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ABSTRACT

Background & Aims: Cachexia is frequent in advanced cancer and is associated with adverse outcomes; however, definite diagnostic criteria for cachexia are not established. Diet energy density (ED) may affect energy intake (EI) and energy balance. Patient characteristics may also influence such associations. This potentially hampers cachexia treatment and dietary treatment in clinical practice.

The aim was to study associations between ED and EI in in palliative cancer patients and whether ED or EI predict energy balance, and the influence of systemic inflammation and survival time. The prevalence of reduced quality of life (QoL), function and survival, in patients classified by different cachexia criteria were compared.

Methods: Dietary intake and ED was assessed by food records (n=251-322). Energy balance was calculated from the change in body energy content by repeated DXA scans in 107 patients for a total of 164 4-month periods. Linear regression and linear mixed model were used to investigate relationships between ED and EI with patient characteristics as covariates. QoL was assessed by questionnaire, physical function by treadmill test.

Results: Diet ED was associated with EI, explaining approximately 16-22 % of the variation in EI. Age, BMI, fatigue and survival were negatively associated and hypermetabolism was positively associated with EI. After covariate adjustment, ED was still positively associated with EI. In unadjusted models, the ED of solid food and EI were both positive predictors of energy balance (P<0.03). Survival was positively and systemic inflammation negatively associated with energy balance (P<0.005). After adjustment for inflammation, only EI remained a significant predictor. Adverse QoL, function and symptoms were associated with weight loss >2%, BMI <20, fatigue and CRP >10mg/L (P<0.05). Short walking distance was associated with fatigue, low grip strength and inflammation (P<0.05). Short survival was associated with weight loss, fatigue, inflammation and S-albumin < 32g/L (P<0.05). The prevalence of cachexia diagnosis varied from 12 to 85 % using different definitions.

Conclusions: Diet energy density and energy intake are positively associated. Age, BMI, fatigue, survival and hypermetabolism are associated with EI, but do not substantially influence the association between ED and EI. Diet EI and ED of solid food are positively associated with energy balance in patients with advanced cancer. Relations between EI, ED and energy balance are affected by systemic inflammation. Thus, targeting systemic inflammation may be important in nutritional interventions in this patient group.

Weight loss, fatigue and markers of systemic inflammation were consistently associated with adverse QoL, reduced function, more symptoms and shorter survival. The prevalence of cachexia using different definitions varied widely; indicating a need to further explore and validate diagnostic criteria for cancer cachexia.
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