood brain barriers.

• **Glial fibrillary acidic protein** (GFAP): GFAP is a class III intermediate filament. It is the major cytoskeletal protein found in the cytoplasm of astrocytes. In general, reactive and neoplastic astrocytic cells react positively for this antibody, whereas oligodendrocytes, nerve cells, meningotheial cells and fibroblasts do not.

• **HLA-DR**: HLA-DR belongs to the MHC class II family. HLA-DR is expressed in glial cells, B cells, activated T cells, and antigen-presenting cells. The antibody may be used as a marker for microglia.

• **Phospho-tau**: Tau is a protein that stabilizes microtubules. Phospho-tau is present in all nucleated cells. It is especially abundant in neurons and accumulates in neurodegenerative disorders. Neurofibrillary tangles that are a characteristic feature of Alzheimer’s disease contain hyperphosphorylated tau.

• **Phosphorylated neurofilament**: Neurofilaments belong to the intermediate filament family. The neurofilament is the most abundant fibrillary component of the axon, involved in the maintenance of neuronal caliber. The antibody detects axonal damage.

• **TDP-43**: TDP-43 is a DNA/RNA-binding protein that regulates transcription and splicing. It is predominantly located in the nucleus under normal condition, but pathological TDP-43, seen in brains of patient with frontotemporal lobe degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, is largely cytoplasmic and phosphorylated.

• **Ubiquitin**: Ubiquitin are small regulatory proteins involved in a variety of cellular processes. Protein inclusions/aggregates containing ubiquitinated proteins which are found in neurons and other cell types in the central nervous system in various neurodegenerative disorders and indicate proteolysis.
7.3 NEUROIMAGING

Neuroimaging has contributed greatly to the in vivo elucidation of the gross brain pathology involved in several disorders. MRI pattern recognition has been a well-established strategy for diagnostic purposes and for the recognition of new diseases based on distinguishing MRI findings (Schiffmann and van der Knaap, 2009).

A drawback of conventional MRI is the non-specific information about the type of WML. WM pathology will in most cases have longer T1 and T2 than grey matter structures; causing high signal on T2-weighted images and low on T1 when compared to WM in normal patients.

The degree of the signal change is not directly correlated with the severity of the pathological changes. Therefore, MRI will have a low specificity, as many types of pathology will have similar signal changes.

In 70s and 80s, when the original cases of HDLS were evaluated, MRI was not available. MRI imaging findings in later reports show progressive and predominantly frontal, confluent WM changes often combined with symmetric cortical atrophy (Wider et al., 2009). One study has reported slight patchy WM abnormalities in a presymptomatic case which became confluent with disease progression (Van Gerpen et al., 2008).

However, the evolution of WM changes in HDLS is not precisely known because these patients have not been followed over longer time periods with several examinations to demonstrate temporal changes. Moreover, advanced imaging techniques are continuously being developed that provide a better understanding of the brain's neural networks and may give new insights into the pathogenesis of HDLS and neurodegeneration in general.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

The aim was to characterize the MRI pattern of HDLS with CSF1R gene mutation for diagnostic use. A scoring system was created to help track the natural history of HDLS and monitor potential treatments. This was modified from three previous leukodystrophies scoring systems, described in the following:

In 1994, Loes et al. was the first to develop an MRI scoring system for leukodystrophy for evaluation of adrenoleukodystrophy (ALD) (Loes et al., 1994a). This was based on findings from a previous study that had characterized the major neuroanatomic location of the disease (Jensen et al., 1990). Based on the knowledge of the disease location and the presence or absence of focal atrophy, they created a MR severity score (0 to 34) for each patient scan, Table 1A. In this study they retrospectively reviewed 175 MRIs from 85 patients with laboratory confirmed ALD. Most of the MRI examinations were scored by only one radiologist, and only a minority, 20%, were reviewed by three radiologists and given a consensus score. The same year this was published, they used this MRI scoring system to evaluate the short term effect of bone marrow
transplantation and found it useful for therapeutic evaluation (Loes et al., 1994b).

Five years later the same group developed a similar MRI scoring system for globoid cell leukodystrophy (Krabbe disease) to distinguish between early and late onset disease (Loes et al., 1999).

They modified the scoring system developed for ALD according to the knowledge of the major areas involved in Krabbe disease, Table 1B (Barone et al., 1996; Demaerel et al., 1990). Again they retrospectively reviewed 34 MRI examinations from 22 patients that had been considered for hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Five of those had undergone a successful HSCT. The MRIs were analyzed by one neuroradiologist in at least two separate reading sessions. They concluded that Krabbe disease had characteristic brain MRI findings correlating with the age of clinical onset (Loes et al., 1999).

In 2009, a research group developed a MRI scoring system for metachromatic leukodystrophy, (MLD), that was analogous to that used for ALD, with a few exceptions, Table 1C (Eichler et al., 2009). Brain involvement in MLD had previously been well described (Faerber et al., 1999; Kim et al., 1997; van der Voorn et al., 2005). The MRI scoring system therefore was adjusted for prior knowledge of major neuroanatomical involvement in MLD. The study design was a retrospective review of 34 MRI examinations in 28 patients with laboratory verified MLD. The scoring system was initially developed and tested by reviewing 10 MRI examinations from patients with MLD at a collaborating center. This was then applied on their 34 MRI examinations which were reviewed by one neurologist and one neuroradiologist with expertise in leukodystrophies. The final score was determined by consensus between the two readers (Eichler et al., 2009).

To differentiate between less defined, heterogeneous lesions and the more well-defined, homogeneous lesions, they applied the terms faint (1) and dense (2) for signal intensities that were T2-hyperintense, Figure 2. From their results, they categorized brain MRI scores into three groups: mild, moderate and severe. Based on this categorization, initial scan results could be compared with findings in patients where longitudinal MRI examinations were used to follow different disease stages. This study also described similarities and differences between MLD, ALD and Krabbe disease, from an MRI scoring point of view. In their conclusion, they stated that MLD had a characteristic image pattern that did not appear to be different among the different age groups or subtypes (Eichler et al., 2009).

These three articles evaluated MRI examinations that had been performed at many different institutions with a variety of images units. All MRI images included T1-weighted sagital and T2-weighted axial imaging planes that were evaluated and used for scoring in ALD and Krabbe disease. The MLD patients were also examined with T2-FLAIR, and the images used for scoring in MLD were axial T2-FLAIR and axial T2-FSE.
Based on the three MRI scoring systems for ALD, Krabbe disease and MLD, we created a scoring system for HDLS. However, the scoring system deviated from the three prior disease groups, since the MRI pattern for different stages of HDLS was not known. Until 2012 there were only 17 reports on MRI findings in HDLS (Baba et al., 2006; Boisse et al., 2010; Browne et al., 2003; Freeman et al., 2009; Hancock et al., 2003; Itoh et al., 2006; Keegan et al., 2008; Maillart et al., 2009; Mateen et al., 2010; Mayer et al., 2007; Mendes et al., 2010; Moro-de-Casillas et al., 2004; Sundal et al., 2010; Swerdlow et al., 2009; van der Knaap et al., 2000; van Gerpen et al., 2008; Yamashita and Yamamoto, 2002). However, these reports were on single cases, examined at different time points and thus at variable disease stages. Longitudinal studies had not been performed. Since the evolution of the different stages of HDLS was unknown, a scoring system was created that evaluated the most commonly reported pathological neuroanatomical locations in HDLS, in addition to other commonly reported regions for ALD, Krabbe disease and MLD, Paper IV. We reviewed 20 MRI examinations from 15 neuropathologically-confirmed and CSF1R gene mutation verified HDLS patients. Axial T2-FLAIR and axial T2-FSE sequences were used for scoring. A neuroradiologist (DB) from the Mayo Clinic with expertise in HDLS, and the author were the readers.

Before starting the evaluation, the MRI scoring system was agreed on together with the head of the HDLS consortium (ZW) at the Mayo Clinic. We read the MRI examinations blindly and did not know either the clinical state of the patient at the time of the examination, or what kind of mutations (missense, codon deletion, or in-frame deletion) the patient had. We examined all MRI examinations together and differences in inter-rater score were immediately resolved between us to achieve a consensus of a final score. We re-evaluated all the MRI examinations three months later, following the same procedure, to ensure we could reproduce our scoring system.

WML were scored as 0 (none), 1 (mild) or marked (2) in order to differentiate the variability in signal intensity (SI) and to improve inter-rater reliability. Examples of the degree of signal intensity are demonstrated in Figure 3.

Cerebral cortex atrophy was similarly scored, 0 (none), 1 (mild) and 2 (marked), in order to define a pattern of recognition for atrophy in HDLS. Examples of the degree of atrophy are demonstrated in Figure 4. We only scored the atrophy for corpus callosum (all compartments), cerebellum, and brainstem with 0 (absent) or 1 (present) to make it easier to evaluate and increase the inter-rater reliability. A total severity score (0-57) was calculated for each MRI examination. We also subdivided the total score to analyze the different components, total WML score and total atrophy score, Paper IV.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Areas</th>
<th>Score‡</th>
<th>Maximum Score per area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signal Changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Parietooccipital white matter (WM)</em></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anterior Temporal WM</em></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Frontal WM</em></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Visual pathway WM</em></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic radiation</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral geniculate body</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer’s loop</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Auditory pathway WM</em></td>
<td>4†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial geniculate body</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachium to the inferior colliculus</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral lemniscus</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pons (Trapezoid body)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Corpus callosum</em></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenium</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Frontopontine corticospinal projection fibers</em></td>
<td>2†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cerebellum WM</em></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thalamus (anterior part)</em></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Basal ganglia</em></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietooccipital cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Temporal cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Callosum, Genu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Callosum, Splenium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global atrophy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Atrophy Score</th>
<th>10†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total MRI Severity Score</td>
<td>34†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1A.**

MRI scoring system for Adrenoleukodystrophy (Loes et al., 1994a).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Areas</th>
<th>Score‡</th>
<th>Maximum Score per area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signal Changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Parietooccipital white matter (WM)</em></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anterior Temporal WM</strong></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frontal WM</strong></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visual pathway WM</strong></td>
<td>4†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic radiation</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral geniculate body</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer’s loop</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optic tract</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pyramidal system WM</strong></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiata</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain stem</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpus callosum</strong></td>
<td>3†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenium</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frontopontine corticospinal projection fibers</strong></td>
<td>2†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thalamus (anterior part)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basal ganglia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atrophy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietooccipital cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Temporal cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Callosum, Splenium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus Callosum, Genu</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global atrophy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Atrophy Score** 7†

**Total MRI Severity Score** 32†

**Table 1B.**

MRI scoring system for Krabbe (Globoid cell leukodystrophy) disease.

Reproduced with permission from the Journals via Copyright Clearance Centers (Loes et al., 1999).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Areas</th>
<th>Score‡</th>
<th>Maximum Score per area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signal Changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parietooccipital white matter (WM)</strong></td>
<td>6†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-fibers</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temporal WM</strong></td>
<td>6†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-fibers</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frontal WM</strong></td>
<td>6†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corpus callosum WM</strong></td>
<td>4†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenium</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projection fibers WM</strong></td>
<td>6†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule, posterior limb</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule, anterior limb</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline pons</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cerebellum WM</strong></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thalamus (anterior part)</strong></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basal ganglia</strong></td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Atrophy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td>1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Global atrophy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular enlargement or inner widening</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner or outer CSF space widening</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Atrophy Score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MRI Severity Score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>34†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1C.
MRI scoring system for metachromatic leukodystrophy disease.
Reproduced with permission from the Journals via Copyright Clearance Centers (Eichler et al., 2009).

Figure 2.
Scoring system in MLD.
Picture A and B demonstrate the differences between faint (1 point, thin arrow A.), and dense (2 points, thin arrow B.). Preservation of the U-fibers are shown in both A.) and B.) (1 points, thick arrow). Measurement of inner atrophy in the third ventricle C.). Reused with permission from the publisher (Eichler et al., 2009).
Figure 3A.

WML signal changes

WML were scored as 0 (none), 1 (mild) or marked (2). Examples of the degree of signal intensity are demonstrated in A.) mild and B.) marked.
**Figure 3B.**

Example of the use of the scoring table

WML signal changes

The most severely affected case with generalized WML that were scored as marked (2) (arrows). Lower pictures arrows show U-fiber involvement.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signal Changes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Frontal WM</strong></td>
<td><strong>Parietal WM</strong></td>
<td><strong>Temporal WM</strong></td>
<td><strong>Occipital WM</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>Periventricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>Subcortical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U-fibers*</td>
<td>U-fibers*</td>
<td>U-fibers*</td>
<td>U-fibers*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3C.

WML signal changes

WMLs were scored as 0 (none), 1 (mild) or marked (2). Examples of the degree of signal intensity affecting corpus callosum are demonstrated in A.) mild in splenium and B.) marked in splenium.
Figure 4.

Atrophy score

Cerebral cortex atrophy was similarly scored as; 0 (none), 1 (mild) and 2 (marked), in order to define a pattern of recognition for atrophy in HDLS. Examples of the degree of atrophy are demonstrated in A.) mild atrophy and B.) marked atrophy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cerebral cortex</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI)**

The brain is a complex organ consisting of many different structures such as cell membranes, myelin, axons, microtubules, fibers, and macromolecules. These structures will interfere with the movement of water and result in different degrees of restriction. In diffusion-weighted (DW) MRI, the measured signal, for each voxel in the image, is affected by the range and direction of the water diffusion and can thereby give information of the tissue architecture.

A conventional DW-image is acquired by sampling three image planes, each with a different diffusion sensitive gradient direction (GRE-Dir.), which are used to reconstruct an average DWI map. Besides these 3 GRE-Dir. images there is also a fourth image sampled without the use of any diffusion sensitive gradient (B0). The 3 GRE-Dir and the B0-image are used to calculate the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC)-map.

DWI is highly sensitive to changes in tissue structures and can detect an acute cerebral infarction as a hyper intense signal within minutes after an ischemic insult (Moseley et al., 1990; Sorensen et al., 1999). This pattern is thought to be due to cellular swelling from cytotoxic edema caused by failure of the Na+/K+ membrane pump causing entrapment of interstitial fluid. In conjugation with the DWI, the ADC map has to be analyzed to verify restriction so the DWI signal change is not a spurious effect of a T2 shine through phenomena (Sorensen et al., 1999). Increased signal on DWI and decreased signal on ADC is the verification of restricted diffusion.

Conventional DWI is useful in the assessment of many WM disorders, besides acute ischemic stroke, since it is a fast technique that is very sensitive for the detection of WM changes on average DWI and ADC maps (Horsfield and Jones, 2002).

**Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI)**

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) differs from DWI in that it is measured with six or more different GRE-Dir. and at least one B0 image. From these images a more detailed information of water diffusion directionality/anisotropy can be calculated, the Diffusion Tensor (D). D is a diagonally symmetric 3x3 matrix with 6 independent tensor components. The Tensor (D) allows for the description of mobility along each axis and the standard deviation of mobility along three principal directions to characterize the anisotropy. The diffusion tensor is symmetric and in theory it is possible to obtain all components by sampling only 6 non collinear and coplanar diffusion directions, plus 1 non-diffusion weighted (B0), to determine D. However, by adding more diffusion directions, as well as non-diffusion weighted (B0) images, the data will become less biased and more uniform, but result in a longer scan time. From these measurements, the tensor eigenvalues ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$) can be extracted and used to calculate mean diffusivity (MD, ADC), fractional anisotropy (FA), and axial and radial diffusivity (Basser et al., 1994).
The Diffusion Tensor (D) parameters most commonly presented are:

- **Eigenvalues**: represent the 3 orthogonal diffusivity values of D ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$).

- **MD**: represents the average of the 3 eigenvalues for D.

- **Axial diffusivity**: represents the diffusion along the principal direction ($\lambda_1$); along the fibers.

- **Radial diffusivity**: which most often is given as an average value of the two diffusion directions perpendicular ($\frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3}{2}$) to the principal direction ($\lambda_1$).

- **FA**: gives an estimate, between 0 and 1, of what proportion of D that is due to anisotropic diffusion.

DTI has the advantage of being able to indirectly evaluate WM integrity by measuring the water diffusion and water directionality in three dimensions (Le Bihan et al., 2001). This non-invasive observation *in vivo* provides extensive insights into the structure and geometric organization of the tissue. The structural components of the brain, which restrict the Brownian motion of water, yield different degrees of anisotropy in different regions of the brain. In contrast to conventional MRI, DTI measures physical properties. A change in the physical properties due to a disease process can give distinct “microscopic” information with a high sensitivity for lesion detection, and a more precise neuroanatomical localization of these lesions and their impact on surrounding structures. Compared to conventional MRI, this higher sensitivity for detection of pathological structures may allow for earlier decision-making and better tailoring of interventional procedures in the clinical setting. Ultimately, this can lead to a better correlation with clinical scales and give opportunities to follow the effect of treatments.

**Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS)**

MRS is a non-invasive MR technique that can measure the molecular composition of tissue and determine the relative metabolite concentrations, in contrast to conventional MRI which gives morphological information (Gujar et al., 2005). A great advantage of MRS is that the detection of metabolite changes often precedes structural abnormalities, and thus MRS can demonstrate abnormalities before they become apparent on MRI. When lesions are found, MRS can give important metabolic information that may help characterize the pathology and may allow for evaluation of response to treatment. For example, in WM disorders metabolic changes in “normal appearing white matter” (NAWM) can provide valuable insights into the pathology of the disease evolution; progression or regression (Sajja et al., 2009).

For most clinical studies MRS uses the signal information from hydrogen nuclei (protons), as in conventional MRI. However, MRS can also be used to study other nuclei such as phosphorous-31 and carbon-13. Hydrogen MRS (1H–MRS) has a high sensitivity and is the most abundant and widely used nucleus for clinical studies.
The position and chemical bond of the hydrogen nuclei in the molecule changes the local magnetic field and thereby changes the spin frequency of the hydrogen nuclei of a specific molecule. The difference in resonance frequency is denoted chemical shift and is often expressed in parts per million (ppm), which do not alter for different magnetic field strengths. The MRS measurement results in a spectrum that is characterized at the frequency axis (x-axis) where each metabolite is positioned according to their specific frequency due to the aforementioned chemical shift. The peak amplitude, which represents the concentration of a given metabolite, is given on the y-axis.

### MRS Techniques

Anatomical MR images are used to guide the placement of the volume of interest (VOI) where the spectrum will be acquired. Spectrum acquisition can be done as single voxel spectroscopy (SVS) or multi-voxel imaging (MRSI) using long and/or short echo times (TE). The choice of TE is dependent on the clinical question, e.g. which metabolites that will be studied (Gujar et al., 2005).

For SVS the VOI is defined by the intersection of three consecutively selected orthogonal slices and the most commonly used technique is denoted PRESS (Pointed REsolved SpectroScopy). More specifically the VOI is obtained by 90° pulse successively followed by two 180° pulses, given at the same time using different field gradient. The signal in the selected VOI is a spin echo (Lenkinski, 2010).

One complication in MRS is that the water concentration is 10,000 times higher than the concentration of metabolites of clinical interest and hence will obscure their signal. Therefore, the signal from water has to be suppressed which is usually achieved by the chemical shift-selective water suppression (Gujar et al., 2005).

MRSI is a multivoxel technique to obtain many voxels concurrently within a slice and thus gives information of the spatial distribution of the metabolite in a single measurement.

It is essential for high quality MRS to obtain a homogeneous magnetic field. However, there are many factors that can influence and cause artifacts, such as motion, lipids, water, and chemical shift displacements due to susceptibility inhomogeneity (Alger, 2010).

### Commonly detected compounds by MRS in the brain

The use of a short TE (20-40ms) is important to detect metabolites with short relaxation times such as myo-inositol, amino acids and glutamate while long TE (140-280 ms) is mainly used when it is primarily the major compounds such as NAA, Cho, Cr, Lactate and lipids that are of interest. Several of the other metabolites, such as myo-Inositol will not be visualized unless a shorter TE (20-40ms) is used. Short TE has a higher SNR and less signal loss due to T2 relaxation than longer TEs. On the other hand, with a TE of about 140 ms it is possible to separate Lactate and lipids,
since the lactate will invert below the baseline while the lipids remain above. The clinical significance of individual metabolites will depend on the disease process and what aspects of metabolism that a specific metabolite is affecting (Lenkinski, 2010). The most common and generally accepted understanding of the function of individual metabolites can be described as:

**Choline (Cho):**

Cho is a marker of cellular membrane turnover. An increase in Cho peak occurs when there is an active breakdown of myelin due to infarction, inflammation or tumors (Matthews et al., 1991).

**Creatinine (Cr):**

Cr is a marker of the brain's energy metabolism. Many studies use the Cr peak as an internal standard, as it is believed to be at a stable level throughout the brain parenchyma and does not change with pathology. However, it may decrease in some diseases with tissue destruction (Hanefeld et al., 1993).

**Myo-Inositol (mI):**

mI is a sugar compound that is mainly synthesized in glial cells. It is a glial marker and found almost exclusively in astrocytes. It is thought to function as an osmoregulator in primary astrocytes which may help protect cells that are exposed to hyperosmotic stress (Isaacks et al., 1994). Increase in mI can be found in glia proliferation (gliosis) or due to increase in glial cell size. This is found in inflammatory processes and, as such, mI is a surrogate marker for brain inflammation. It is also a breakdown product of myelin (Brand et al., 1993).

**Lactate (Lac):**

Lac, a product of anaerobic glycolysis, is below or at the level of detectability in the normal brain. Elevated Lac is found in acute ischemic tissue and when there are active inflammations with cells, especially macrophages (Lopez-Villegas et al., 1995).

**N-Acetylaspartate (NAA):**

NAA is synthesized in the mitochondria of neurons and transported to cytoplasm and axons. Its peak is the highest in normal brain spectroscopy. NAA concentration is found to be approximately equal in the white and grey matter, suggesting that it is a marker of axonal integrity. Reduction of NAA is found in many WM disorders such as leukodystrophies and MS.

NAA is thus regarded as a functional neuronal marker, but it has also been suggested to be a brain osmolyte with possible reversible changes (Baslow, 2003). However, the precise function of NAA is not yet known (Lenkinski, 2010).
7.4 CEREBROSPINAL FLUID

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) investigation

As a diagnostic procedure we performed CSF analysis. A lumbar puncture was done in the L4-L5 interspace. Samples were stored in polypropylene tubes, frozen at -80°C until analysis. The samples were analyzed at the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory at the Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal, Sweden. Biochemical analysis was performed by Dr. Henrik Zetterberg.

CSF Biomarkers

The CSF is in indirect contact with the brain through the extracellular spaces and reflects biochemical changes in the brain. The CSF can therefore be used as a biomarker (Blennow et al., 2010). A biomarker can serve as an objective measurement of a biological or pathological process that can be used to evaluate disease risk or prognosis, to guide a clinical diagnosis, or to monitor potential therapeutic interventions. CSF biochemical changes might be early indicators of a disease, and hence increase the knowledge about the characteristic pathological mechanism involved in the disease. CSF biomarkers can be divided into two different types: basic or specific biomarkers (Blennow et al., 2010).

Basic Biomarkers

Basic biomarkers identify compounds that may coexist in many different disorders.

The brain is protected from various diseases, such as inflammation, infection, and pathogenic molecules in the blood by the blood brain barrier (BBB). This barrier is formed by capillaries that have restricted permeability and serve to protect and control the milieu for neurons.

The standard biomarker for the function of the BBB is the CSF: serum albumin ratio. If this ratio is increased, it indicates that the BBB is damaged. Many conditions can increase this ratio such as infections (neuroborreliosis, bacterial meningitis and encephalitis) and inflammation such as Guillain–Barre, cerebrovascular disorders including vascular dementia and brain tumors.

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) per se has a normal CSF:albumin ratio which is increased in dementia with concomitant cerebrovascular pathology. This ratio can then be used to exclude various other disorders with damage to the brain. A normal ratio can be used to distinguish among other diseases, AD alone, in addition to other markers.

If the central nervous system (CNS) is attacked by a chronic inflammation such as MS or an infection like neuroborreliosis, meningitis or encephalitis, the immune response will produces antibodies. This is named intrathecal immunoglobulin production, and can be measured by two processes:
1. IgG and IgM will give a quantitative measurement of the immune response

2. Oligoclonal bands in the CSF will give a qualitative measurement of the immune response

These two measurements are valuable biomarkers to exclude chronic inflammation and infectious disorders in the CSF. Intrathecal immunoglobulin production is normally not present in AD alone. Another important biomarker of infectious disorders is the CSF cell count. Cells of the monophagic system will increase with inflammation and leukocytes will increase in infectious disorders (Blennow et al., 2010).

**Specific biomarkers**

Specific biomarkers reflect the central pathogenic process of a disease and thus can serve as hallmarks of the studied disorder (Blennow et al., 2010). The following specific biomarkers are widely used:

**CSF- Dementia biomarkers**

**CSF amyloid-β (Aβ):** Reflects the amyloid, neurofibrillary tangle pathology and the axonal degeneration found in many neurodegenerative disorders where AD is the most central. The Aβ1–42 variant is the main biomarker for Aβ metabolism and plaque formation in the brain.

However, Aβ is also produced during normal cell metabolism, but Aβ1–42 is markedly reduced in AD, approximately 50% compared to aged matched controls. Aβ is also reduced in other dementia conditions like dementia with lewy bodies (LBD), frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and others. The reduction is mainly due to aggregation of Aβ into plaques, decreasing the availability of Aβ to diffuse into the CSF (Blennow et al., 2010).

**CSF Total tau (t-tau):** High levels are suggested to reflect the intensity of neuronal degeneration, as well as axonal degeneration and damage in the brain. Increased levels are found in acute brain disorders such as stroke, brain trauma, AD, and other dementia disorders. Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease has been found to have the highest t-tau level. It is suggested that the main increase is t-tau comes from neurofibrillary tangles containing neurons (Blennow et al., 2010).

**CSF Phosphorylated tau (p-tau):** Reflects both the formation of neurofibrillary tangles and the phosphorylated level of tau in the brain. High levels of p-tau have, up to date, only been found in AD and prodromal AD, and can be used to differentiate AD from other types of dementia such as FTD and LBD (Blennow et al., 2010).

Combining the CSF biomarkers of CSF-tau, p-tau and Aβ1–42 achieves high diagnostic accuracy for identifying AD, prodromal AD, and for differentiating AD from other neurodegenerative disorders (Blennow et al., 2010; Mattsson et al., 2009).
CSF-Neuronal markers

**CSF-Neurofilaments**: Belonging to the intermediary filament family, neurofilaments (NF) are the main protein constituent of the axonal cytoskeleton. It maintains axonal size and is involved in axonal transport. It is mainly expressed in large subcortical, myelinated axons. Of the three NF subunits, the NF light, (NFL) is the most widely measured and used as a marker of axonal damage. Increased NFL is found in MS, traumatic brain and spinal cord injury, atypical PD, subcortical dementia, ALS, CNS infections and CNS vasculitis (Blennow et al., 2010).

**CSF-Tau**: Is mainly expressed in cortical axons and is a microtubule associated protein. The increased level in different disorders is previously described.

Both NF and Tau are suggested to reflect the ongoing rate of axonal damage and loss (Blennow et al., 2010).

CSF-Astrocyte marker

**Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)**: Is found in the astrocytes where it is the main intermediate filament of the cytoskeleton. Increased level of GFAP is believed to reflect astrocyte damage and loss and is found in high levels in Alexander disease but also elevated in other conditions such as astrocytomas, stroke, head trauma, inflammatory CNS disorders (MS), neuromyelitis optica (NMO) and other conditions associated with gliosis (Blennow et al., 2010; Liem and Messing, 2009).

---

**ETICS / ETHICAL ISSUES**

All subjects, their proxies and relatives gave informed and written consent. The studies were approved by the ethics committee at the University of Gothenburg (study I and V) and the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board (study II, III and IV).

Because genetic testing raises important ethical issues and questions we maintain a good and open dialogue with the original Swedish HDLS family. Our collaborators, under the leadership of Dr. Wszolek at the Mayo Clinic in Jacksonville, Florida, have the same good relationships and protocols that we have.
"The total number of identified HDLS cases amounted to 15 among 75 individuals in four generations..."
8.1 PAPER I

Background

The original Swedish family was reported in 1984 and consisted of 71 individuals. Seventeen were suspected to be affected by HDLS. The age of symptom onset was found to be from 8 years to 60 years. The mean disease duration was 12 years. The development of HDLS was highly variable with three different courses; 1/3 died within three years, 1/3 died after ten years, and 1/3 after more than 30 years.

Subjects and study settings

We updated the original Swedish family by genealogical and longitudinal clinical observations. Forty family members were neurologically examined, five were interviewed by telephone, and one case from the original publication that was clinically diagnosed with HDLS was neuropathologically investigated. MRI and CSF examinations were performed in two newly identified HDLS cases. One of those cases was also autopsied.

Main results

Thirty-eight individuals were healthy and two new cases developed HDLS during the survey. All five interviewed by telephone were healthy. One case (Case 3:19) who was clinically diagnosed with HDLS in 1984 had only small vessel disease and no axonal spheroids on autopsy. Three cases that had been diagnosed with HDLS in 1984 were further unconfirmed; two from the clinical examination, and one from the telephone interview. The 8 year-old in the 1984 publication did not have any symptoms when we investigated him at age 42 years, thus supporting the implication that this is an adult-onset disease. The total number of identified HDLS cases amounted to 15 among 75 individuals in four generations, including the two new ones, Cases 1 and 2. The clinical course was different in these, with sub-acute and more chronic variants respectively. CSF analysis indicated signs of neurodegeneration without inflammation. The MRI showed WML that were symmetrical, bifrontoparietal and involved periventricular, deep and subcortical regions, but did not affect the U-fibers. The genu and splenium portions of the corpus callosum were affected by WML. In Case 1, HDLS was autopsy-verified as being similar to the previously reported four family cases (Axelsson et al., 1984). There is still an open question whether the primary event in HDLS is axonal or primary myelin. The detailed symptomatology in our two new cases was divergent, supporting both a sub-acute and more chronic course.
8.2 PAPER II

Background

An international HDLS consortium was established in 2005 led by Dr. Zbigniew Wszolek, M.D., headquartered at the Mayo Clinic, in Jacksonville, Florida. Dr. Wszolek became interested in HDLS after reading the 1984 publication (Axelsson et al., 1984). He decided to start to collect HDLS cases and, via lectures, congress presentations, and publications, spread information about HDLS and encouraged colleagues to collaborate. This lead to a steady increase in collaborators and HDLS cases collected both retrospectively and prospectively. All cases confirmed by brain autopsy or biopsy were enrolled into a HDLS study with the goal of finding the causative gene. To ensure cases demonstrated pathological features of HDLS, consistent with the original Swedish family, they were all examined by Dr. Dennis Dickson, M.D., a neuropathologist at the Mayo Clinic in Florida. In this growing HDLS collection, it became evident that they had all been misdiagnosed with other more common neurological conditions.

Subjects and study settings

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records from three small kindreds from the Mayo Clinic HDLS collection. The inheritance patterns in these cases were not obvious and could easily be misclassified as a sporadic disorder. Our goal was to illustrate that familial clustering of HDLS is not always obvious because of phenotypic heterogeneity. The four patients had been identified and followed-up in Norway, Germany, and the US. We reviewed the clinical, neuroimaging and neuropathological findings.

Main results

The mean age of symptom onset was 44 years (range, 36-52 years), mean disease duration was six years (range, 3-11), and the mean age at death was 48 years (range, 40-63 years). Neuropathological findings were consistent with those found in the original Swedish HDLS family with demyelination, abundant axonal spheroids and preservation of the deep grey nuclei structures. The clinical symptoms progressed in parallel with personality changes, executive dysfunction, memory decline and eventually a multifocal encephalopathy. MRI demonstrated WML with a frontal predominance with involvement of both corpus callosum and corticospinal tracts. Treatments with both intravenous (I.V.) and oral (p.o.) steroids, subcutaneous interferons, I.V plasma-phoresis and L-dopa were attempted for some of the cases but without any benefit. All four cases had been misdiagnosed as having more common neurological disorders.
8.3 PAPER III

Background

From the collected Mayo Clinic HDLS cases we decided to do a genetic investigation on those that fulfilled the criteria of: 1) complete clinical information, 2) neuropathologically verified, 3) MRI and 4) available blood samples.

To ensure cases demonstrated pathological features of HDLS, consistent with the original Swedish family, they were all examined by Dr. Dennis Dickson, M.D., a neuropathologist at the Mayo Clinic in Florida.

Subjects and study settings

14 kindreds from US, Germany, Norway and Scotland were collected. The largest family, VA, was selected for genome-wide linkage study.

Main results

The Mayo Clinic HDLS team had been collecting HDLS cases and conducting genetic analysis on some of their cases since 2005. When I arrived at the Mayo Clinic genetic laboratory, Dr. Rademakers lab, in February 2011, one of the fourteen HDLS families (VA-family) had been selected for investigation with a genome wide non-parametric linkage study in order to apply a model-free analysis. The results indicated that a locus on chromosome 5 had a LOD score of greater than 2.5. This family was then studied with parametric linkage analysis and subsequent a significant linkage was identified on chromosome 5q34. The LOD-score was even higher when the parametric linkage analysis was used, further strengthening the data.

No other loci reached significance. The candidate region was further narrowed by obligate recombinants to genetic distance of 30.3 at the 5q34, containing 233 possible genes. Whole exome sequencing was selected as the next method because Sanger sequencing analysis of all genes in the region would have been more time consuming and costly. A targeted next-generation sequencing strategy on chromosome 5 might have been an option but is also expensive, especially when the goal was to analyze a small set of patients. Whole-exome sequencing is widely used and optimized, so even though all of this information was not needed, this was still the method of choice for the Mayo Clinic cases.

A search for shared heterozygous variants between the two patients of the selected family were performed and filtered against all known variants (SNP). Consequently, 2 different mutations in the 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) receptor 4 (HTR4) gene and in the colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R) gene were found. These both segregated with the disease in the extended family and were not present in 660 controls. Exon sequencing of HTR4 and CSF1R in the 13 probands from the other neuropathological-verified HDLS families was then performed. This identified
heterozygous CSF1R mutations in all 13 probands, but no mutations in the HTR4 gene. Segregation analysis confirmed transmission of the CSF1R mutation and co-segregation with the phenotype in multiple affected individuals from all the HDLS families.

Segregation analysis was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of the relevant exon in all DNA samples available for each family.

**Screening of the original Swedish HDLS family**

In parallel with the genetic work on the Mayo Clinic HDLS collection, the blood samples were received from the original Swedish HDLS family. By the time that I had purified the DNA from these samples of whole blood, the CSF1R mutation was identified in the Mayo Clinic HDLS families. It was therefore decided to start screening these Swedish samples for CSF1R mutations on chromosome 5.

All 22 exons of the CSF1R gene from the two affected Swedish patients, together with one known CSF1R mutation carrier from the Mayo Clinic HDLS collection (proband of MD family = positive control) and one control sample (negative control), were analyzed by PCR and sequencing, Figure 1.

In brief, PCR reactions contained 50ng of DNA template in a 25 μl volume containing 20 pmol of each primer, 0.2mM dNTP's, 1 unit of Taq polymerase (Qiagen). The eppendorf thermal cyclers and a 60-50 touchdown protocol were used for amplification. The first cycle used 60°C and 50°C in the last cycles, which makes it difficult for primers to anneal to anything but a perfect matched sequence. Lower temperatures allow annealing to go faster giving more PCR product. The temperatures can be predicted but usually these are tested in the lab to establish the optimal PCR conditions. The touchdown PCR with the 10°C range of annealing temperatures prevents formation of nonspecific PCR product and eliminates the need to optimize each primer set to a specific temperature. The resulting PCR products were visualized on 2% agarose gel to ensure correct fragment size prior to proceeding with the sequencing reaction. All amplified samples were then purified using Multiscreen (Millipore) technology to remove surplus reagents from the reaction and sequenced in both directions using M13 sequencing primers and Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing chemistry (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturers protocol. Sequencing reactions were purified using the Montage system (Millipore) and analyzed on an ABI3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequencher software was used to analyze the sequence.

No mutations were identified in any of the CSF1R exons from the two patients from the original Swedish family or the negative control. A CSF1R mutation was detected in the positive control case from the HDLS MD-family. The whole sequencing procedure was consequently reinvestigated both manually and in automatic mode, which confirmed the first result.

In the meantime, all of the 14 HDLS families from the Mayo Clinic collections were confirmed to have the newly identified CSF1R gene mutation. It was decided that
the Swedish family should not be included in the CSF1R study because only one sample was autopsy-confirmed HDLS and the only paraffin-embedded tissue samples for the other four affected individuals were very old. Multiple individuals had also handled these samples and it was not possible to extract any DNA from them. The Rademakers laboratory team did not feel comfortable reporting that there was locus heterogeneity with only one neuropathological verified Swedish sample. Since there were only two Swedish HDLS cases that could be genetically investigated, and only one of them fulfilled the inclusion criteria for the study, it was decided not to mention the Swedish family in the Nature Genetics paper because one could not be certain of the result. It was realized that the analysis on the Swedish samples needed further investigation and more blood samples in order to confirm or rule out a mutation in the CSF1R gene. To expedite this, more blood from the Swedish samples for cDNA analysis were requested from Dr. Oluf Andersen. In the meantime, the mutation discovery, paper III, was completed (Rademakers et al., 2012a).

The work on the Swedish cases continued. In order to ascertain whether other types of CSF1R mutations could be involved, haplotype sharing between the two affected individuals was looked for to determine if a set of DNA sequences were inherited together in the CSF1R region on chromosome 5. PCR procedures, as described above, were applied with the exception that the sense primer of the marker pair 5’ was adjusted with a fluorescent FAM- label. This is the most commonly used fluorescent dye for labeling oligonucleotides. Using this fluorescently labeled amplification sample could be visualized using the ABI3730 Genetic Analyzer, run on the Genemapper software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Genotypes were assigned for each marker in the region and the haplotypes were assessed for shared genotypes. This result was negative, further excluding CSF1R as the causative gene in this family. Complete or partial CSF1R gene deletions were also excluded by PCR and fluorescent primers covering the whole gene.

When the new blood samples arrived from Sweden it was necessary to exclude the possibility of heterogeneity between the genomic DNA sequences and those associated with transcription. This was investigated with cDNA transcript analysis. RNA (PaxGene Blood RNA kit) was extracted and cDNA was synthesized using reverse transcription. The cDNA was amplified and sequenced following specific protocols and cDNA was synthesized using PaxGene RNA as template. Reverse transcription used a 1:1 mix of random hexamers, oligo (dT) primers and the SuperScript III system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Following the manufacturer’s protocol, the reactions were cycled for 10 minutes at 25°C, then 50 minutes at 50°C, 5 minutes at 85°C, and finally cooled to 4°C. PCR analysis using the cDNA template only showed the expected size fragments upon agarose gel-electrophoresis. PCR product sequencing did not show any mutations. The result demonstrated no heterogeneity, further excluding mutations in the CSF1R gene.

Since Nasu–Hakola disease (NHD) has been found to be implicated in CSF1R signaling and has a phenotype very similar to HDLS exempted bone cysts, it was necessary
to rule out these genes for the Swedish samples. PCR and sequencing protocol as previously described was used to study TYROBP and TREM2 that are causative for NHD, as well as FLT3 and KIT, members of the same tyrosine kinase family as CSF1R. However, since no mutations in these genes were identified the cause of the disease in the Swedish HDLS family still remains elusive.

14 different CSF1R mutations were identified in the 14 Mayo Clinic families. One kindred had a de novo mutation. All mutations affected the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of the CSF1R gene encoded by exons 12-22. We found 10 missense mutations, one single codon deletion, and three splice site mutations that lead to an in-frame deletion of exon 13 (NO) and 18 (CA2, FL2). There were differences in the age of onset, disease duration and age of death among the cases, even within the same family. This suggests unidentified genetic or environmental factors influencing the penetrance. Initial symptoms and evolving clinical features also demonstrated substantial variations within and across families.

8.4 PAPER IV

Background
Since our group identified the CSF1R gene mutation as causative for the Mayo Clinic HDLS collection the MRI patterns of these patients was investigated.

Subjects and study settings
20 MRIs from 15 patients in nine families with neuropathologically verified HDLS and CSF1R mutation carriers were reviewed. Sagital T1-weighted images, axial T1 and T2 -weighted images, and axial fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images were obtained in all patients except one, who had a proton density measurement series. Together with Dr. Broderick, a neuroradiologist, the images were reviewed blindly, twice, three months apart, and differences in interpretation resolved between the two observers. The images were assessed for WML, atrophy and grey matter involvement. A severity score (0-57) was calculated for each MRI based on a point system modified from previous leukodystrophies scoring systems. Since the pattern and evolution of MRI abnormality in HDLS is unknown, we designed the scoring system to evaluate all cerebral regions with respect to abnormal signal intensity in the WM and atrophy.

Main results
93% (14/15 patients) demonstrated localized WML, and one had generalized WML with slight involvement of the U-fibers. All patients had bilateral, but asymmetric lesions. WML with frontal predominance involving deep and subcortical regions, were found in all cases. 93% (14/15) had periventricular involvement, while 73% (11/15)
had involvement of the corpus callosum. Typically, brain atrophy was present in the same region as the WML. Central atrophy was invariably also present. Corticospinal tract involvement occurred late in the disease course. There was no significant grey matter pathology, no brainstem pathology, and enhancement was absent. Cerebellar pathology was minimal. Indicators of rapid disease progression were; age less than 45 years, female sex, more than 15 points on the severity score, WML extending beyond the frontal region, and a deletion type for the mutation.

8.5 PAPER V

Background

Because the temporal evolution of the WML in HDLS is unknown advanced neuroimaging was selected to provide insights into the pathogenesis.

Subjects and study settings

One recently identified HDLS case from the original Swedish family was investigated with repeated DWI/DTI and MRS examination during a 16 month longitudinal study.

Main results

In 5 consecutive MRI examinations we found changes in 3 temporal stages of HDLS evolution:

Stage 1: The initiation of the disease seems to start in the anterior, periventricular WM where lesions remain prominent throughout the study period. However, when these lesions first develop on MR imaging there is already an insidious spread of the disease that involves the WM of the centrum semiovale and the body of corpus callosum, the earliest stage. There were clear abnormalities on MRS and DTI also in this region which, however, did not show any signal abnormalities on conventional MRI until much later, when the patient was too affected by his disease to allow for additional MRS and DTI examinations. At this stage, the patient had frontal lobe syndromes with total loss of insight and impaired initiative. He had slight increased tone in the lower extremities, decreased deep sensibility in the feet bilaterally, slight hyperreflexia, but extensor plantar responses were normal. There was oculomotor impersistence on saccade testing.

Stage 2: As the disease progressed beyond the frontal regions new areas of signal abnormalities began to develop in the periventricular posterior-WM. On the first examination, the conventional MRI showed NAWM, but the eigenvalues demonstrated already clear changes with increased axial diffusivity which on subsequent examinations, when T2 signal abnormalities began to develop, showed a marked decrease, while radial diffusivity was normal on all three examinations. The patient demonstrated at this stage further decline in executive function and had apparently
no feelings or emotions. He now needed moderate assistance in activity of daily living (ADL). There was increased gain of vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) and pathological primitive reflexes. The extensor plantar responses were bilaterally positive and he had moderate general tetrarigidity (gegenhalten), more on the right side.

Stage 3: This later stage was found in the center of the primary anterior WM abnormalities, behind the expanding high signal rim. Here, the eigenvalues showed marked increase in both axial and radial diffusivity already on the first examination. These changes then progressed markedly on each subsequent examination indicating general and progressive tissue destruction with complete loss of WM integrity and disappearance of diffusion anisotropy in the end. On MRS, myo-Inositol was slightly increased initially and increased further on the first follow-up, but dropped slightly on the third when tissue destruction was most pronounced. Choline, Creatine and NAA all showed a progressive and marked decrease over time, reflecting progressive tissue destruction. The loss of all metabolic function in the end was further emphasized by the disappearance of the lactate peak on the last examination; glucose metabolism had ceased. The patient now had dramatically changed behaviors. These included: disquiet, constant roaming, complete initiative loss, greedy eating, ignoring his children, severe amnesia, and almost no contact with reality. The patient also demonstrated reduced facial expression, hesitant responses to questions (answered inappropriately with; yes /no), pronounced frontal lobe syndrome with severe ideomotor apraxia, bizarre movements, and incontinence for urine and feces. Neuropsychiatric tests could not be carried out owing to impersistence.

Five months after the last MRI the patient roamed less but developed a tottering gait, compulsive movements and was constantly shutting cabinet doors. Intermittently, the gait demonstrated small steps accompanied by tripping and freezing. There was also a massive startle movement. He had severe visual disturbance (guides with hands), probable right-sided hemianopia. There was no tremor.

From study V we conclude that these quantitative techniques, when combined, reflect the different stages of the HDLS pathology and can be used to monitor disease evolution, particularly in the context of future treatment trials. We could not draw any firm conclusion whether the primary event is neurodegenerative or demyelinating. Our finding of a peripheral rim of T2/DWI-a signal that showed restriction on MD/ADC, but without contrast enhancement, and with centrifugal migration from the anterior ventricular horns, might be pathognomonic for HDLS of the original Swedish type.
"The inheritance is autosomal dominant with variable penetrance, but sporadic cases also exist."
Our studies confirm that HDLS is an adult-onset, invariably lethal, brain WM disorder. The inheritance is autosomal dominant with variable penetrance, but sporadic cases also exist. The clinical symptoms are characterized by a constellation of features, including personality changes, cognitive dysfunction and motor impairments that extend across multiple neurological domains over the course of the disease. The neuropathological hallmarks of HDLS are brain WM degeneration with the presence of axonal spheroids.

Since the original Swedish publication in 1984 (Axelsson et al., 1984), there have been more than 30 articles describing over 70 cases with HDLS. It has been classified as a rare neurodegenerative disorder. However, we retrieved 14 families collected at the Mayo Clinic, and the disease has a world-wide distribution with case reports originating from Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Ireland, Israel, Japan, Netherland, Norway, Portugal, Scotland, and the US. In addition some of the US cases are decedents from European countries such as Poland, Germany and Italy (Rademakers et al., 2012a; Sundal et al., 2012c; Wider et al., 2009). Furthermore, it is our experience that most cases had erroneous psychiatric or neurological diagnoses before they were classified as HDLS. Therefore, while the disease may not be that rare, no prevalence data are available.

Our study clearly demonstrates that HDLS is a heterogeneous disorder with variable phenotypes, especially in the early disease stages. In the Swedish cases, Case 1 had a subacute evolution of multifocal encephalopathy and Case 2 had a more chronic course of severe frontal lobe symptoms that were later followed by multifocal neurological signs.

The Mayo Clinic HDLS collection seems to include at least three phenotypic presentations. The first is dominated by a predominantly frontal lobe presentation; the second by extrapyramidal presentation, and the third by pyramidal signs. However, the most common initial presentations in 16 of the 24 cases were frontal lobe signs with personality changes, memory problems, loss of insights and dysexecutive symptoms such as apathy and emotional blunting. This indicates that cognitive impairment is an early clinical feature of HDLS and possibly an anticipatory symptom, as supported by previous reports (Axelsson et al., 1984; Wider et al., 2009).

Two Mayo Clinic patients with initial pyramidal signs soon developed cognitive impairments with marked executive dysfunctions and short-term memory deficits. Extrapyramidal features with bradykinesia and reduced strides were found in two cases and these also had early reduced performance on neuropsychological testing for executive dysfunctions.
With the progression of the disease, all cases developed severe multi-focal symptoms from the following domains; frontal, pyramidal, extrapyramidal, parietal, and occipital lobes. Finally, all cases reached a multisystem encephalopathic stage. The severity and degree of these combined symptoms were divergent, dividing HDLS into at least two symptomatological courses with an evolution of either a sub-acute or a more chronic combination of these symptoms. Pseudobulbar palsies, such as dysarthria, dysphonia, and dysphagia, were present in all patients at the advanced stage of the disease. The evolution of the disease over time was variable and the existence of sub-acute and more chronic courses of HDLS was confirmed.

Interestingly, in Swedish Case 2, the gait impairment seemed to evolve through stages of paratonia, “gegenhalten”, spasticity, startle reactions and extensor rigidity. At the first visit, which was 10 months after symptom onset, the patient had slight paratonia in the lower extremities. Six months later, gegenhalten had developed and he demonstrated severe roaming similar to akathisia in the constant walking around. However, the gait pattern was normal. This roaming behavior was also described in one of the Mayo Clinic cases. Additionally, four of the Mayo Clinic cases developed shuffling gait, and in some cases, this was further deteriorated by initiation failure, hesitation on turns, and poor balance with retropulsion. These features were also noted as intermittent signs in the Swedish Case 2 on the last examination that was 36 months after symptom onset, when muscle tone had changed to rigidity. Two Mayo Clinic cases with extrapyramidal features, such as tremor of resting type and rigidity, had gait impairment that seemed to be a combination of a frontal gait disorder and a parkinsonian gait. As the pathophysiology behind this dysfunction is not clear, one study has hypothesized the involvement of the basal ganglio-thalamocortical loop (Iseki et al., 2010). Extrapyramidal features in HDLS have been analyzed in a separate paper and are suggested to be caused by disturbances in a complex network composed of the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cerebral cortex that are interconnected through tracts embedded in the WM (Sundal et al. submitted 2013).

Until 2011 the causative gene(s) for HDLS were unknown, but the \textit{CSF1R} gene mutation responsible for the Mayo Clinic cases was identified. All 14 families had different mutations encoded by exons 12–22 in the intracellular tyrosine kinase domain of \textit{CSF1R}. There were ten missense mutations and one single-codon deletion. In addition three mutations were splice-site mutations, leading to the in-frame deletion of exon 13 (NO-family) or exon 18 (CA2-, and FL2- families) (Rademakers et al., 2012a). \textit{CSF1R} is a cell surface receptor involved in the proliferation, differentiation, function and survival of mononuclear phagocytic cells, including the microglia of the central nervous system (Wang et al., 2012). This mutation was not found in the original Swedish family when all of the 22 exons contained in the \textit{CSF1R} gene were sequenced. We also ruled out the possibility of exon deletions and haplotype sharing among the two Swedish patients, further excluding \textit{CSF1R} as the causative gene in the Swedish family.

For the \textit{CSF1R} mutation carriers we demonstrate in paper IV that the different mutations present with different phenotypes; mutation of the deletion type had an
earlier age of symptom onset and shorter disease duration than that of the amino acid point mutation type (Sundal et al., 2012d). Consistent with previous publications, we found WML to be predominantly frontally or frontoparietal, with involvement of periventricular-, deep-, and subcortical regions. There was also degeneration in the corpus callosum, pyramidal tracts, and associated cortical atrophy (Wider et al., 2009). However, there was considerable interfamilial and intrafamilial variability, in line with other HDLS reports (Axelsson et al., 1984; Van Gerpen et al., 2008). This variability points to additional genes or environmental factors. Notwithstanding this variability, we observed systematic differences in the MRI pattern among the Swedish and the Mayo Clinic cases. In contrast to our Swedish cases, study I and V, who had symmetrical WML starting in the periventricular region and spread out to, but did not involve the U-fibers, the WML in the Mayo Clinic HDLS cases were more asymmetrical. In some cases these were localized deeper in the WM regions rather than in the periventricular regions. The case with the most severe disease stage had slight U-fiber involvement, which was not found in the Swedish cases. The corpus callosum also seemed to be involved differently, which depended on the type of CSF1R mutation. In contrast, the body of the corpus callosum was unaffected in the Swedish family. These findings suggest that there may be different pathological mechanisms involved in the initiation of the disease process in HDLS between the Swedish and Mayo Clinic cases. In addition to the negative findings concerning the CSF1R mutations in the Swedish family, there may be contribution from epigenetic or environmental factors.

Neuroimaging used for diagnostic clues and to follow the evolution of HDLS

In paper IV we describe the most typical MRI patterns of the Mayo Clinic HDLS cases, carriers of the CSF1R gene mutation, and developed a scoring system. The knowledge of this pattern recognition may assist in diagnosing HDLS. The scoring system can also assist in following the progression of the disease, predict the prognosis, and evaluate future clinical trials.

The scoring system has a point range from 0-57; it also includes regions that are not characteristically affected by HDLS, such as the basal ganglia, thalamus, and cerebellum. With the increased knowledge obtained from our study, we should be able to create a more narrow scoring system that is adjusted to the major abnormalities found on the MRIs of HDLS patients. Subsequently, we have modified the original scoring system and have suggested a new, easier to use, scoring system, which is more in line with our HDLS findings, shown in Appendix Table 1.

The cases used for the scoring study had been examined in different centers with different MRI equipment, which in itself creates certain limitations. Even if the magnets had identical field strength and used the same protocols, there are differences between MRI manufacturers, with regard to image appearance, which can result in variability in the interpretation (Reig et al., 2009). Another important factor for optimal outcome
is to include patients who are at the same disease stage. When including patients at variable disease stages, as we did in our study, the result of the evaluation will be more diverse, and it will be more difficult to establish the natural course of the disease.

Ideally, this new scoring system for a qualitative assessment would be used in a prospective study using a standardized MRI protocol with the same makes of hardware and software, the same magnetic field strength, gradient system, and pulse sequences. Moreover, the patients should be grouped according to their disease stage. Instead of scoring the WML according to their signal intensity, as was done here and in MLD (Eichler et al., 2009), the Scheltens visual rating scale could have been employed. This is validated and has a good inter-rater and intra-rater reliability (Scheltens et al., 1993; Scheltens et al., 1998). However, the decision was taken not to use this as it was developed for dementia and focuses specifically on the deep WM implying an uncertainty with regard to the coverage of the anatomical distribution in HDLS. Nevertheless, it would have been interesting to apply the Scheltens scoring scale, in addition to the one that developed here, and compare the results.

To increase the value of the scoring system, it could have been tested internally for inter-rater and intra-rater reliability by measuring the Kappa statistics before starting. There are also software tools for semi-automated and automated volume measurements, which would have increased objectivity and reproducibility. This would allow for the possibility of defining automated morphologic criteria, such as contour and shape patterns, to further increase the reliability of the study (Melhem et al., 2002). However, these quantitative automated methods require validation against the qualitative methods by manual outlining of the lesions (Maillard et al., 2008).

**Primary demyelination vs neuroaxonal degeneration**

Myelin damage is extensive and potentially the primary feature of HDLS. However it may be secondary to axonal damage. The abundance of axonal spheroids in HDLS, which is the hallmark of the disease, was suggested to support axonal injury as the primary cause of HDLS in the original paper (Axelsson et al., 1984).

The following discussion is based on the results from our I and V papers:

The most pronounced lesions on DWI, which were found in the anterior periventricular regions, initially showed a thick, peripheral rim of high T2 signaling that expanded peripherally to become thinner when reaching the U-fibers, which appeared unaffected, [Figure 5](#). The posterior WM changes showed a similar pattern of centrifugal progression of high signal rims on T2, but there was no T2 signal abnormality in this region during the first examination. Simultaneously, there was also a progression of DWI-a signal abnormalities in regions where the WM had initially appeared normal. When comparing DWI-a and MD/ADC maps for subsequent examinations, the areas of highest signaling on DWI-a maps showed restriction on MD. When the peripheral high signal rim reached the subcortical region and became thinner, the DWI-a signal dropped, and the MD signal subsequently increased. There was a pro-
gressive signal drop on DWI-a and an increasing MD signal located centrally, behind the rim. FA was already markedly reduced in this anterior central region at the first examination and continued to decrease for each subsequent examination. MD was normal on the first examination but increased markedly on the next two examinations. The same changes were seen in the posterior WM but to a lesser degree.

The DTI eigenvalues showed an increase in axonal diffusivity in the center of the lesion in the anterior-WM that progressed markedly for each subsequent examination. Radial diffusivity also showed a similar evolution with initially increased values that progressed for each examination. These values for axial and radial diffusivity indicate a more or less complete structural loss of the tissue in this region. With clinical disease progression, lesions also developed in the posterior periventricular WM. It is reasonable to assume that the lesions observed at 18 and 22 months in the posterior periventricular WM were more active and represented a relatively early development of WM tissue destruction. The high signal areas in this posterior region initially showed a marked decrease in axial diffusivity while the radial component remained unchanged. This would indicate that axonal damage is more prominent in earlier stages of the WM damage associated with HDLS.

On MRS, there was a severe reduction in NAA, a functional neuronal marker, in the center of the anterior-WM at the first examination. This dropped further on subsequent examinations, probably indicating a very early stage of the pathological process as we detected a reduction in the NAA in the NAWM in the centrum semiovale.
In parallel with the first MRI study, 10 months after symptom onset in case 2, the CSF examination showed that NFL level was four times as high as the normal reference value. Twelve months later, the NFL had increased to almost 14 times the normal amount, demonstrating axonal damage (Sundal et al., 2012a).

We were able to re-examine the histopathological specimens from Case 1, Figure 6, 7, and 8. We observed that the pathological process had no predilection for specific neuron populations or specific axons. Instead, all information pointed to a process that indiscriminately proceeded centrifugally from the tissue surrounding the lateral ventricles and spread out to the inner surface of the U-fibers. Histologically, we confirmed an edematous border between the severely affected central layer and the U-fibers with no exudation of albumin. Therefore, the edema was probably cytotoxic rather than vasogenic. We reexamined histological sections from this area and noted that the axonal spheroids were almost exclusively found in the edematous border of the lesion at the inner layer of the U-fibers, Figure 6. The WM between this border and the ventricular wall was severely damaged with heavy gliosis, macrophages, and activated microglia. In this central region virtually no axons or myelin sheaths were detected by light microscopy. On the other hand, the brain tissue peripheral to the edematous border, including the cortex, looked normal, except for a minor degree of gliosis, Figure 6. We believe that this edematous region corresponds to the low diffusion rim demonstrated by our MR studies. Apparently, this expanding rim is the core of the pathological process. This seemingly destructive process spreads centrifugally up to the inner border of the U-fibers. We also applied the immunocytochemical marker for amyloid precursor protein (APP) which was not used in paper I of this thesis. APP is normally transported from the neuronal cell body through the axon by fast axonal transport and will not reach immunocytochemically detectable levels in a normal homeostatic state (Rodrigues et al., 2012; Sherriff et al., 1994a). Axonal accumulation of APP is a marker for antegrade axonal transport blockage and is also considered to behave like an acute phase reactant in the neuron. This was first demonstrated in traumatic diffuse axonal injury (DAI), followed by MS and other acute demyelinating disorders. It is also seen in a wide range of neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinsonism-dementia of Guam, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, and in virus-associated neurodegenerative conditions such as HIV-dementia, malaria, in human T-cell lymphotropic virus 1-associated myelopathy, and in stroke (Coleman, 2005; Rodrigues et al., 2012; Sherriff et al., 1994b).

We found APP expression in spheroids and in a few axons of different sizes, Figure 7 and 8, which indicates that the antegrade axonal transport is affected in HDLS. This is in accordance with the electron microscopic findings, demonstrating that neurofilaments and other organelles are accumulated in the spheroids. APP was also demonstrated in the Mayo Clinic HDLS cases in study II, III and IV, as well as in other HDLS cases (Baba et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2010).

We also observed that the number of APP-expressing spheroids was much greater than the number of neurofilament proteins (NFP)-expressing ones, Figure 7.
In general, more small spheroids were detected with the APP staining, which seems to indicate that it is more sensitive or is a marker of an earlier stage in the axonal pathology. In some places there were thin sheaths of myelin embedded around the spheroids. It remains to be investigated whether the transport failure is the primary pathological mechanism or a secondary event.

Figure 6.


c.) Same area: APP-positive spheroids (arrows) at the border between myelinated and demyelinated white matter (Anti-APP. C: cortex. W: white matter. Bar: 500 µm).
The following arguments support primary axonal damage:

a. Many spheroids
b. MRS demonstrates reduction of NAA in early phase in the NAWM of centrum semiovale
c. A thin layer of myelin embedding some of the spheroids

Combining the results from advanced MRI studies, CSF analyses and neuropathology suggest that HDLS is most likely to be a primary neuroaxonal degeneration rather than a demyelinating disorder with secondary axonal loss.
What is the primarily insult that leads to axonal degeneration and the formation of spheroids?

In order to try to answer this question, we reinvestigate the MRI examinations done on Case 1 six months after symptom onset, Figure 9. On these images there is a marked restriction on the ADC map in the anterior corpus callosum that likely represents stage 2 in the disease process as described in Case 2. Although based on only two cases, we propose the preliminary conclusion that the edematous border found in the histological reexamination of Case 1 represents the same pathological process as the expanding rim of restricted diffusion found by DWI/DTI in Case 2. These findings suggest that the origin of the axonal damage may be related to a periventricular gradient of a locally acting noxious factor, the nature of which remains to be determined. In this aspect it is interesting to draw some hypothetical parallels to Alexander disease. It has been suggested that a toxic factor in Alexander disease is related to the accumulation of mutated GFAP which seems to be deleterious to the astrocytes (Liem and Messing, 2009). However, Alexander disease, as well as many neurodegenerative disorders are associated with the accumulation of aggregated proteins such as in SCAs (1-3, 6-8, 17) PD/ Huntington disease; familial encephalitis; or Alzheimer’s disease (AD) causing either nuclear, cytosolic, endoplasmic reticulum or extracellular protein deposits (Rubinsztein, 2006; Taylor et al., 2002). Intriguing, in Giant Axonal Neuropathy, a mouse model has demonstrated a defect in the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) that cause abnormal accumulations of cytoskeletal-associated proteins resulting in the axonopathy (Liem and Messing, 2009; Yang et al., 2007). Since HDLS histology does not demonstrate any extracellular or cytoplasmic protein inclusions, we suggest that the hypothetical detrimental effect in the progressive rim might lie in subcellular processes of reversible rearrangement to non-native protein conformation, increasing the risk of unstable aggregation during the second phase (Burke et al., 2013). These aspects remain to be investigated regarding HDLS.

Figure 9.

Case 1 - In the anterior region of corpus callosum the T2-FLAIR signal (9B) was rather homogeneous but on DWI-a focus of markedly higher signal was seen (9A) which showed marked restriction on the ADC map (9C).
What are the implications of the genetic results?

The identification of the \textit{CSF1R} gene mutation as the causative gene for HDLS in the Mayo Clinic cases is a unique discovery. This finding was unexpected because the \textit{CSF1R} gene encodes a tyrosine kinase transmembrane receptor expressed on mononuclear phagocytes which implies a more systemic function of the gene. \textit{CSF1R} is a cell-surface receptor for the ligands CSF-1 and interleukin 34 (IL-34), Figure 10. Binding by either of these ligands to \textit{CSF1R} induces dimerization, auto-phosphorylation of several tyrosine residues and also activates other proteins that play an essential role in the regulation of survival, proliferation, and differentiation of hematopoietic precursor cells (Otero et al., 2009; Zelante and Ricciardi-Castagnoli, 2012). Receptor binding also promotes the release of proinflammatory chemokines that might play an important role in both innate immunity and in inflammatory processes (Otero et al., 2009; Zelante and Ricciardi-Castagnoli, 2012). In the brain, the \textit{CSF1R} is mainly expressed in microglia. Therefore, the \textit{CSF1R} gene mutation establishes HDLS as a microgliopathy (Rademakers et al., 2012a). However, it is well known that activated microglia are a consistent microscopic finding in HDLS pathogenesis, and are also found in MS, AD, PD, Stroke, NHD, MLD, Krabbe disease, the cerebral form of ALD among other neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory conditions (Jonsson et al., 2013; Lassmann et al., 2012; Neumann et al., 2009; Raymond et al., 2011). Recently, it has been implied that microglia play a crucial role in balancing the function of the brain (Aguzzi et al., 2013). As cells of the myeloid lineage, microglia may be the first to react to disrupted homeostasis such as membrane degradation of lipids and other cellular injuries. The genetic finding clearly demonstrates that microglia are extensively involved in HDLS neurodegeneration, without an obvious immune component. They probably act through the innate immunity by releasing toxic factors such as cytokines, increasing oxidative stress and ultimately triggering a toxic cycle of events that leads to neuronal cell death (Czeh et al., 2011; Levesque et al., 2010).

The \textit{CSF1R} findings also imply a relationship with NHD. Exempting its autosomal recessive inheritance and bone involvement, the neurological phenotype is very similar to HDLS. NHD is caused by a mutation in DAP12-TREM2 protein complex, and a link between the CSF1R and the DAP12 signaling pathways was recently demonstrated (Otero et al., 2009). However, while the exact mechanism remains to be elucidated, it probably consists of a complex network of both signal inhibition and signal activation that tightly regulate the innate immune responses. Figure 11 illustrates this proposed mechanism of interaction between the CSF1R and DAP12-TREM2 protein complexes. Interestingly, four homozygous, and one heterozygous loss of function mutation in the \textit{TREM2} gene were found in early-onset dementia cases without bone cysts in five different families (Chouery et al., 2008; Guerreiro et al., 2012; Montalbetti et al., 2005). In addition, a missense mutation in the \textit{TREM2} gene was also found to increase the risk of Alzheimer’s disease (Jonsson et al., 2013). These data shed light on the microglia and its involvement in neurodegeneration. Further studies on \textit{CSF1R} may thus provide new insights into the pathogenesis of neurodegeneration.
Figure 10.

Colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (CSF1R), a tyrosine kinase transmembrane receptor, is a microglia cell-surface receptor for the ligands colony stimulating factor 1 (CSF-1) and interleukin 34 (IL-34). Binding of either ligand results in CSF1R activation and promotes microglia development in the brain.
Figure 11.

Proposed mechanism of interaction between the CSF1R and DAP12-TREM2 protein complexes in a mononuclear phagocytic cell. Binding by CSF-1 to CSF1R induces dimerization and autophosphorylation of several tyrosine residues and phosphorylation of many other proteins such as the phosphatase Shp-1, and the kinases Src, PLCγ, P3K, Akt and Erk in the cytoplasm. Activation of these proteins plays an essential role in the regulation of survival, proliferation, and differentiation of myeloid cells such as the microglia in the brain. Receptor binding also promotes the release of proinflammatory chemokines and plays an important role in innate immunity and in inflammatory processes (Otero et al., 2009; Rademakers et al., 2012a; Wang et al., 2012). In the case of a mutation in the CSF1R in microglia the autophosphorylation may be compromised and impair microglia development. This will ultimately lead to neurodegeneration. Nasu-Hakola disease (NHD) is caused by mutation in DAP12-TREM2 protein complex. It was recently demonstrated that there is a link among CSF1R and the DAP12 signaling pathway which may go through the tyrosine kinase Pyk2 and Syk which activate nuclear translocation of β-catenin. The hypothesis presented here is that when there is a partial loss of the CSF1R-DAP12 signaling pathway it may only affect microglia and result in neurodegeneration without bone cysts. If there is a complete loss of this signaling pathway both microglia and bone marrow derived macrophages are affected and bone cysts will be formed as in NHD. The exact signaling mechanism between the CSF1R and the DAP12-TREM2 complex is not known, but probably consists of a complexity of both signal inhibition and signal activation that are tightly regulated.

Abbreviations: Akt; Protein kinase B or Akt (PKB/Akt), CSF-1; Colony stimulating factor 1, CSF1R; Colony stimulating factor 1 receptor, DAP12; DNAX activating protein of 12 kDa, Erk; Extracellular signal regulated kinase, P3K; Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, PLCγ; phospholipase Cγ, Pyk2; Proline-rich tyrosine kinase 2, SHP-1; Src-homology-2-domain-containing protein tyrosine phosphatase 1, Syk; Spleen tyrosine kinase, TLR; Toll like receptor, TREM2; Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells.
What is the relationship between myelin, glial cells and axons?

Insights into the mechanism behind myelin damage have demonstrated that the distinction between primary demyelinating disorders and primary axonal degeneration with secondary demyelination remains unclear (Raymond et al., 2011). Some of the previously described myelin disorders are not primarily myelin disorders but glial or systemic disorders. Alexander disease is a demyelinating disorder found to be caused by a mutation in GFAP which is an astrocyte protein (Gorospe, 1993). Extensive demyelination is found in VWM where a gene mutation in one of the five subunits of the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2B, eIF2B, leads to aberrant protein folding. Interestingly, the gene expression is systemic (Schiffmann et al., 1993). Extensive WM disorders are also evident in some primary neuronal disorders such as infantile gangliosidosis and neuronal lipofuscinosis, in some syndromes of neurodegeneration with brain iron accumulation (NBIA), and in GAN (Tazir et al., 2009; van der Knaap et al., 2005). Leukencephalopathy with brainstem and spinal cord involvement and lactate elevation (LBSL), which is probably a primary axonal degenerating disease demonstrated by MRS also has WM degeneration (Steenweg et al., 2011). It is thus evident that injury to myelin does not arise in isolation. There must be an interaction between neuron, axon, myelin, oligodendrocytes and astrocytes that need further exploration. Intriguingly, Canavan disease has mutation in an enzyme that is produced in the oligodendrocytes, and transported to the neuron to degrade NAA. When mutated there is accumulation of NAA and secondary neuronal destruction, demonstrating an important interplay between the neuron and the oligodendrocytes (Raymond et al., 2011).

A new classification system of the leukodystrophies has been suggested to be reserved for diseases that primarily affect the myelin or myelinating cells such as ALD, MLD, Krabbe, PMD, and Canavan disease (Raymond et al., 2011). As HDLS is, in our view, a primary neuroaxonal degenerative disease, it will not fit into Powers classification system (Powers, 2004). With the continuous discoveries in scientific research, new diseases are being identified and genetically clarified. It is thus clear that the present classification system of leukodystrophies is not perfect and that a future classification should move from a pathological to a genetic basis. It is suggested here that Power’s fourth criterion, a primary destruction of myelin or myelinating cells, should be deleted (Powers, 2004). By taking this approach, the focus can be on delineating the molecular mechanism involved in demyelination and start developing the unmet clinical need for neuroprotective therapies.

During the last three decades, the areas of inherited WM disorders have expanded, albeit mostly for diseases starting in infancy or childhood that have an autosomal recessive or X-linked inheritance pattern. However, we have started to identify common WM disorders in children such as ALD, MLD, Krabbe disease, and VWM with adult-onset (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/GeneTests; Raymond et al., 2011). This demonstrates that there may be a high rate of misdiagnosis in adults with leukodystrophies. Adult-onset leukodystrophies with an autosomal dominant pattern
such as HDLS are still rare, which is probably reflective of the underdiagnosis and misdiagnosis of this group. The increased awareness of pattern recognition discovered by MRI techniques of some of these disorders such as ADLD, Alexander disease, and now also HDLS may assist in the diagnosis (Schiffmann and van der Knaap, 2009).

Even with today’s increased understanding of the nosology and etiology of many of the leukoencephalopathies, there are still a high number of unclassified WM disorders in both children and adults that need further exploration. As some leukoencephalopathies such as the leukodystrophies (ALD, MLD, Krabbe disease) and the neuronal storage disorders are treatable, it is crucial to clarify the diagnostic spectrum (Raymond et al., 2011). A delay in the diagnosis can have a harmful effect by extending the time to treatment. Nevertheless, by increasing the number of new genetically proven cases, we will gain an increased understanding of the pathophysiology involved in myelin and myelination. This will undoubtedly lead to the development of future trials of curative treatments.

**The potential of monogenic/mendelian disorders**

Studying monogenic disorders such as HDLS have the advantages of more interpretable genetics that might give novel insights into the understanding of common complex traits by reproducing the pathways involved in normal and pathological conditions. We have started to define the monogenic forms of more common complex disorders such as AD, frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD), and PD. These may have the potential to serve as models of neurodegeneration, and thus improve our understanding of disease biology (Bateman et al., 2012; Rademakers et al., 2012b; Sundal et al., 2012b). Many characterized monogenic disorders demonstrate genetic and allelic heterogeneity, as well as variable phenotypes, pointing to additional molecular factors that influence the expression of the disease. This is the case in VWM (Schiffmann et al., 1993), spino-cerebellar ataxias (SCA) (Fujioka et al., 2013), and Alexander disease (Raymond et al., 2011) among others. Many of these disorders may demonstrate more complex phenotypes. These may relate to modifier genes or other genetic factors, which cause differences in the penetrance, expressivity, and severity of a disease. By elucidating molecular mechanisms involved in the disease pathways therapeutic targets can be exploited (Brinkman et al., 2006; Dietz, 2010).

Neuropsychiatric disorders that present with cognitive decline, mental retardation, and executive dysfunction are especially suitable to study in monogenic disorders as these phenotypes are human-specific and thus hard to create and validate in animal models (Brinkman et al., 2006; Vissers et al., 2010). A mutation that changes the activity of the gene product, presents an ideal opportunity for the development of a drug target, either by the use of agonistic or antagonistic physiological property. Examples of drugs that have been developed by using the known biological property of a monogenic disorders include the following; statins (familial hypercholesterolemia); Amlodipine/Nifedipine (Timothy syndrome); Olanzapine/Risperidone/Quetiapine (myoclonus dystonia syndrome); Clopidogrel (congenital bleeding); Sulfanylureas
(neonatal diabetes); and Hydrochlorothiazides (Gitelman syndrome) among others (Brinkman et al., 2006).

These examples suggest that one aspect of HDLS research could be to gain increased understanding in the disease biology that might be found in other neurodegenerative disorders with similar phenotype or histology. This might give novel insights into the mechanism of neurodegeneration and future treatment options.

**Axonal damage in MS**

Axonal spheroids and axonal loss are increasingly accepted as the cause of progressive disease and accumulated disability in MS (Stadelmann, 2011). Trapp et al. demonstrated that axonal pathology was a consistent and characteristic feature of MS lesions. It is related to demyelination (Trapp et al., 1998), and also evident in NAWM (Franklin et al., 2012). However, it is still disputed as to whether the neuronal loss in MS is a primary event or a secondary process of damage to the axons. Nevertheless, the etiology of MS, both the early, acute damage and the slow, chronic damage, remains unknown and there is no treatment available for the progressive stage (Connick et al., 2012). The similarity between HDLS and progressive MS extends beyond this. Intriguingly, both primary progressive MS (PPMS) and the conversion from relapsing-remitting to progressive MS occur in an age window of 35-50 years, the same typical age of disease onset in HDLS (Confavreux and Vukusic, 2006). This may be partly explained by an age-dependent loss of trophic factors that accelerate the disease process for both disorders, or, alternatively a threshold of axonal damaged exceeds the capacity for functional compensation in the CNS (Lassmann et al., 2012).

**Differential diagnosis to HDLS**

The differential diagnoses of HDLS can be divided into cases that, at least in the initial stage(s), are similar from a clinical point of view, those that have a similar pattern on the MRI and those that have both clinical and MRI similarities.

Differential diagnostic spectrum of HDLS is dependent on its initial manifestation. There are no symptoms that are pathogenic for HDLS. Clinicians should be suspicious of cases where the adult individual is formerly healthy, but develops cognitive, memory, and personality impairments with a progressive course and WML on MRI. In addition, some of the HDLS cases have a more subacute multifocal symptomatology with a combination of frontal lobe symptoms, pyramidal, extrapyramidal, parietal, and visual signs. The differential diagnosis is not relevant in the setting of acute onset stroke-like symptoms. Potential differential diagnosis to HDLS is described in the Appendix.
Misdiagnosis

In paper II, III and IV we demonstrate that HDLS has been an under recognized and misdiagnosed disease entity. These misdiagnoses were mostly AD, PPMS, atypical PD, and CADASIL. We strongly encourage colleges to utilize diagnostic criteria and in suspected cases with cognitive impairment a MRI is the first line imaging to be used in the diagnostic workup. In cases where conventional MRI does not provide enough diagnostic clues, advanced neuroimaging modalities such as DWI, DTI and MRS should be applied, as they can detect pathology before it is evident on a MRI.

What is the relationship of HDLS to POLD?

To answer this question we reviewed articles describing hereditary adult-onset WM disorders dating back to 1936. It was evident that POLD is an unspecific term used to describe cases with histopathological detected pigments in the degenerative WM (Belec et al., 1988; Calandriello et al., 1992; Constantinidis and Wisniewski, 1991; Knopman et al., 1996; Moller et al., 2003; Peiffer, 1959; Tunon et al., 1988; Wider et al., 2009). These pigments could be either iron or lipofuscin, or both. However, the quantity of these pigments was variable and in some cases only low (Moller et al., 2003). These findings are not specific enough to be used as hallmarks of the disease and probably reflects breakdown of cellular components. Lipofuscin is a non-degradable product of lipids (Siegel et al., 2006). Importantly, both pigments are also present in the aging brain, further supporting the uncharacteristic nature of these finding (Ellison et al., 2013; Siegel et al., 2006). Pigments were also present in the original Swedish HDLS family (Case III:18, III:19, III:20), and later reports have found combination of both HDLS and POLD features in some kindreds. The term orthochromatic in POLD is actually obsolete because it was used when the tissue staining did not demonstrate metachromasia. In the authors opinion POLD is probably a description of variant forms or stages of HDLS and should therefore not be considered to be a distinct disease entity. Rather, POLD and HDLS are interchangeable terms. It is therefore proposed to use the name HDLS. In support of this view is the crucial contribution by Axelsson et al. (Axelsson et al., 1984) in describing the diagnostic criterion for axonal spheroids. This is the first time that spheroids are described in detail in the CNS. Even though, axonal pathology had been described previously, the peculiar axonal changes and spheroid formation embedded in the demyelinating WM was a new contribution to the mechanism of neurodegeneration, which they suggested was due to axonal transport defects. The comprehensive and meticulous clinical descriptions, together with the extensive pedigree indicating dominant heredity were also unique. These findings justify the term HDLS for this well characterized entity. Interestingly, the centrifugal spread of the demyelinating process, as described in the Swedish Case 2, was also described in the 1936 publication by Van Bogaert and Nyssen (Van Bogaert, 1936), it seems highly probable that this report is a description of HDLS.
The discovery of the CSF1R gene mutation as causative for the HDLS Mayo Clinic collection, albeit negative in our original Swedish family, does not necessarily imply that they are different disorders. The neuropathology is identical in both, strengthening the fact that they belong to the same disease entity. Genetic heterogeneity has become increasingly apparent in many distinct hereditary entities, such as VWM, which is caused by mutation in 1 of 5 genes, and NHD which is caused by mutation in 1 of 2 genes. While we wait for the gene mutation in the original Swedish family, we propose that this family is termed HDLS type 1 (HDLS-1) and the CSF1R gene mutation cases are termed HDLS type 2 (HDLS-2). It is also possible that future HDLS families will have other gene mutations, given the increasing number of cases reported, even those with the CSF1R mutation (Kinoshita et al., 2012; Kleinfeld et al., 2013; Mitsui et al., 2012). HDLS has a heterogeneous phenotype and it is therefore likely that additional causative or modifying genes might modulate the severity and phenotypic expression of the disease.
"...HDLS is a distinct disease entity that has neuropathological hallmarks with demyelination, spheroids, and a characteristic pattern on neuroimaging facilitating its recognition."
This study has clearly demonstrated that there is an urgent need to renew the classification system of leukoencephalopathies, with emphasis on the specific subgroups leukodystrophies and neuroaxonal degenerations, as new pathophysiological mechanisms are being discovered. Advances have revealed a complex interaction between the glia cells and the axons. Distinction between primary myelin disorder and primary axonal disorders are thus diminishing. Physicians therefore need to adhere to genetic classifications as new molecularly defined disease entities evolve. From reviewing of the literature it is obvious that POLD is not a distinct entity but a historical term used to describe progressive WM disorders that demonstrated pigment cells of uncharacterized amount.

What is evident is that HDLS is a distinct disease entity that has neuropathological hallmarks with demyelination, spheroids, and a characteristic pattern on neuroimaging facilitating its recognition. The clinical characteristics dividing it into at least two phenotypes, but the combination of distinct clinical features from multifocal affections at a later stage, and MRI WML in a characteristic frontoparietal distribution, gives diagnostic clues of HDLS.

By reviewing the MRI from the Swedish and the Mayo Clinic HDLS cases there were differences in the distribution in the WML. In the Mayo Clinic cases there was more asymmetry, atrophy, and patchy distribution, whereas the Swedish cases had symmetrical centrifugally spreading of the WML from the periventricular region. However, the clinical data does not allow definite conclusions concerning possible differences in the phenotype between the Mayo Clinic and the Swedish cases.

Consequent to the discovery of the \textit{CSF1R} gene mutation, the use of the terms HDLS type 1 for the original Swedish cases that do not harbor this mutation and HDLS type 2 for the \textit{CSF1R} carriers are suggested. Genetic testing can now clarify the diagnostic work-up in HDLS type 2, which can be highly challenging in the initial stage of the disease because symptoms may imitate many more common neurological disorders. Additionally, a known \textit{CSF1R} gene mutation will make it possible to develop future treatments. Since HDLS is a monogenic disease with severe neurodegeneration, elucidation of the genetic pathway can ultimately increase our understanding of the more complex disorders. This is clearly demonstrated by the interaction between \textit{CSF1R} and the DAP12-TREM2 protein complex where a mutation in the TREM2 is a risk factor for AD (Jonsson et al., 2013). Studies conducted on HDLS therefore have the potential to expand our knowledge on neurodegeneration, and increase our understanding of more complex disorders through the identification of additional causative or modifying genes.
Results from MRS, CSF, and histopathology indicate that HDLS type 1 is probably a primary neuroaxonal degeneration. The relationship between the neuroaxonal disorder and the \textit{CSF1R} mutation was discussed but remains to be clarified.

The major question remaining is the genetic cause of the disease in our Swedish family. For the HDLS type 2 the major questions are related to how the \textit{CSF1R} mutation results in neurodegeneration and whether the phenomenon of the rim of restricted diffusion is a basic pathogenic feature in both HDLS type 1 and 2.

Elucidating the molecular mechanism of HDLS may provide novel insights into neurodegeneration.
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Appendix: Table 1.
Proposed MRI scoring system for Hereditary diffuse leukoencephalopathy with spheroids (HDLS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Areas</th>
<th>Score‡</th>
<th>Maximum Score per area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signal Changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal WM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-fibers</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal WM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-fibers</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal WM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-fibers</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital WM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (deep)</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subcortical</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-fibers</td>
<td>0 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum WM</td>
<td>6†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenium</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projection fibers</th>
<th>2†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule posterior limb</td>
<td>0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline corticospinal tract in pons</td>
<td>0 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total WM Score</th>
<th>36†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atrophy</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cerebral cortex</th>
<th>8†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>0 1 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central</th>
<th>2†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corpus callosum</th>
<th>1†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total atrophy score</th>
<th>11†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Total MRI Severity Score | 47† |

Abbreviations: WM= white matter, 
a 0, none; 1, mild; 2, marked 
b Indicate the score of 0 (absent/normal) or 1 (present/abnormal) 
c Maximum score per area 
If a specific region has unilateral involvement the score of 0.5 is given
Differential diagnosis to HDLS

The following disorders may have overlapping symptoms with HDLS, especially in the early stages. The focus on the differential diagnosis is on disorders with an adult-onset and a progressive course. Many of these differential diagnoses have a known gene mutation. They are ranked in decreasing likelihood as potential differential diagnosis of HDLS:

Clinical similarities

A difficult differential diagnosis is the **Frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) syndrome**. This encompasses a group of disorders with both frontal lobe affection in combination with pyramidal and/or extrapyramidal symptoms such as the FTD-ALS phenotype or the FTD-Parkinsonian phenotype (Rademakers et al., 2012b; Rohrer and Warren, 2011). However, the MRI can help differentiate these disorders from HDLS where the cerebral atrophy, anterior and/or temporal, is the main pathological finding in FTLD. The WM lesions are also less pronounced than in HDLS (Whitwell et al., 2012).

Episodic memory problems are characteristic for **Early-onset Alzheimer disease (EOAD)**; however, executive dysfunction and personality changes may be the presenting feature. Extrapyramidal signs may develop later in the disease course together with language disturbances, seizures, and urinary incontinence. In the early stage of EOAD MRI may be normal or show cortical atrophy in the medial temporal lobe including hippocampus. However, WML can be present but it is much less pronounced than those of HDLS (Filippi et al., 2012; Sorbi et al., 2012). CSF biomarker can differentiate between the two (Blennow et al., 2010).

The fluctuating cognitive/attention impairment together with recurrent visual hallucinations are two of the three core features in **Lewy Body Dementia (LBD)**. These signs have not been seen in HDLS. However, executive dysfunction, personality changes, and extrapyramidal features could dominate the symptomatology in the early stages and make the clinical picture difficult to dissect from HDLS, but MRI are different, and DTI in LBD have demonstrated early changes in parieto-occipital WM tracts (Sorbi et al., 2012; Watson et al., 2012).

The adult cerebral form of **X-linked Adrenoleukodystrophy (ALD)** might start with insidious cognitive impairments and dementia symptoms with progression to neurological deficits similar to the childhood type. A frontal predominance of the WML may occur particularly in adults. However, a striking difference from HDLS is that the WML are contrast enhancing. The corpus callosum is most often initially affected in its posterior splenium, and if the frontal WML is involved, the genu is usually affected too. Corticospinal tracts are involved from the cranial, midbrain to medulla. The **adrenomyeloneuropathy** phenotype presents with slowly progressive spastic paraparesis, reduced distal sensation and incontinence. It is thus clearly clinically different from HDLS. Approximately 20% will develop progressive cerebral abnormalities with
severe cognitive and memory impairments, but MRI is as described above differently from that seen in HDLS (Raymond et al., 2011).

Recent studies have recognized the adult form of Alexander disease which has a very different clinical and MRI presentation than the infantile and childhood form. The clinical symptoms are highly variable but bulbar/pseudobulbar, ataxia and spasticity are common, in addition to myriad other symptoms. However palatal myoclonus should lead the diagnostic to test for GFAP in CSF and the *GFAP* gene mutation (Raymond et al., 2011). The lack of the frontal lobe syndrome should make the distinction to HDLS clear. MRI is also different with brainstem and spinal cord atrophy (Farina et al., 2008).

The adult form of Vanishing white matter (VWM) may start with cognitive decline and later progresses to the development of neurological signs, but most cases reported have initial symptoms with either spastic paraparesis or cerebellar ataxia. The characteristic clinical evolution is stress-induced deterioration with minor trauma or infections that separate it from HDLS. Seizures, and in females, ovarian failure are often common signs. MRI in adults demonstrates diffusely abnormal T2 signal intensities in supratentorial WM. On FLAIR, cystic breakdown of the WM can be seen, which is common and is not found in HDLS. U-fibers may be relatively unaffected. Cerebral atrophy is invariably present, as well as cerebellar involvements. The corpus callosum is usually affected and the brainstem may be involved. No pathological signal has been seen in the grey matter (Labauge et al., 2009; Schiffmann et al., 1993).

The adult onset type of Krabbe disease has a variable clinical course but the majority of affected individuals will present with pyramidal signs that later develop into spastic paraparesis or cerebellar ataxia. Other common signs are peripheral neuropathy, which is different from HDLS. The MRIs show T2-hyperintensities with predominance in the posterior part of the WM, along the pyramidal tracts, optic radiation, occipital deep WM, and posterior part of centrum semiovale. A diagnosis can be made by genetic tests or by measuring the GALK enzyme activity in leukocytes (Raymond et al., 2011; Wenger, 1993).

Adult onset autosomal dominant leukodystrophy (ADLD) may be clinically similar to some features of HDLS with cognitive impairments, pyramidal and cerebellar signs. However, the early autonomic dysfunction is strikingly divergent from HDLS. MRI show extensive bilateral diffuse T2 hyperintensities in subcortical and deep cerebral WM with no or less severe changes of the periventricular regions. This periventricular normal rim is not found in HDLS which initially demonstrates severe periventricular WML. The whole length of the corticospinal tracts and the middle cerebellar peduncles are affected, seemingly similar to HDLS. However, medulla oblongata and spinal cord (SC) atrophy and diffuse signal intensities of SC are different (Sundblom et al., 2009).

Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) is usually found in immunocompromised individuals with lytic infections of the glia cells; however, it has also been
recently demonstrated in patients without apparent immunosuppression. Its start is relatively abrupt and often involves cognitive impairments, dementia, and personality changes in association with polyfocal neurological symptoms and signs, including seizures. Classic PML cases on MRI differ distinctly from HDLS, showing multiple WML in subcortical regions, in the cerebellum peduncles, in the basal ganglia, the thalamus and often show contrast enhancement (Tan and Koralnik, 2010). A retrospective study of MRI pattern in natalizumab-treated MS patients commonly showed some presence of contrast enhancement and the lesions had a subcortical location. Small, early lesions in presymptomatic patients were detected in the cortical–subcortical regions which may be a hallmark and should raise suspicion to prompt further testing of PML. Specific MRI criteria to diagnose PML lesions were suggested by Yousry et al. (Yousry et al., 2012) and differ from HDLS.

Prion diseases such as Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease (CJD), and Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker (GSS) syndrome have rapid neurological deterioration and could in the initial stages be a potential differential diagnoses for HDLS. CJD has typical subacute progressive dementia, myoclonus, and ataxia. The initial presentation may vary, but prodromal symptoms with fatigue, malaise, eating disturbances, and sleepiness are often helpful to guide the diagnoses toward CJD. Later in the disease course there will be global cognitive and psychiatric symptoms mixed with pyramidal, extrapyramidal, and cerebellar signs. A less frequent symptom is oculomotor disturbances, which have been found early in HDLS. The new variant (nv) CJD has prominent neuropsychiatric symptoms, ataxia, pyramidal signs, extrapyramidal signs, and primitive reflexes simulating HDLS. Diagnostic criteria are described and clues for CJD are: EEG findings with a periodic sharp wave complexes (PSWC) function; CSF with pleocytosis, mild protein elevation, and 14-3-3 protein; MRI with hyperintensities in the putamen and caudate nucleus, and DWI showing diffuse hyperintensities in the cortex of the frontal, temporal, and occipital regions. The GSS usually present with truncal and limb ataxia, as well as extrapyramidal signs. It later progresses to cognitive impairments and dementia. Common for both of the prion diseases are that the MRIs are distinctly different from HDLS (Degnan and Levy, 2013).

Cognitive dysfunction is common in primary progressive MS (PPMS), albeit the pattern is typically frontal–subcortical with decreased attention, memory problems, reduced speed of mental processing, and deficit in set-shift task which is usually milder than seen in HDLS. The initial presentation is dominated by central paraparesis which is not a presenting feature in HDLS. Additional symptoms, such as internuclear ophthalmoplegia and optic neuritis are typical of MS, and not described in HDLS. MRI with right angle lesions is characteristic for MS and not present in HDLS. Although some cases of HDLS have been misdiagnosed as MS, it does not fulfill the diagnostic criteria for MS (Polman et al., 2011).
Disorders with cognitive impairments, but clinical features and MRIs completely different from HDLS

Some rare Lysosomal storage disorders such as Gaucher’s disease, Niemann-Pick disease, cerebrotendinosis xanthomatosis, and polysaccharidosis may have cognitive decline and dementia as clinical symptoms later in the course of the disease, as well as, other neurological signs and symptoms. Both the clinical pictures and MRIs are dissimilar from HDLS (van der Knaap et al., 2005).

MRI similarities

WML are often found in individuals with cerebrovascular disease. The prevalence of WML in the general population is found to be 11-21% for individuals age 64, and 94% at age 82 (Debette and Markus, 2010). Small vessel disease often shows WML in periventricular and deep regions, accompanied by subcortical lacunes and micro-bleeds, which are not found in HDLS. The term subcortical vascular dementia is often used to categorize the insidious onset of cognitive dysfunction, with additional symptoms of acute neurological deterioration due to stroke-like episodes.

Cerebral Autosomal Dominant Arteriopathy with Subcortical Infarcts and Leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL) might initially start with a frontal lobe syndrome and WML. Nevertheless, additional stroke-like clinical signs and ischemic lesions in the basal ganglia, along with the characteristic hyperintensities on T2-weighted MRI in the anterior temporal lobe differentiates it from HDLS (Tikka et al., 2009).

Recently, a new autosomal dominant vascular leukoencephalopathy was mapped to chromosome 20q13. This disease is characterized by symmetrical and periventricular WML, as well as deep and subcortical WML. In addition, WML were found in the anterior temporal lobe, deep grey nuclei, brainstem, and mesencephalon, which are strikingly different from HDLS. Interestingly, lacunar infarcts and micro-/macro-bleeds were absent even though the neuropathology demonstrated a cerebral arteriolopathy that affected small preterminal arterioles. The clinical symptoms were not always present, and if so, were more stroke-like, opposed to HDLS (Herve et al., 2012).

Disorders with WML but clinical features completely different from HDLS

Mitochondrial disorders (Finsterer and Zarrouk Mahjoub, 2012), Leukoencephalopathy with brainstem and spinal cord involvement and high lactate (LBSL) (Finsterer and Zarrouk Mahjoub, 2012), Deficiency of vitamin B12 (Scherer, 2003), Inherited diseases of cobalamin (cbl) intracellular metabolism (Outteryck et al., 2012), Methionine synthase deficiency, 3-methylglutaconic aciduria type 1 (Wortmann et al., 2010), Fabrys disease (Bersano et al., 2012), Adult onset polyglucosan body disease (Berkhoff et al., 2001), Human Immunodeficiency Virus Dementia
(HIV-D) (Murray, 2012), Cerebrotendinous xanthomatosis (CTX) (Barkhof et al., 2000), Fragil X syndrome (Brunberg et al., 2002), Giant axonal neuropathy (GAN) (Tazir et al., 2009), Pelizaeus-Merzbacher disease (PMD) (Zittel et al., 2012), and Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus (NPH) (Tullberg et al., 2002).

Both Clinic and MRI similarities

The clinical course of Nasu-Hakola disease (NHD) (Polycystic lipomembranous osteodysplasia with sclerosing leukoencephalopathy) usually evolves through stages with pain/tenderness of feet/wrist, pathological fractures, insidious personality changes, and later a more fulminate last stage of frontal lobe syndromes, motor impairments, dementia, and eventual progression to a vegetative state and death. The age of neurological symptoms is similar to HDLS but the radiological demonstration of polycystic osseous lesions and fractures are easily differentiated from HDLS. However, there have been reports of cases that do not have cystic bone pathology, and these cases could be difficult to differentiate clinically from HDLS. The MRI has a predilection of WML in the frontal lobes, but is otherwise more diffuse. The U-fibers are also partially affected. Bilateral calcification and atrophy of the basal ganglia are common. These MRI findings differentiate it from HDLS (Paloneva et al., 1993).

Metachromatic leukodystrophy (MLD) has an adult type that may at first mimic HDLS by initial signs of executive dysfunction, personality changes and memory problems. With progression, a peripheral neuropathy is evident in most cases, strikingly different from HDLS, but there are a few cases with absence of peripheral involvements (Marcao et al., 2005). Additional signs are pyramidal, incontinence and seizures. Similar to HDLS, the MRI images of MLD have T2-hyperintensities that start periventricularly, and in adults, often is frontally predominant. The corpus callosum is involved early and there is no contrast enhancement. Distinct from HDLS, the subcortical WM seems to be involved later in the disease, there is a spread of WML into the cerebellar regions, and there are characteristic low density tigroid stripes in the abnormal WM, representing myelin breakdown products (Fluharty, 1993).